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Abstract: The last decade (2001 - 2010), declared as the Decade of Pain Control and Research by the United States Congress, brought significantly advances in our understanding of pain biology. Unfortunately, this has not translated into additional effective treatments of chronic pain conditions. Chronic pain is a debilitating and complex clinical state usually associated with diabetic neuropathy, postherpetic neuralgia, low back pathology, fibromyalgia, and neurological disorders. Standard pain drugs, even narcotic opioid analgesic agents, often provide unsatisfactory pain relief while causing important side-effect such as sedation, tolerance, dependence, respiratory depression and constipation. Furthermore, the effective management of chronic pain needs a multidisciplinary management approach and still represents one of the most urgent unmet medical need. Recently, preclinical research has uncovered new molecular mechanisms underlying the generation and transduction of pain, many of which represent new targets for innovative pharmacological interventions. This review focuses on Transient Receptor Potential (TRP) Vanilloid Type 1 (TRPV1) channel as a target for treating chronic pain. TRPV1 is a multifunctional ion channel involved in thermosensation (heat) and taste perception. Importantly, TRPV1 also functions as a molecular integrator for a broad variety of seemingly unrelated noxious stimuli. Indeed, TRPV1 is thought to be a major transducer of the thermal hyperalgesia that follows inflammation and/or tissue injury. Desensitization to topical TRPV1 agonists (e.g. capsaicin creams and patches) has been in clinical use for decades to treat chronic painful conditions like diabetic neuropathy. Most recently, a number of potent, small molecule TRPV1 antagonists have been advanced into clinical trials for pain relief. Perhaps not unexpectedly given the prominent role of TRPV1 in thermosensation, some of these antagonists showed worrisome adverse effects (hyperthermia and impaired noxious heat sensation) in humans, leading to their withdrawal from clinical trials. However, recent reports of TRPV1 antagonists that do not affect core body temperature in preclinical species suggest a potential opportunity to reduce at least this important side effect.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain is among the most common reasons patients approach the health care community. Contrary to acute pain, that represents the response to an injury and lasts until the injury is healed, chronic pain persists, sometimes long after the healing process, and in some cases, the injury never heals causing an almost constant state of pain. Chronic pain is often associated with diabetic neuropathy, postherpetic neuralgia, low back pathology, fibromyalgia, cancer and neurological disorders such as depression and anxiety. It has been recently estimated that about 50 million United States citizens suffer from chronic pain, costing the country billions of dollars in health care costs and lost productivity [1]. Indeed, the neuropathic pain market in the United States is expected to double from today’s $2.6 billion to $5 billion by 2018. In recognition of this problem, the United States Congress declared 2001-2010 as the Decade of Pain Control and Research.

Unfortunately, despite our present depth of knowledge of the basic mechanisms underlying pain and the investment of significant resources to identify novel analgesic drugs, chronic pain remains a significant unmet medical need. Part of the difficulty in developing successful treatments for chronic pain lies in our failure to resolve the complex interplay among mechanisms involved. To date, patients suffering from disabling pain conditions often need complex and aggressive treatment plans that combine medical and surgical approaches [2-4]. In addition, the field has struggled to develop drugs that provide relief devoid of central side effects such as dizziness and drowsiness that limit the patient's ability to carry out normal activities. The mainstay of medical pain therapy remains drugs that have been around for decades, like non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (often referred to as NSAIDs), or even centuries, such as opiates [4]. Many patients, however, find over-the-counter NSAID medications ineffective for pain relief. Opiates are very powerful painkillers but their clinical use is limited by the adverse effects that they cause [5]. Also, many clinicians are concerned about abuse of prescription pain killers, in particular opiates [6]. This scenario indicates that the current therapeutic approach for chronic pain does not provide adequate relief to patients [7] and that there is a great need for new therapeutic agents in this field.

