Interleukin-1β Induces Long-Term Potentiation of C-Fiber Evoked Field Potentials in Spinal dorsal Horn in Rats with Neuropathic Pain
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Abstract: Proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin-1beta (IL-1β), impairs long-term potentiation (LTP) in hippocampus, a synaptic model of memory, while in spinal dorsal horn TNF-α is found to induce LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials in rats with nerve injury. In the present work the role of IL-1β in the spinal LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials, which is important for pathological pain, was investigated in both physiological and pathological conditions. We found that spinal application of IL-1β at the concentrations up to 500 ng/ml affected neither basal synaptic transmission mediated by C-fiber nor spinal LTP induced by tetric stimulation in intact rats. In rats with neuropathic pain produced by spared nerve injury (SNI) or lumbar 5 ventral root transection (L5 VRT), however, IL-1β at a low concentration (5 ng/ml) induced LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials. Pretreatment with either p38 MAPK inhibitor (SB203580) or NF-xB inhibitor (PDT) but not with JNK inhibitor (SP600125) completely blocked LTP induced by IL-1β in SNI rats. Taken together, the results indicated that exogenous IL-1β might induce spinal LTP only in rats with nerve injury but not in intact rats. The differential effects of proinflammatory cytokines on synaptic plasticity in spinal dorsal horn and in hippocampus may be clinically significant.
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INTRODUCTION

Long-term potentiation (LTP) of synaptic transmission, which was first observed in hippocampus [1], has been intensively studied as a synaptic model of learning and memory [2]. LTP has been demonstrated in other parts of central nervous system, such as, in spinal dorsal horn LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials is induced not only by electrical tetric stimulation of afferent C-fibers [3] but also by nerve injury [4]. Since C-fibers (also called pain fibers) conveys nociceptive signals to spinal dorsal horn and LTP of C-fiber evoked synaptic responses occurs primarily in the synapses between afferent C-fibers and the second order projection neurons in superficial lamina [5], the long-lasting enhancement of synaptic transmission is considered as a form of pain memory [6, 7]. The facts that LTP-inducing stimuli produces long-lasting behavioral signs of neuropathic pain (allodynia and hyperalgesia) in human [8], as well as in animals [9] support this point of view.

Previously, many studies have demonstrated that the mechanisms underlying hippocampal LTP and spinal LTP are very similar [6]. Protein kinase C (PKC) [10], cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) [11], calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) [12] are involved in LTP in both hippocampus and spinal dorsal horn [13] in the same manner. Activation of either TrkB receptor or dopamine D1/D5 receptor induces LTP in both hippocampus [14, 15] and spinal dorsal horn [16, 17]. Apparently, the drugs targeting at these molecules may cure neuropathic pain but also bring on a serious side effect-memory impairment. It would be optimal to inhibit the molecules that impair hippocampal LTP but produce spinal LTP.

It has demonstrated that proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-1β, play important role in synaptic plasticity. Both of them inhibit LTP in CA1 and CA3 and dentate gyrus in hippocampus at pathological concentration [18-20]. The cytokines inhibit hippocampal LTP by activation of p38 MAPK [21, 22], JNK [23] and NF-xB [24]. However, recently we have shown that spinal application of TNF-α does not affect spinal LTP induced by electrical stimulation in naïve animals but induces LTP in rats with nerve injury via activation of p38 MAPK, JNK and NF-xB [25]. It appears that pro-inflammatory cytokines may affect LTP in hippocampus and in spinal dorsal horn differentially. To confirm this, in the present study, the effect of IL-1β which produces allodynia and hyperalgesia [26-28] on spinal LTP was tested and the roles of p38 MAPK, JNK and NF-xB were evaluated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1. Animals

Experiments were performed on adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-280 g body wt). The animals were housed in separated cages and have access to food and water ad libitum. The room was kept at around 25 °C temperature and 50-60% humidity with a 12:12-h light/dark cycle. All experimental procedure was approved by the local animal care committee and was carried out in according to the
2.2. Surgery

