# **Molecular Markers in Diagnostic Paediatric Bone Lesions**

Brendan C. Dickson<sup>1</sup>, Catherine T-S. Chung<sup>2</sup> and Adrienne M. Flanagan<sup>\*,3,4,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Division of Pathology, Department of Paediatric Laboratory Medicine, Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Histopathology, Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital NHS Trust, Stanmore, Middlesex, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Histopathology, UCLH NHS Trust, London, UK

<sup>5</sup>UCL - Paul O'Gorman Cancer Institute, University Street, London, UK

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Orthopaedics and Musculoskeletal Science, UCL, Stanmore, Middlesex, UK

**Abstract:** Molecular pathology has become an essential adjunct in the realm of diagnostic histopathology. While gains in this field have been enjoyed in many areas, their impact has arguably been greatest felt in bone and soft tissue pathology. The purpose of this paper is to highlight the emerging role of molecular techniques in the context of paediatric bone pathology, discussing select syndromic and neoplastic processes affecting this demographic. To this end, we review the salient molecular advances bridging both clinical research and clinical practice.

Keywords: Bone tumours, bone lesions, paediatric, molecular markers, diagnosis.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Applications in molecular biology have revolutionized the practice of pathology, enhancing not only our understanding of the pathophysiology of disease, but its diagnosis and prognosis. The first use of this term was borne from a reference to early studies in the field of heredity [1], and although many credit the term to Warren Weaver at the Rockefeller Foundation [2], it remained largely undefined for over half a century until the 1950 Harvey lecture by physicist William Thomas Astbury:

> It [molecular biology] is concerned particularly with the forms of biological molecules, and with the evolution, exploitation and ramification of those forms in the ascent to higher and higher levels of organisation . . . It must at the same time inquire into genesis and function [3, 4].

Arguably, the field of soft tissue pathology has benefited most from recent advances in our understanding of the molecular basis governing many of these neoplasms [5]; the corollary applies to non-neoplastic diseases affecting skeletal bone. Decades of careful morphologic and radiologic studies have long recognized a genetic component to many osteochondrodysplasias and molecular techniques have begun to establish a basis for their pathogenesis [6]. The purpose of this brief review is to summarize some of the principal molecular attributes governing neoplasms of paediatric bone, as well as drawing attention to recent diagnostic applications to select non-neoplastic lesions.

#### 2. FAMILIAL SYNDROMES ASSOCIATED WITH A PREDISPOSITION TO PAEDIATRIC BONE NEOPLASMS

A large number of conditions, including those with either a sporadic and/or hereditary basis, are associated with lesions involving the bones of paediatric patients. These lesions may be neoplastic in nature, or the result of non-neoplastic processes.

By far, the most common entities causing bone lesions in the paediatric population are the skeletal dysplasias, or osteochondrodysplasias, which represent a large (>370) and heterogeneous group of conditions. Discussion of these entities is beyond the scope of this review; nevertheless, some of these disorders necessitate mention. For the remainder, it should suffice to draw attention to the classification proposed by the International Skeletal Dysplasia Society together with the Nosology Group. This classification divides these entities into 37 groups, integrating morphologic details from clinical and radiologic observations together with pathogenetic and molecular findings [7].

The following is a brief overview of some of these entities. Most can be diagnosed on a clinical and morphologic basis; however, molecular testing is possible in many cases, and may be an important consideration for patients and their family members.

# 2.1. Bloom Syndrome

Clinically characterised by proportional dwarfism, sunsensitive skin and immunodeficiency, affected individuals have a predisposition to neoplasia at an early age [8, 9]. While

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the Institute of Orthopaedics and Musculoskeletal Science, Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, Brockley Hill, Stanmore, Middlesex HA7 4LP, UK; Tel: 00 44 20 8909 5354; Fax: 0044 20 8954 5908; E-mail: a.flanagan@ucl.ac.uk

the majority of neoplasms are carcinomatous or lymphoproliferative in origin, osteosarcoma has been reported in the first two decades, including one patient with a prior Wilms' tumour [9].

The *BLM* gene, situated at 15q26.1, encodes DNA helicase RecQ protein-like-3 (*RECQL3*) [10, 11]. Interestingly, two other members of the RECQL family have been linked to neoplasms of bone in childhood. The *WRN/RECQL2* gene, situated at 8p12-p11.2, encodes *RECQL2*, which is linked to Werner syndrome [12]. Mutations involving the *RECQL4* gene are associated with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome (*vide infra*) [13].