Over the past few years, however, significant scientific progress has been made in our understanding of the mecha-
nisms that underlie pathologic pain. The differences and overlaps among nociceptive, inflammatory and neuropathic pain are beginning to be understood. Preclinical research has identified a large number of potential targets for drug discovery and mechanisms that are involved in the development and maintenance of chronic pain. A key discovery was the molecular cloning of the vanilloid (capsaicin) receptor TRPV1 (transient receptor potential (TRP), vanilloid subfamily member 1), a polymodal nociceptor expressed on primary sensory neurons [8] recognized as a heat-sensitive cation channel [9-11]. As many pro-algesic pathways converge on TRPV1 and this nocisensor is up-regulated and sensitized by inflammation and injury (see below) [9-13], TRPV1 is thought to be a central transducer of hyperalgesia and a prime target for the pharmacological control of pain. Together with TRPV1, other TRP channels such as TRPV2, TRPV3, TRPV4, TRPA1 and TRPM8, are implicated in sensory processing [14].

Very recently, a number of potent, small molecule TRPV1 antagonists have been advanced into clinical trials for the evaluation of analgesic activity. Some of these antagonists impaired noxious heat sensation and induced hyperthermia in healthy volunteers, leading to their withdrawal from the clinical trials. This review focuses on present evidence that supports the hypothesis that TRPV1 antagonists offer potential as new-generation analgesic drugs, along with potential adverse effects that may limit their clinical value.

THE TRPV1 CHANNEL AND NOCICEPTION
Capsaicin, a Little Bit of “History”

Capsaicin (8-methyl-N-vanillyl-6-nonenamide) and several related compounds called “capsaicinoids” are active components produced by chili peppers (Capsicum annum), probably as deterrents against certain herbivores and fungi. Capsaicin is an irritant for mammals, including humans, and produces a sensation of burning in any tissue with which it comes into contact. Moreover, it induces profuse perspiration (known as gustatory sweating) as well as a hot, burning sensation that dissipates upon repeated challenge (desensitization). Capsaicin is not only a spice, however, but an extremely versatile agent whose biological uses, covered by more than 900 patents, range from culinary applications (includ- ed to improve flavor and inhibit bacterial growth) through pain killers to chemical weapons and repellants.

Chili pepper is extensively used in folk medicine. Some uses are time-honored and are supported by modern science whereas others are puzzling (though harmless) or have a darker side. For example, the analgesic use of capsaicin was probably independently recognized by folk healers in various cultures. In India, chili pepper tea is strongly recommended for dental pain. Native Americans traditionally rub their gums with pepper pods to relieve tooth ache. This practice also gained popularity in Europe, as was noted by the Hungarian botanist-turned-clergyman Otto Hangay in 1887 [15]. As early as 1850, the Dublin Free Press recommended the use of alcoholic hot pepper extract on sore teeth [16]. These early observations by astute folk healers paved the way to the on-going clinical trials with selective, small molecule TRPV1 antagonists for tooth ache and post-molar extraction pain.

The modern pharmacology of capsaicin has its roots in the laboratory of Endre Högyes in Budapest, Hungary. In 1878, he made the astute observation that the capsaicin acts on sensory nerve fibers [17] (see below). However, unlike other plant products such as nicotine and atropine which attracted tremendous interest capsaicin was by and large ignored by pharmacologists until the 1950’s. It was the brilliant Hungarian pharmacologist Nicholas (Miklós) Jancsó who almost single-handedly transformed capsaicin from a spice (and pharmacological oddity) to a promising analgesic drug during the tumultuous years after the 2nd World War. In 1949, he observed that “there are compounds that can selectively desensitize sensory nerve endings to noxious chemical stimuli without causing local anesthesia [18]. Capsaicin is the archetypal of such desensitizing agents. Jancsó also postulated a central role for capsaicin-sensitive nerves in neurogenic inflammation [19].