2.2.1. Spared Nerve Injury

The procedure of spared nerve injury follows the description by [30]. The rats were anesthetized with 10% chloral hydrate. The hair of the rat's left thigh was shaved, and the skin was sterilized with 0.5% chlorhexidine and covered with clean gauze. An incision was made through skin on lateral surface of the thigh and the biceps femoris muscle was dissected bluntly to expose the left sciatic nerve and its three terminal branches: the common peroneal, tibial and sural nerve. The first two were separately ligated tightly with 5-0 silk and transected distal to ligation, then 2-4 mm length of each nerve was removed. Great care was taken to avoid any contact or stretching of the sural nerve to keep it intact. The wound was washed with saline and closed in two layers.

2.2.2. Transection of L5 Ventral root

Rats were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg body weight, i.p.). Additional doses of the anesthetics were given as needed. All manipulations were done on the left side of spinal column. Special care was taken to prevent infection. The hair of the rat's lower back was shaved, and the skin was sterilized with 0.5% chlorhexidine and covered with clean gauze. Sterile operating instruments were used.

The L5 VRT was done following the procedures described by Li et al. [31]. After a midline skin incision in the lumbar region, the L5 vertebra was freed of its muscular attachment. An L5 hemilaminectomy was performed, and the transverse process of the L5 vertebra was removed to expose the L5 ventral root well. The wound was washed with saline and closed in two layers.

Mechanical allodynia was assessed using von Frey hairs with the up–down method, as the description by Chaplan et al. [32]. Only the rats exhibiting significant decrease in paw withdrawal threshold after SNL or L5 VRT were selected for electrophysiological experiments.

2.3. Electrophysiological Recording

Anesthesia was induced and maintained with urethane (1.5 g/kg, i.p.). Surgical level of anesthesia was verified by the stable mean arterial blood pressure and constant heart rate during noxious stimulation. The trachea was cannulated and the animal breathed spontaneously. One carotid artery was cannulated to continuously monitor the mean arterial blood pressure, which was kept from 80 to 120 mmHg. A catheter was inserted into one external jugular vein for intravenous application of saline at a rate of 0.5 ml/h. A laminectomy was performed to expose the lumbar enlargement of the spinal cord, and the dura mater was incised longitudinally. The left sciatic nerve was dissected free for electrical stimulation with silver chloride hook-electrodes. The rats were placed on a stereotaxic apparatus. All exposed nerve tissues were covered with warm paraffin oil in a pool made of skin flaps, except for the spinal lumbar enlargement where a small well was formed with 4% agar, onto which drugs will be applied. Colorectal temperature was kept constantly (37–38 °C) by a feedback-controlled heating blanket. At the end of the experiments, rats were killed with an overdose of urethane.

Electrophysiological recording of C-fiber evoked field potentials in spinal dorsal horn has been described previously [3]. Briefly, following electrical stimulation of the sciatic nerve with a bipolar silver chloride hook-electrode, field potentials were recorded at a depth of 100–500 μm from the surface of the spinal cord in lumbar enlargement (L4 and L5 segments) with a tungsten microelectrode (impedance 0.5–1 MΩ), which was driven by an electronically controlled microstepping motor (Narishige Scientific Instrument Laboratory). An A/D converter card (DT2821-F-16SE, Data Translation Inc.) was used to digitize and store data in a Pentium computer at a sampling rate of 10 kHz. Single square pulses (0.5 ms duration, delivered every 1 min) delivered to the sciatic nerve were used as test stimuli. The strength of stimulation was adjusted to 1.5–2 times of threshold for C-fiber response. Tetanic stimulation (40 V, 0.5 ms, 100 Hz, given in 4 trains of 1 s duration at 10 s intervals) was used to induce LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials. The distance from stimulation site at the sciatic nerve to the recording site in the lumbar spinal cord was approximately 11 cm. Only one experiment was conducted in each animal.