#### 2.2. Cherubism

This condition results in bilateral bony expansion of the jaw, involving mainly the mandible and occasionally the maxilla; it usually begins in the first decade and stabilizes in the second [14, 15]. Histologically, tissue from involved sites is fibrous and osteoclast-rich; it is indistinguishable from lesions arising from hyperparathyroidism and central giant cell lesion (giant cell reparative granuloma) [16].

The trait is passed in an autosomal dominant fashion and demonstrates variable penetrance. The gene maps to 4p16.3 [17, 18], and in the majority of cases is due to mutation in the Src Homology-3 Binding Protein-2 gene *SH3BP2* [19]. In a smaller number of cases other genetic disorders have been associated with the cherubism phenotype, including neurofibromatosis type 1 [20-22] and Noonan syndrome [23-27]. It is possible other entities may also lead to this phenotype.

# 2.3. Diaphyseal Medullary Stenosis with Malignant Fibrous Histiocytoma

Also known as Hardcastle's Syndome [28], diaphyseal medullary stenosis represents a rare entity and is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait with high penetrance. It tends to present in late adolescence and early adulthood [29]. The long tubular bones demonstrate diaphyseal medullary stenosis and cortical thickening, and the bone is prone to fracture. Associated with this abnormality is a high incidence of secondary malignant transformation which appears to be associated with bone infarction [29-31]. The malignant tumour associated with this disease was originally described as fibrosarcoma, this has since been changed to malignant fibrous histiocytoma (pleomorphic undifferentiated sarcoma) to reflect this tumour's aggressive course [29].

While the causative gene remains to be identified, it has been mapped to 9p21-p22 and it is associated with a loss of heterozygosity at this locus [32-34].

#### 2.4. Enchondromatosis

Also known as multiple enchondromas, this is characterised by the development of multiple intramedullary cartilaginous neoplasms. In isolation this process is referred to as Ollier disease; when combined with the presence of multiple soft tissue haemangiomas it is called Maffucci syndrome. Neither Ollier disease nor Maffucci syndrome are familial. Both entities are associated with an increased risk of chondrosarcoma [35, 36] and osteosarcoma [35, 37, 38]. Maffucci syndrome is further associated with a remote risk of angiosarcoma [39].

Mutations in the parathyroid hormone receptor 1 gene, *PTHR1*, located at 3p21-22, have been identified as causative in a minority of patients with Ollier disease [40, 41]; these observations were not confirmed by a separate group, making this the subject of ongoing study [42]. As the cause appears to be heterogeneous, it is conceivable that mutations in other components contributing to chondrocyte differentiation (e.g., Indian Hedgehog-PTH-related protein pathway) might also contribute to enchrondromatosis [43]. Of note, mutations in *PTHR1* are also known to contribute to some chondrodysplasias [44, 45].

Few reports exist of cytogenetic studies in enchondromatosis. The results of studies with enchondromas have proved inconsistent with variably normal karyotypes in a number of cases [46, 47] isochromosome of the short arm of chromosome 6 [46], t(12; 15)(q13; q26) [46], as well as more complex cytogenetic findings [47-50].

#### 2.5. Li-Fraumeni Syndrome

This represents a heterogeneous entity both in clinical presentation, and causative gene(s). Affected individuals have a profound predisposition to malignancies at a young age; this includes osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcomas, breast carcinoma, and an eclectic host of other neoplasms [51, 52]. The classic form of this syndrome is diagnosed in a proband with a sarcoma diagnosed before 45 years of age, and a first degree relative with any malignancy diagnosed before 45, and a first or second degree relative with a sarcoma diagnosed at any age or another form of malignancy prior to 45 years of age [53]. In Li-Fraumeni-like syndrome patients do not necessarily reach the same criteria [53, 54]. Bone tumours encountered with this syndrome are primarily osteosarcomas. While most cases are identified by means of clinical history, patients and relatives may be given the opportunity for testing by direct mutational analysis.

Classically, Li-Fraumeni syndrome is caused by mutations in p53 on 17p13.1 [55]; a smaller number of cases have been reported as attributable to mutations in *CHK2* on 22q12.1 [56-58], although this has recently been questioned [59]. A single report of an association between Li-Fraumeni and *P16INK4A* [60] has not been confirmed by others [61]. Li-Fraumeni-like syndrome appears to be caused by mutations in p53 in a lower percentage of cases [59, 62]; some instances have instead been shown to be due to mutations in *BRCA2* [63, 64].

#### 2.6. Multiple Osteochondroma Syndrome

Denoted by the presence of multiple osteochondromas, these lesions can be sessile or pedunculated [65]. The diagnosis is typically made on the basis of clinical and radiologic observations.