Capsaicin got “rediscovered” in the late ’70ies, as evidenced by the explosion of the literature from a few papers a year in 1977 [20, 21] to more than one a day in the late ’80ies. As of today, the database of the National Library of Medicine lists 10,937 scientific papers on capsaicin. Capsaicin-containing creams (e.g. Zostrix) entered clinical practice to relieve pain associated with disease states like postherpetic neuralgia or diabetic polyneuropathy in the ‘80ies. Intravascular administration of capsaicin proved beneficial in patients with overactive bladder.

In 1990 [22], the specific binding of resiniferatoxin (4-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-(2S,3aR,3bS,6aR,9aR,9bR,10R,11aR)-3a,3b,6a,9a,10,11a-octahydro-6a-hydroxy-8,10-dime-thyl-11a-(1-methylethenyl)-7-oxo-2-(phenylmethyl)-7H-2,9-b-epoxyazuleno[5,4-e]-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl] benzeneacetate), an ultrapotent capsaicin analogue isolated from the latex of the cactus-like perennial E. resinifera, furnished the first biochemical proof for the existence of the long sought-after capsaicin receptor [23-26]. After this discovery, it took only seven years to clone the TRPV1 receptor [27], employing an expression cloning strategy based on capsaicin-evoked Ca2+ uptake. The importance of TRPV1 as a pain sensor was validated by both deletion of the TRPV1 gene [28, 29] and knock-down of TRPV1 by RNA interference [30-32].

Capsaicin has long been used as a topical analgesic agent to relieve chronic pain associated with postherpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy and rheumatoid arthritis [33-35]. Moreover, it was recommended for musculoskeletal pains such as muscle strains and back ache. In the US, capsaicin is available as an over-the-counter (OTC) cream at concentrations of 0.075% or less under brand names like Axsain (0.025% capsaicin mixed with lidocaine) and Zostrix (0.075%).

Desensitization to TRPV1 agonists (e.g. capsaicin and resiniferatoxin) is a powerful approach to relieve symptoms of nociceptive behavior in animal models of chronic pain. At present, both capsaicin-containing patches (Qutenza by Astellas Pharma, formerly NGX-4010 by NeurogesX) and site-specific, injectable capsaicin preparations (Adlea by Anesiva) are undergoing clinical trials in both oncologic and non-oncologic patient populations for the indication of chronic, intractable pain. The ultrapotent capsaicin analog, resiniferatoxin, is moving into Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials at
The National Cancer Institute to treat severe pain associated with advanced cancer [36].

**TRPV1 as Polymodal Sensor Expressed on Peptidergic Sensory Neurons**

Generally speaking, pain is perceived when action potentials generated in nociceptive neurons are transmitted to the somatosensory cortex. These neurons express a variety of ion channels, many of which represent potential targets for analgesic drugs [37]. A subset of nociceptive neurons is distinguished by its unique sensitivity to capsaicin. In the skin, capsaicin causes an itching, pricking or burning sensation and produces cutaneous vasodilatation (flare response) and edema formation. After this initial acute neuronal excitation, a period of enhanced sensitivity to heat (thermal hyperalgesia) is established. Alternatively (after repeated challenge or when high doses are used), the previously excited neurons develop a lasting refractory state (traditionally referred to as desensitization) in which they are unresponsive not only to capsaicin but also various unrelated chemical and physical stimuli. This capsaicin sensitivity is long considered as a functional signature of primary sensory neurons with thin-myelinated Aδ and unmyelinated C-fibers, hence the term capsaicin-sensitive afferent neurons. These neurons have somata in sensory ganglia (dorsal root, trigeminal and vagal), reveal slow conduction capacity, and respond to noxious thermal, mechanical and chemical stimuli.

Upon stimulation, TRPV1-expressing, thus capsaicin-sensitive, primary sensory neurons release a variety of pro-inflammatory neuropeptides (e.g. substance P [SP], calcitonin gene-related peptide [CGRP], and neurokinin A [NKA]) that initiate a cascade of biochemical events, globally defined as neurogenic inflammation [38]. Neurogenic inflammation is thought to play a central role in the pathogenesis of various disease states that range from migraine through asthma to inflammatory bowel disease [38, 39].