2.4. Drug Application

Rat recombinant IL-1β (Calbiochem) was dissolved in 0.9% saline to a stock concentration of 100 μg/ml, aliquoted in small volumes, and stored at −80 °C. The stock solution was subsequently diluted with 0.9% saline to make final concentrations immediately before administration. PDTC (Sigma) was directly dissolved in 0.9% saline to a final concentration before each experiment. SB203580 (Sigma), SP600125 (Calbiochem) and Anisomycin (Sigma) were first dissolved in DMSO to make a stock concentration of 50 mM, and the stock solution was subsequently diluted with 0.9% saline to make final concentrations immediately before administration. Our previous study had shown that spinal application of 0.5% DMSO does not affect C-fiber evoked field potentials [13, 33]. The drugs (200 μl in volume) were warmed before superfusion on the spinal cord surface.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The amplitudes of C-fiber evoked field potentials, measured as the maximal distance from the baseline, were determined off-line by parameter extraction [17], which was implemented by DataWave (Colorado). In each experiment amplitudes of 5 consecutive field potentials recorded at 1 min intervals were averaged. The mean amplitudes of the averaged responses before drug application or tetanic stimulation served as baseline control. The summary data from different animals were expressed as means ± SE.
Statistical tests were carried out with SPSS 10.0 (SPSS Inc.). The data were compared using the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and those between animals were compared using the Mann-Whitney u-test. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Spinal Application of IL-1β Does not Affect LTP of C-Fiber Evoked Field Potentials Induced by Tetanic Stimulation in Naïve Animals

In hippocampus, pretreatment with IL-1β at a concentration of 4 ng/ml depresses LTP induced by tetanic stimulation [23, 34]. To test whether the cytokine affects LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials in spinal dorsal horn, IL-1β was applied directly onto the dorsal surface at recording segments 30 min after stable recording of C-fiber evoked field potentials. As shown in Fig. (1), IL-1β at different concentrations (5 ng/ml, 50 ng/ml or 500 ng/ml, 200 μl in volume) didn’t affect LTP induced by tetanic stimulation (40 V, 100 Hz, 0.5 ms) delivered at 30 min after IL-1β administration.

3.2. Spinal Application of IL-1β Induces LTP of C-fiber Field Potentials in Rats with Nerve Injury but not in Intact Rats

Our previous work has demonstrated that spinal application of TNF-α induces LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials in rats with nerve injury but not in intact rats [25]. In the present work, we found that IL-1β affected spinal synaptic plasticity in the same manner. As shown in Fig. (2A), spinal application of IL-1β at a concentration as low as 5 ng/ml (200 μl in volume) induced LTP of C-fiber field potentials in SNI rats. At 30 min after IL-1β, C-fiber evoked field potentials were potentiated significantly (142.6±3.73%, \( p<0.05 \), Wilcoxon signed-rank test). At 165 min after IL-1β application, the potentiation reached 250.8±17.5% and remained at this level until the end of the experiments (for above 6 h after IL-1β, \( n=7 \)). In other five SNI rats, spinal application of saline, which was used to dissolve IL-1β, had no effect on the C-fiber response throughout the course of the experiment.

To confirm IL-1β may induce LTP in rats with nerve injury, we tested the effect of IL-1β on rats with L5 VRT (\( n=5 \)), in which motor fibers were selectively injured. Similar to the results obtained in SNI rats, C-fiber evoked field potentials were potentiated significantly (142.6±3.73%, \( p<0.05 \), Wilcoxon signed-rank test) at 40 min after IL-1β and reached to maximal (220.4±9.8%) at 180 min after IL-1β (Fig. 2B).

In contrast, in intact rats, the same dosage (5 ng/ml, 200 μl in volume) of IL-1β had no effect on C-fiber evoked field potentials (Fig. 2C).