At least three variants of osteochondroma syndrome are recognized, and multiple osteochondromas can be observed as part of other unrelated syndromes [66, 67]. Multiple osteochondroma syndrome type I is caused by mutations in *EXT1* encoding exostosin-1 at chromosome 8q24.11-q24.13 [68]. For some time interstitial deletions near this region have been recognized as associated with Langer-Giedion syndrome (trichorhinophalangeal syndrome type II), which is also associated with multiple osteochondromas [69]. The type I form of multiple osteochondroma syndrome is believed to be more severe than its other variants, and is associated with a

higher rate of malignant transformation to chondrosarcomas [67]. Multiple osteochondroma syndrome type II is caused by mutations in *EXT2* encoding exostosin-2 at chromosome 11p11-p13 [70, 71]. Deletions in this region are associated with Potocki-Shaffer syndrome, which also presents with multiple osteochondromas [72]. Multiple osteochondroma syndrome type III is caused by mutations in *EXT3* on 19p encoding an as yet unspecified protein [73].

#### 2.7. Neurofibromatosis

Generally this is divided into type I (also known as von Recklinghausen disease and peripheral neurofibromatosis), and type II (central neurofibromatosis); additional, less wellcharacterised variants with overlapping features, have also been described. Type I neurofibromatosis occasionally manifests with lesions of the bones, hence it merits brief consideration. For a more comprehensive review of neurofibromatosis, the reader is referred to one of several excellent recent reviews [74, 75].

Long recognized as leading to a multitude of bone changes [76] patients with type I neurofibromatosis may exhibit short stature [75], osteopenia [77], and lesions affecting the sphenoid wing, vertebrae and tibia [78]. Neurofibromatosis may also present with a cherubism phenotype [21, 22]. From the perspective of bone tumours, patients with neurofibromatosis type I may rarely develop some of the lesions traditionally found in the soft tissues, including: neurofibroma, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour, fibrosarcoma and malignant fibrous histiocytoma (pleomorphic undifferentiated sarcoma) [79-81]. Ossifying fibroma [82] and osteosarcoma [83] have also been reported in patients with neurofibromatosis; however, it is difficult in some instances to know whether such an association is spurious.

Type I neurofibromatosis is caused by a mutation in *NF1*, a gene encoding neurofibromin on chromosome 17q11.2 [84-87]. Mutations in this same gene are also responsible for a variant of neurofibromatosis with a Noonan syndrome-like phenotype (Neurofibromatosis-Noonan syndrome; see below) [88, 89].

#### 2.8. Noonan Syndrome

This represents a heterogeneous syndrome broadly characterised by multiple facial anomalies such as low-set and posteriorly rotated ears, downward slanting and hyperteloric eyes, in addition to bleeding diatheses, cardiac malformations, mental retardation, short stature and webbed neck [90].

Roughly half of cases are due to missense mutations of *PTPN11*, corresponding to chromosome 12q24.1 [91]. A smaller number of cases are attributable to mutations in *SOS1* on chromosome 2p22-p21 [92, 93]; *KRAS* on chromosome 12p12.1 [94]; and, *RAF1* on chromosome 3p25 [95]. The aforementioned mutations have also been linked to a number of entities bearing remarkable phenotypic and genotypic overlap with Noonan syndrome, including: LEOPARD syndrome [96], and cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome [97]. For this reason, classification of Noonan syndrome and the Noonan-like syndromes may possibly evolve in the future, as a result of additional molecular characterization.

Noonan-like/multiple giant cell lesion syndrome contains phenotypic overlap with cherubism. Cases with this morphology have been linked to mutations in SOSI [98], and PTPN11 [26, 27]. To date, no cases of Noonan syndrome have been associated with SH3BP2 mutations [26]. Interestingly, Noonan-like/multiple giant cell lesion syndrome may be associated with tenosynovial giant cell tumours [99-101]. An explanation for this observation is not readily apparent. Cytogenetic studies on tenosynovial giant cell tumours are limited, but a translocation t(1; 2)(p13; q37) corresponding to the CSF1-COL6A3 gene product has been described [102, 103]. Earlier work demonstrated several cases with t(1; 2)(p11; q35-36) and individual cases with t(1; 5)(p11; q22) and t(2; 16)(q33; q24) [104]. Deletions of 1p10-1p31.3 have also been reported [105].