TRPV1 is a polymodal receptor, sensitive to noxious heat (above 43 °C, Fig. (1)), acidosis (pH between 5 and 6), “endoanilloids” (e.g. anandamide, arachidonic acid metabolites such as N-arachidonoyl-dopamine [NADA], 12-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid, oxidized linoleic acid metabolites, essential oils, octadecadienooids), and a variety of pungent plant products as exemplified by capsaicin (responsible for the piquancy of hot chilli peppers), resiniferatoxin, piperine (the pungent ingredient in black pepper), gingerol and zingerone (from ginger), camphor, as well as eugenol (a powerful essential oil found in cloves). Interestingly (and somewhat unexpectedly), TRPV1 is also activated by ethanol and venoms from jellyfish and spiders [9, 40-49].

In addition, TRPV1 is receptive to pro-inflammatory agents such as prostaglandins, bradykinin, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), 5-hydroxytryptamine, protease activate receptors (PAR) 1, 2 and 4, nerve growth factor (NGF) and interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β) that cause allosteric modification of the channel protein, either directly or indirectly, such that the probability of channel opening by heat, protons and vanilloids is enhanced.

The well-documented property of TRPV1 to become sensitized when exposed to painful stimuli has led to the hypothesis that TRPV1 is a prime contributor to the development of thermal hyperalgesia [57], which, in turn, is be-
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HYPERTHERMIA CAUSED BY TRPV1 BLOCKADE

It is well known that the agonist capsaicin transiently decreases body temperature in various species, including man, by operating the TRPV1 channel [26, 119]. In the course of evaluating the ability of TRPV1 antagonists to block capsaicin-induced hyperthermia, it was found that some of them caused hyperthermia per se [101, 120, 121]. These results appeared unexpectedly because TRPV1 (−/−) mice have an apparently normal body temperature and rats whose TRPV1-expressing neurons have been ablated by high-dose neonatal capsaicin administration do not show hyperthermia either.

The question which still remains to be answered unequivocally is whether the hyperthermic action of TRPV1 antagonists is un-separable from their analgesic action. The hyperthermic action of some TRPV1 antagonists was first reported in 2006 at the Spring Pain Conference, Cayman Islands. It was noted that the increase in body temperature was moderate (~0.5 °C); it could be mitigated by common antipyretic drugs like acetaminophen; and it disappeared (“desensitized”) upon repeated administration. It was, however, worrisome that the febrile reaction was exacerbated in animals exposed to bacterial endotoxins (LPS).

Two years later, Amgen announced the early termination of a Phase 1b dental pain (molar extraction) study with their clinical candidate molecule, AMG517, because it caused a lasting (1-4 days) marked hyperthermia response (up to 40.2 °C) in human volunteers. This finding provided the experimental foundation for the concept (most recently refuted by Romanovsky and colleagues, [122]) that the predominant function of TRPV1 is body temperature regulation [123]. It was postulated that TRPV1 has an endogenous tone which is important for the maintenance of normal body temperature [121, 124]. If this tone is increased (for example by administering an exogenous TRPV1 agonist such as capsaicin), core temperature starts dropping. Conversely, decreasing the tone by TRPV1 antagonists leads to hyperthermia. This simple model is, however, inconsistent with the experimental findings. The hyperthermic activity of capsaicin has been firmly linked to the preoptic area. Capsaicin when microinjected into this brain nucleus causes a marked hypothermic response. By contrast, the low CNS penetrant TRPV1 antagonist, AMG0347, is not more effective in causing hyperthermia when administered into the brain (intracerebroventricularly) or spinal cord (intrathecally) than when given systemically (intravenously) [125]. This observation was interpreted to imply that TRPV1 expressed on a peripheral site mediates the effect of TRPV1 antagonist on core body temperature. In other words, the sites that are responsible for the hyperthermic activity of capsaicin (preoptic area) and hyperthermic action of TRPV1 antagonists are anatomically distinct. The site that mediates the febrile reaction of the antagonists is now believed to be in the abdomen, probably the GI tract (though this concept has been questioned recently) [125].