3.3. Activation of p38 MAPK and NF-κB but not JNK is Required for LTP Induced by IL-1β

In a previous work [25] we have shown that inhibitors of p38 MAPK (SB203580), NF-κB (PDTC) and JNK (SP600125) do not affect C-fiber evoked field potentials in rats with nerve injury but completely block the spinal LTP induced by TNF-α. In the present work we found that spinal application of both SB203580 (100 μM) and PDTC (100 μM) 60 min before IL-1β blocked the spinal LTP induced by IL-1β in SNI rats (Fig. 3A and B). Spinal application of SP600125 at a higher dosage (200 μM), however, could only delay but not prevent the LTP induced by IL-1β (Fig. 3C). In the presence of the JNK inhibitor, the potentiation produced by IL-1β reached to significant level at 65 min and to maximal at 205 min after IL-1β.

3.4. Spinal LTP is Blocked by Protein Synthesis Inhibitor

De novo protein synthesis is required for late-LTP (L-LTP) but not for early-LTP (E-LTP) in both hippocampus [35] and spinal dorsal horn [36]. As our results showed exogenous IL-1β induced spinal LTP with a long latency, we presumed that IL-1β might directly induce L-LTP. To test this hypothesis, we examined whether IL-1β induced spinal LTP is protein synthesis-dependent. The results showed that in rats pretreated with anisomycin (200 μM, in a volume of 200 μl), a protein synthesis inhibitor, IL-1β failed to produce any change in C-fiber evoked field potentials (Fig. 4). The same dosage of anisomycin alone had no effect on baseline responses evoked by stimulation of C-fibers [17, 36].

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. The Roles of Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines in Spinal LTP and Hippocampal LTP are Different

It has been repetitively demonstrated that TNF-α [37-40] and IL-1β [20-22, 34, 41] at pathological concentrations inhibit LTP induced by tetanic stimulation in hippocampus. In the present study, we demonstrated that spinal application
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of IL-1β affected neither basal synaptic transmission nor spinal LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials induced by tetanic stimulation in naïve animals, but induced spinal LTP in rats with neuropathic pain produced by nerve injury (SNI or L5 VRT). The effect of IL-1β on synaptic plasticity in spinal dorsal horn is almost identical to that of TNF-α [25]. The results support our hypothesis that the effect of pro-inflammatory cytokines on synaptic plasticity in spinal dorsal horn is different from that in hippocampus.

4.2. The Mechanisms Underlying the Effect of Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines on Synaptic Plasticity

It has been shown that TNF-α at physiological level causes an increase in surface expression of neuronal AMPA receptors, thereby increasing synaptic efficacy [41]. In IL-1 receptor 1 knockout mice hippocampal memory processes are impaired and LTP is complete absent in the dentate gyrus and in the CA1 region of hippocampus [42]. These data indicate that TNF-α and IL-1 are needed for memory processes and long-term synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus. However, TNF-α and IL-1β at pathological concentration inhibit LTP in hippocampus by activation of p38 MAPK [21, 22], JNK [23] and NF-κB [24]. In contrast,
in spinal dorsal horn we found that TNF-α induces LTP of C-fiber evoked field potentials in rats with nerve injury by activation of p38 MAPK, JNK and NF-κB, while in naïve animals inhibition of p38 MAPK and NF-κB, but not by JNK inhibitor, indicating that JNK, which is important for TNF-α-induced LTP, may be not involved in IL-1β-induced LTP. Furthermore, we found that IL-1β-induced LTP was completely blocked by protein synthesis inhibitor, suggesting IL-1β may induce late-phase LTP, as late-phase LTP but not early-phase LTP in spinal dorsal horn is protein synthesis-dependent [36]. The findings may be significant for the treatment of neuropathic pain. The drugs targeting at pro-inflammatory cytokines and their downstream molecules may attenuate neuropathic pain without impairing memory in case of nerve injury.

Further studies are needed to elucidate why pro-inflammatory cytokines and their downstream molecules play different roles in hippocampus and in spinal dorsal horn in pathological conditions.
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