#### 2.9. Retinoblastoma

This tumour typically occurs in the first decade [106]; roughly two thirds are unilateral and one third bilateral. Socalled trilateral retinoblastoma refers to the additional diagnosis of a pineoblastoma in a patient with retinoblastoma [107]. Patients with bilateral retinoblastoma have a significantly increased risk of independent secondary primary tumours, with osteosarcoma being the most common [108]; other primary bone tumours include leiomyosarcoma [109-111] and Ewing sarcoma [112-116].

Early cytogenetic evidence supported a location on chromosome 13 based on the presence of retinoblastoma in patients with 13q deletion syndrome [117, 118], and tumour cytogenetics [117]. This was subsequently found to correspond to 13q14 [119], which lead to the identification and cloning of the *RB* gene [120, 121]. This gene is a tumour suppressor, binding the transcription factor *E2F* to limit progression into the cell cycle [122, 123].

#### 2.10. Rothmund-Thomson Syndrome

This syndrome is characterised clinically by growth defects, dermatosis, premature aging and an increased risk of malignancy [124]. Patients have an increased risk of osteosarcoma and osteosarcomatosis with numerous cases identified in recent decades [125, 126]. The syndrome is caused by a mutation in *RECQL4* [13].

#### 2.11. Werner Syndrome

Patients with this syndrome are clinically short statured, exhibit premature aging and are predisposed to neoplasia [12]. These patients are prone to an array of early malignancies, including lymphoproliferative disorders, carcinoma, mening-ioma, melanoma and sarcoma [127, 128]. In the bone, there is a predisposition towards osteosarcoma [128], and such cases may be associated with an atypical clinical presentation [129].

Werner syndrome is due to a mutation in *RECQL2* [12]. It is occasionally referred to as adult progeria; however, this should not be confused with Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome, or atypical Werner syndrome, which are caused by mutations in the lamin A gene, *LMNA* [130].

#### **3. NEOPLASMS OF PAEDIATRIC BONE**

#### 3.1. Benign Paediatric Bone Tumours

#### 3.1.1. Aneurysmal Bone Cyst

Aneurysmal bone cysts (ABC) primarily occur in the first two decades [131], most often effecting the metaphysis of long bones and the spine [132]. Aneurysmal bone cysts are benign lesions which histologically contain prominent bloodfilled cavernous spaces separated by fibrous septa. The septa frequently contain parallel bands of osteoid, and lack an endothelial lining. Spindle cells admixed with osteoclast-type giant cells are also frequently observed [131]. Lesions may be primary, or secondary; while many bone lesions can be associated with secondary changes, the most frequent causes include giant cell tumour, chrondroblastoma and chondromyxoid fibroma [133].

The molecular alterations in primary ABC remain to be characterised fully; nevertheless, the presence of recurrent clonal karyotypic abnormalities support a neoplastic origin [134]. Cytogenetic studies have identified frequent changes involving 17p13.2 and 16q22, including a relatively common t(16; 17)(q22; p13) translocation, suggesting loci in these areas have the potential alone, or together, to contribute to the generation of ABCs [134-136]. The t(16; 17) translocation has been shown to generate a CDH11-USP6 fusion - drawing the cadherin 11 promotor to the ubiquitin-specific protease [137] - resulting in upregulation of USP6 [138]. The breakpoint at 17p13.2 is capable of additional gene fusions, likely via a similar mechanism, including: t(1; 17), t(2; 17), t(3; 17), t(5; 17), t(9; 17), and t(17; 17) [134, 139, 140]. Identification of the 17p13.2 breakpoint locus is amenable to detection using fluorescence in situ hybridization [141].

#### 3.1.2. Bizarre Parosteal Osteochondromatous Proliferation

Also known as Nora's lesion, this represents a benign osteocartilaginous lesion arising on the bone surface, typically of the digits of the hands and feet although lesions at other locations have been described [142-145]. Tumours tend to arise in the third and forth decades, with no apparent sexpredilection [142]. Histologically, lesions are characterised by sheets of irregular bone and cartilage interfaces, and a spindle cell proliferation [142, 146]. The cartilage is hypercellular and undergoes heterotopic calcification, and the chondrocytes may be atypical, rendering the lesion worrisome for chondrosarcoma or parosteal osteosarcoma [146, 147].

Cytogenetic studies have produced mixed observations for this tumour. There are reports of two normal karyotypes [148, 149]. A case with a ring chromosome derived from chromosome 12 has been reported [148]. Two independent groups observed balanced t(1; 17) translocations [149, 150]. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization confirmed a 17q21 break in an additional three cases [149]. The latter observation may serve to distinguish bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation from subungual exostosis [150]; however, report of a t(1; 17) translocation, in addition to t(X; 6) translocation, in a case of subungal exostosis may limit such an application [148].