Several strategies were tried to mitigate the hyperthermic action of TRPV1 antagonists. Similar to agonist-induced hyperthermia that disappears after repeated administration, antagonist-induced hyperthermia also shows attenuation after repeated dosing [126]. It was suggested that the initial hyperthermia can be adequately managed by common antipyretic agents like acetaminophen. A more attractive approach is to eliminate the undesirable side-effect of TRPV1 antagonists on thermoregulation by chemical modification of the pharmacophore. In the rat, it was feasible to eliminate hyperthermia while preserving antihyperalgesia by differential modulation of distinct modes of TRPV1 activation. Compounds (e.g. AMG8562) that prevented the activation of rat TRPV1 by capsaicin, but not by low pH (referred to as Profile C antagonists), had no effect on body temperature [93]. Finally, the Abbott group disclosed that acid sparing TRPV1 antagonists do not significantly increase core body temperature [127].

Very recently, it has been described that the TRPV1 antagonist, BCTP, does not induce significant hyperthermia in rodents at doses providing analgesia [128]. Other potent TRPV1 antagonists (GRC6211, PHE377 and AS1928370) appear to be devoid of any febrile reaction when administered to rodents or dogs. Indeed, AS1928370 did not increase rectal body temperature up to 10 mg/kg oral treatment, although a significant hypothermic effect was noted at 30 mg/kg [104, 105].

At present, there is no mechanistic explanation why some TRPV1 antagonists elevate body temperature whereas others do not.

TRPV1 ANTAGONISTS AND LOSS OF WARM THERMAL PERCEPTION IN HUMANS

In keeping with its function as a noxious heat sensor, an impaired detection of painful heat was described in TRPV1 knockout mice [28]. Moreover, TRPV1 antagonists were reported to elevate the withdrawal reflex threshold in response to noxious heat in preclinical species [129-131].

Most recently, clinical studies have confirmed the role of TRPV1 as a noxious heat sensor in humans demonstrating the involvement of the channel in heat perception in healthy volunteers. Indeed, heat pain threshold was significantly elevated in non-sensitized skin of healthy volunteers following 400 mg SB-705498 (GlaxoSmithKline) oral administration [81, 132]. Subsequently, investigators at Merck-Neurogen have reported that compound MK-2295 markedly blunted heat perception in healthy human subjects (quantitative thermal sensory tests, pain evoked by hand immersion into or sipping hot water) with no sign of tachyphylaxis [131, 133]. Similar results were observed by AstraZeneca with the TRPV1 antagonist, AZD1386. AZD1386 was investigated in two Phase 1 trials in healthy volunteers and found to increase mean thresholds for heat-induced pain [132]. Interestingly, the enhancement in heat pain threshold persisted after repeated dosing of compound AZD1386.

The enhanced heat pain threshold and tolerance induced by TRPV1 antagonists in healthy volunteers (which is appar-
ently greater than those observed in pre-clinical species) is worrisome for its potential to cause scalding injury. Indeed, some subjects taking MK-2295 perceived potentially harmful temperatures as innocuous. These individuals could have suffered scalding injuries when taking hot shower or drinking hot coffee. Importantly, the effect of TRPV1 antagonists on heat pain sensation does not attenuate after multiple dosages.

As mentioned above, the TRPV1 selective antagonist, ABT-102, demonstrated efficacy in multiple preclinical pain models. However, evolving clinical data for this compound class suggested potentially profound drug-induced thermosensory impairment. In a multiple-dose, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized healthy volunteer trial, ABT-102 dose-dependently increased heat pain thresholds and reduced painfulness of suprathreshold heat. These undesired effects were observed during the entire administration regimen and reversed during the three follow-up days. No impairment in oral and cutaneous cold detection was reported [134, 135].