#### 3.1.3. Central Giant Cell Lesion

Also known as giant cell reparative granuloma, this is an intraosseous lesion affecting the maxilla and mandible, typically within the first two to three decades [151]. Lesions are also recognized at other sites, most notably the small tubular bones of the hands and feet [152, 153]. Histologically, lesions comprise a mononuclear spindle-to-polygonal cell population of proliferating fibroblast-like cells, admixed with osteoclast-type giant cells [154, 155]. Central giant cell lesions have been suggested to be smaller and to contain fewer nuclei

than that of giant cell tumour of bone. Also frequently present are spicules of woven bone and haemosiderin deposition [155]. Differentiation of these lesions from hyperparathyroidism, and/or giant cell tumour of bone can at times prove challenging; the clinical presentation along with the morphology of the lesion generally points to the correct diagnosis [155, 156]. Furthermore, the expression of p63 is helpful in making the diagnosis of giant cell tumour of bone [157].

Limited cytogenetic studies have been performed on these tumours. Stable translocations of t(2; 10)(q23; q24)[158] and t(X; 4)(q22; q31.3) [159], and an unstable (8; 22) translocation [160] have been reported. A recent study identified a novel exon11 mutation in *SH3BP2*, a gene linked to cherubism, in one out of four cases of central giant cell lesion [161]. Mutational studies of other *SH3BP2* exons failed to reveal exon 10 mutations in 15 cases of central giant cell lesion and 11 cases of peripheral giant cell lesion [162]; or, exon 9 mutations in nine cases of central giant cell lesion [163], or the peripheral blood of four patients previously diagnosed with central giant cell lesion [164].

#### 3.1.4. Chondroblastoma

Also known as epiphyseal chondromatous giant cell tumour and calcifying giant cell tumour, this is a benign neoplasm occurring predominatly in the first to third decades, and affecting males slightly more than females [131, 165]. The majority of lesions occur in the epiphysis of long bones, although they may arise at almost any site of secondary ossification. Histologically the tumours are characterised by sheets of polygonal mononuclear cells with distinct cell membranes. The nuclei are round and cleaved longitudinally; mitotic activity is generally present, but not pronounced. Osteoclast-type giant cells are irregularly distributed amongst the neoplastic cells and lobules of cartilage with chicken-wire calcification are helpful morphologic features [165, 166]. These latter two features tend to decrease as the patient advances in age [131]. Expression of S100 generally allows immunohistochemical distinction from most other giant cell rich lesions.

Cytogenetics studies have yielded mixed results, including some cases with no reported karyotypic abnormalities [167-169]. Others, however, have yielded varied and complex abnormalities involving chromosomes 2, 5, 8, 11, 17 and 18 [167, 168, 170]. Breakpoints have further been identified at 2q35, 3q21-23 and 18q21 [171]. A ring chromosome 4 has also been reported [172]. At present, there are no molecular features allowing reliable confirmation of this diagnosis.

#### 3.1.5. Chondromyxoid Fibroma

Described by Jaffe and Lichtenstein in 1948, these tumours tend to occur in the second and third decades, with no obvious sex predilection [173, 174]. Lesions predominate in the metaphysis of long bones [173, 174], but many sites can be involved [175-179]. Tumours are heterogeneous in appearance comprising lobules of myxoid material and areas of fibrous tissue. Islands of hyaline cartilage may be conspicuous, but not a predominant feature. Within the myxoid regions are medium-sized spindle-stellate cells containing eosinophilic cytoplasm. Some cells may contain enlarged, hyperchromatic and multiple nuclei, but mitotic activity is generally rare. The periphery of the lobules is typically well-demarcated and

characterised by increased cellularity. Multinucleated osteoclast-type giant cells and areas of calcification may be seen [174, 180].

Numerous cytogenetic studies have revealed non-random chromosomal rearrangements involving chromosome 6 [48, 169, 171, 181-184]. Less common rearrangements, including an unusual t(1; 5)(p13; p13) translocation [175], and insertion from the short arm of chromosome 2 to the long arm of chromosome 5 [185], have also been described. Based on their potential localization, it has been suggested that *COL10A* and *PTH/PTHrP* may be involved in the pathogenesis of these lesions [181]. Interestingly, an *in vitro* study observed less expression of *PTHrP* in chondromyxoid fibroma compared to articular cartilage [186]; whether this relates to the cytogenetic changes in this lesion remains unclear. At present, molecular studies further characterizing the aforementioned cytogenetic changes have not yet been developed.