**CLINICAL EXPERIENCE WITH TRPV1 ANTAGONISTS**

Although several small molecule TRPV1 antagonists are currently undergoing Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials for indications related to pain Fig. (2) and Table 1, many others showed worrisome adverse effects in men, leading to their withdrawal from the clinical trials.

Daewoong Pharmaceutical has received approval from the KFDA (Korean Food and Drug Administration) to enter into clinical trials with compound DWP-05195 for oral treatment of neuropathic pain. By July 2011, the Korean Ministry
of Health had selected DWP05195 as a project to financially support. In August 2011 a Phase 2 trial in patients with post herpetic neuralgia began. [84, 136].

Compound PHE377 [137], a potent TRPV1 antagonist developed by PharmEste to treat diabetic neuropathic pain and post herpetic neuralgia, is currently undergoing a Phase I clinical trial. A second generation TRPV1 antagonist, PHE575, is in preclinical development stage [83].

Mochida, following the reacquisition of wrights from Wyeth/Pfizer is developing MR-1817 for the oral treatment of pain. A Phase 1 trial was completed in 2011. However, no information on Phase I results have been disclosed so far [85].

In 2007, GlaxoSmithKline disclosed its Phase 1 results obtained with its selective and potent TRPV1 antagonist, SB-705498. In the first part of the study, single doses of SB-705498 ranging from 2 to 200 mg did not display efficacy in the capsaicin-evoked flare test [81]. However, in the second part of the study, a single oral dose of 400 mg SB-705498 substantially reduced pain from cutaneous capsaicin challenge (0.075% capsaicin cream applied to the forearm) compared to placebo. Importantly, SB-705498 did not show any serious adverse effects in the study. In December 2005, an active-controlled, placebo-controlled, randomized, single-blind, Phase 2 trial (NCT00281684, VRA105345) was initiated in subjects with dental pain following third molar tooth extraction. The subjects were to receive a single oral dose of SB-705498, placebo or co-codamol. The study was completed by February 2008 and no results have been revealed, yet [138]. Topical formulations of SB-705498 have been recently evaluated in two Phase 2 clinical trials in chronic cough and non allergic rhinitis patients [139, 140]. By February 2011, a Phase 1 trial had begun with topical formulation in pruritus [86].

Abbott was developing a tablet formulation of ABT-102 for the potential treatment of pain associated with tissue injury, inflammation and ischemia. ABT-102 was assessed in a safety and tolerability double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized healthy volunteer trial. ABT-102 potently and reversibly increased heat pain thresholds and reduced painfulness of suprathreshold oral/cutaneous heat (see above). Apart from a significant hyperthermic effect that was disclosed as well, no other relevant serious safety findings have been reported. The most frequently reported adverse events were sensations of feeling hot or cold, hot flushes, altered taste sensation, and oral hypoesthesias or dysesthesias [109, 134, 135].

AstraZeneca was developing AZD-1386 for the potential oral treatment of chronic nociceptive pain and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). In April 2008, an active-
controlled, placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blind Phase 2 trial (NCT00672646, D5090C00010) was initiated in subjects with pain due to third molar extraction. A total of 103 male patients were enrolled into the study. AZD-1386 (55 mg per os) caused significant pain relief. Although only a modest increase in body temperature (~0.4 °C in average) was noticed in most patients, exceeding 38 °C in one individual, AZD1386 was discontinued in 2010 from development in chronic pain due to liver enzyme elevations [141, 142]. In 2009, AZD-1386 was evaluated in a six-week multicentre proof of concept study with a double-blind, placebo controlled, randomised, adaptive dose-finding design in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. The trial aimed to evaluate the efficacy, safety, tolerability and PK of different oral doses of AZD1386 (30 and 90 mg) and placebo. Although the observed plasma concentrations of AZD1386 were in agreement with what was expected and highest observed plasma concentration was 7520 nmol/L, AZD1386 was not effective in reducing pain compared to placebo at any of the doses tested. A majority of the adverse events were mild to moderate in intensity. The most common types of adverse events included altered sensations/sensory perceptions in the mouth and feelings of warmth and coldness. Burns of mild to moderate intensity were reported in eight patients on AZD1386 (see also above). None of the burns were classified as a serious adverse event and none of the affected patients discontinued due to these events. There was no difference in mean body temperature between AZD1386 and placebo and no apparent differences between treatment groups in other vital signs [143].