#### 3.1.6. Desmoplastic Fibroma

Also known as desmoid tumour of bone, this is a benign neoplasm with histological semblance to that of the soft tissue desmoid [187, 188]. Lesions most frequently present in the second and third decades, with a slight male predilection [189]. Most bones can be affected, although the more common sites include the metaphysis and/or diaphysis of long bones and the mandible. Histologically the lesion is hypocellular and composed of slender fibroblasts/myofibroblasts separated by bundles of wavy collagen. Cellular atypia and mitotic activity is generally lacking [188, 190].

Limited cytogenetic and molecular studies are published on this tumour. Trisomy 8 and trisomy 20 have been identified amongst a fraction of lesional cells [191].

#### 3.1.7. Enchondroma

The reader is directed to above discussion of enchondromatosis.

#### 3.1.8. Fibrous Dysplasia

Fibrous dysplasia represents a benign osteofibrous proliferation arising within the intramedullary canal. Lesions may be monostotic or polyostotic, and can occur alone or as part of a constellation of entities such as McCune-Albright (polyostotic fibrous dysplasia, cafe-au-lait spots and endocrine disorders) or Mazabraud syndromes (polyostotic fibrous dysplasia and myxomas) [192]. There is no apparent sex predilection. Histologically, lesions are characterised by a background of sheets and lobules of bland spindle cells. Occasional areas with a storiform arrangement are encountered. The nuclei tend to be oval and hyperchromatic; mitotic activity is generally minimal. Irregularly shaped trabeculae [193] of disorganized woven bone are distributed throughout the lesion and are notable for a lack of prominent osteoblastic rimming [194]. Few cytogenetic studies have been reported in fibrous dysplasia, with no apparent features consistent amongst these cases [191, 195, 196].

In both syndromic [197] and non-syndromic [198] forms of fibrous dysplasia a large percentage of cases are associated with a point mutation involving the *GNAS1* gene, located at 20q13.2. To date, activating missense mutations have been reported for codon 201 in exon 8 and codon 227 in exon 9 [198]. Mutations are believed to arise at a post-zygotic stage, thus resulting in a mosaic distribution [199]. Most methods of

detection have focused on lesional tissues; however, improvements in sensitivity can allow detection from circulating cells [199].

Detection of *GNAS1* point mutations can essentially be accomplished by one of several means. The traditional approaches have been to use allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridization [200], and mutation-specific restriction enzyme digestion on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products [198, 201]. Other methods include direct sequencing of amplified DNA products [202], COLD-PCR [203], and pyrosequencing [204]. The latter techniques have the advantage of providing more specific information regarding the nature of the detected mutation, but perhaps the disadvantage of increased cost.

#### 3.1.9. Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis

Also known as Langerhans cell granulomatosis, eosinophilic granuloma and histiocytosis X, this lesion represents a benign proliferation of Langerhans cells. Of note, in addition to eosinophilic granuloma, the clinical lexicon for this entity includes Letterer-Siwe disease and Hand-Schuller-Christian disease; all may exhibit prominent bone involvement [205, 206]. The majority of cases arise within the first decade, although some reports extend to the elderly; males are affected roughly twice as frequently as females. This disease can affect virtually any bone; however, it most commonly involves the skull, pelvis and femur. Histologically, cases comprise nests and sheets of round-polygonal cells with abundant pale eosinophilic cytoplasm. The nuclei are oval and contain prominent clefts and grooves; mitotic activity may be prominent. Multinucleated giant cells may be a feature. Necrosis is not uncommon. The lesion is typically associated with eosinophils, and smaller numbers of lymphocytes, plasma cell and neutrophils [131, 207].

The majority of cases of Langerhans cell histiocytosis are sporadic; however, familial clustering has been reported amongst monozygotic twins [208-211]. Cytogenetic studies are inconsistent. There are reports of normal karyotypes in 32 cases [212, 213]. A lower number of patients demonstrate cytogenetic anomalies, including a case with a paracentric inversion of chromosome 13q, and another with some cells bearing a t(7; 12)(q11.2; p13) translocation [214]. Comparative genomic hybridization in a case series revealed DNA loss in several regions, including chromosomes 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 16, 17, and 22; deletions from arms 1p, 7p, 9p, and 22q were confirmed by loss of heterozygosity analysis [215]. Common to all cases in the latter study was a 1p35-p36.3 deletion, leading the authors to speculate this may be the site of genes contributing to the pathogenesis of Langerhans cell histiocytosis [215]. In contrast, a recent study failed to demonstrate genomic abnormalities using comparative genomic hybridization and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays [213]. Interestingly, a role for IL-17A has recently been proposed in the pathogenesis of these lesions [216].

# 3.1.10. Non-Ossifying Fibroma

Also known as fibrous cortical defect, fibroxanthoma, fibrous osteomyelitis, metaphyseal fibrous defect and nonosteogenic fibroma, this represents a benign lesion involving the cortex in the metaphysis of long bones [217]. Most cases present during the first two decades with a slight male predominance [218]. While usually discovered

incidentally, pathologic fracture as the presenting symptom can occasionally occur [219]. Currently, most consider this to represent a non-neoplastic process; however, this remains to be fully established. Histologically, lesions comprise plump spindle cells arranged in a storiform pattern with variable numbers of interspersed osteoclast-type giant cells, foamy histiocytes and haemosiderin pigmentation. Mitotic activity may be noted. Multifocal non-ossifying fibromas have been reported in the setting of neurofibromatosis [220, 221] and the related Jaffe-Campanacci syndrome [222].

Limited cytogenetic information is available on these lesions. There are reports of deletion in the short arm of chromosome 4, with del(4)(p14) [185] and a translocation producing t(1; 4)(p31; q34) [223].

#### 3.1.11. Osteofibrous Dysplasia

This represents a benign fibro-osseous proliferation characteristically affecting the cortex of the anterior tibia [131, 224]. These tumours arise in the first two decades, with a male predisposition [224]. Histologically, they are characterised by a hypocellular spindle cell proliferation admixed with curvilinear trabeculae of woven bone. The latter contains prominent osteoblastic rimming, a feature conspicuously absent in fibrous dysplasia [131, 225]. Scattered cytokeratinpositive cells are found in these tumours supporting an association with adamantinoma [225]; that being said, it is unclear if osteofibrous dysplasia-like adamantinoma always develop into the classic variant of adamantinoma [226].

Cytogenetics in a small number of cases of osteofibrous dysplasia have variably shown trisomy for chromosomes 5, 7, 8, 12, 21 and 22 [191, 226, 227]. Of note, relatively similar findings have been reported in adamantinoma, with reports of trisomy for chromosomes 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 19 and 21 supporting an association with osteofibrous dysplasia [226, 228-231]. More complex rearrangements have also been observed in adamantinoma [228, 232, 233].

#### 3.1.12. Subungual Exostoses

Also known as Dupuytren's subungual exostosis, these lesions lack a medullary connection to the underlying bone, thus are not true osteochondromas. Clinically these tend to be of rapid onset, associated with pain and/or ulceration [234]. Despite a broad age range, they predominate in the second decade, affecting females about twice as much as males [235]; approximately 80% occur on the dorsal-medial aspect of the great toe [234]. Histologically, these lesions demonstrate a spectum of morphologies. Initially they are composed of a proliferation of myofibroblasts at the site of the nail bed, which undergoes enchondral ossification. In time, this yields woven, then lamellar bone which extends to the phalanx [234].

Initially thought to be reactive in nature, the presence of clonal cytogenetic abnormalities suggests a neoplastic origin [236]. Several karyotypic studies have revealed balanced translocations, including: a report of three cases with t(X; 6), with one case also containing t(1; 17) [148]; and, a single case demonstrating t(X; 6)(q25; q21), t(1; 12)(p35; q12), t(4; 5)(q33; q12) and t(6; 14)(q13; q12) [236]. An additional report contributed two additional cases with t(X; 6) translocations; based on the location of the rearrangements, the authors

propose that *COL12A1* and *COL4A5* genes contribute to the pathogenesis of these neoplasms [237].

#### 3.2. Malignant Paediatric Bone Tumours

A number of soft tissue sarcomas that typically present in the soft tissues may also appear as primary lesions of bone. As these are frequently the subject of review, they are not discussed herein.

#### 3.2.1. Adamantinoma

See above description of osteofibrous dysplasia.

#### 3.2.2. Ewing Family of Tumours

Presently considered together with primitive neuroectodermal tumour [238], Ewing sarcoma affects children in the first and second decades; there is a predilection for males [131]. Tumours frequently arise in the diaphysis or metaphysealdiaphyseal regions. Histologically they comprise of sheets of small round-polyhedral blue cells which generally have a scant amount of cytoplasm. The nuclei are round-oval and mitotic activity is not abundant [131].

Few primary tumours of bone have proved more gratifying from the perspective of molecular diagnostics than Ewing sarcoma. Cytogenetic evidence initially pointed to a characteristic t(11; 22) translocation [239, 240]. The identification of other, less common, cytogenetic abnormalities continues to follow [241-250].