A Phase 2 trial with GRC-6211 (Glenmark-Eli Lilly) for osteoarthritis pain was suspended due to undisclosed reasons. Additional indications include incontinence and neuropathic pain [144].

Merck was developing MK-2295 (NGD-8243; MRK-2295) for the potential treatment of pain and cough. As discussed above, MK-2295 has markedly increased the noxious heat pain threshold in humans, placing the study participants at the risk of scalding injury. For example, only 66% of individuals on 25 mg of MK-2295 found sipped 70 °C water too hot for rapid consumption compared to every person in the control group. These findings question the clinical safety of MK-2295 (and maybe all TRPV1 antagonists) [145].

Japan Tobacco was developing the TRPV1 antagonist, JTS-653, for the potential treatment of pain and overactive bladder. However, last year the development of JTS-653 in pain was discontinued for unknown reasons [146].

CONCLUSIONS

The discovery that some TRP channels are expressed in nociceptive neurons has spawned extensive research efforts to understand the role of these channels in the initiation and maintenance of pain conditions and to identify potent and selective small molecule antagonists that can be exploited for therapeutic purposes.

In particular, TRPV1 is a sensory channel able to sense a large range of structurally different chemicals and to be operated by noxious heat and acidic media. Moreover, TRPV1 is sensitized by several inflammatory mediators that lower its thermal threshold of activation, resulting in nociceptor activation/sensitization at physiologic temperatures. TRPV1 antagonists developed so far demonstrated a great analgesic activity in preclinical models of inflammatory and neuropathic pain. Thus, TRPV1 represents a plausible therapeutic target for novel analgesics and the use of TRPV1 antagonists are predicted to inhibit the sensation of ongoing or burning pain that is reported by patients suffering from chronic pain, therefore offering an unprecedented advantage in selectively inhibiting painful signalling from where it is initiated. Given that many of the conditions driving tissue injury result in an increase in TRPV1 in the nociceptors, there may be an additional therapeutic advantage.

Recently, the pharmaceutical industry showed great success in the identification and development of potent small molecule TRPV1 antagonist candidates. To date, at least fifteen compounds entered Phase 1 clinical trials and five of these agents have progressed into Phase 2 ‘proof-of-concept’ studies.

Since TRPV1 is mainly located at the periphery, where the pain pathway begins, it was hoped that TRPV1 blockade (antagonism) in humans would have been devoid of side-effects that plague the clinical use of centrally-acting analgesic agents. Unfortunately, these expectations were recently replaced by cautious optimism. Indeed, perhaps not unexpectedly given the prominent role of TRPV1 in thermosensation, some of these antagonists showed worrisome adverse effects such as hyperthermia (e.g. AMG517, ABT-102, JTS-653, AZD-1386, MK-2295) and impaired noxious heat sensation in preclinical animals and men (e.g. SB-705498, MK-2295 and AZD-1386). These unwanted effects caused the withdrawal of several molecules from clinical trials.

The results of the completed Phase 2 trials will be likely released soon. If the promise of these compounds from preclinical and Phase 1 work is confirmed by the proof-of-concept studies, TRPV1 antagonists may represent the first mechanistically novel class of analgesic drugs for many years. Moreover, recent reports of TRPV1 antagonists that do not affect core body temperature in preclinical species suggest a potential opportunity to reduce at least this important side effect.
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