In the prototypic example of Ewing sarcoma, the *EWS* gene on chromosome 22q12 is paired with a member of the Ewing family of transcription factors. The most common of these is *FLI1* on chromosome 11q24, followed distantly by *ERG* on chromosome 21q22 [251]; a number of less common genes together constitute roughly 1% of the remaining cases, including *ETV1* on chromosome7p22, *ETV4* on chromosome 17q21, *FEV* on chromosome 2q36 and *ZSG* on chromosome 22q12. Multiple breakpoints for each of the fusions result in a tremendous number of possible fusion products, thereby complicating detailed investigation into all fusion products [252]. Moreover, the rarer translocations may be highly complex and difficult to detect.

Diagnostically, the morphologic impression of Ewing sarcoma can be confirmed using cytogenetics, fluorescence in situ hybridization and/or reverse transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) based methods. Cytogenetics generally takes too long to be clinically useful [238]. Fluorescence in situ hybridization can be performed on metaphase spreads, and more conveniently on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. It can be accomplished using either break-apart probes to demonstrate a chromosome break, or more specifically to identify translocation products [253, 254]. Fluorescence in situ hybridization for the fusion product is more labour intensive, since it also requires testing for the less common products. Unfortunately, the break-apart probe has limited application by the fact that it identifies neither the fusion partner nor the location of the breakpoint. This can be a particular problem when the gene rearrangement involves EWS as this gene is rearranged in several soft tissue sarcomas. However, if the FISH result is interpreted in the light of immunohistochemistry, a diagnosis can be reached in most cases. This emphasises the need to report molecular pathology with the information derived from the histopathologist.

Disease	Cytogenetic Findings	Genetic Mutations/ Rearrangements	Testing Method
Familial syndrome			
Bloom syndrome		BLM mutation	DMA
Cherubism		SH3BP2 mutation	DMA
Li-Fraumeni syndrome		<i>p53</i> mutation	DMA
Neurofibromatosis 1		NF1 mutation	DMA
Retinoblastoma		RB1 mutation	DMA
Sporadic disease			
Ewing sarcoma/PNET	t(2;22)(q33;q12)	EWSR1/FEV	Cytogenetics,
	t(7;22)(p22;q12)	EWSR1/ETV1	FISH
	t(11;22)(q24;q12)	EWSR1/FLI1	11511,
	t(17;22)(q12;q12)	EWSR1/E1AF	RT-PCR
	t(21;22)(q22;q12)	EWSR1/ERG	
Fibrous dysplasia (mosaic)		GNAS1 mutation	MS-RED,
			DMA

Table 1. Summary of Markers Used for Diagnosing Primary Paediatric Bone Lesions Arising in Familial and Sporadic Settings

Abbreviations: direct mutational analysis (DMA); fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH); mutation-specific restriction enzyme digestion (MS-RED); polymerase chain reaction (PCR); primitive neuroectodermal tumour (PNET).

RT-PCR-based methods can be applied to identify directly the fusion products. This can conveniently be performed on both fresh/frozen and paraffin-embedded tissues although older tissue blocks may suffer from RNA degradation [255]. In order to detect each of the possible fusion sites, separate primer sets are necessary.

#### 3.2.3. Conventional osteosarcoma

Also known as osteogenic osteosarcoma, this tumour primarily occurs in the second decade and occurs more often in males than females [256]. While the long bones are the most common site of involvement, this is not invariably the case. Several histological variants of conventional osteosarcoma are recognized by the World Health Organization, including osteoblastic, chrondroblastic and fibroblastic [256]. Despite broad differences in cell morphology amongst the histological subtypes, as evidenced by their names, common amongst them is an atypical pattern of osteoid matrix deposition associated with malignant cells. This, for example, may take the form of a delicate filigree and/or ribbon pattern [257].

Numerous studies have investigated the cytogenetic and molecular features of osteosarcoma. While beyond the scope of the present work, it should suffice to say these changes are complex [258-260] and frequently lack a consistent abnormality [261-263]. Some of the more frequent sites of rearrangement appear to be 1p11-13, 1q10-12, 1q21-22, 1q42, 6p12-p21, 7q11, 11p15, 12p13, 14q32, 17p11-13, 19q13, and 22q11-13 [262, 264-266]. There are frequent chromosomal gains in number. Array CGH has shown gains in a number of cases in 1p36.32, 6p12.1, 6p21.1, 8q12-21.3, 8q22-q24, 8q24-23, 12q14.3, 16p13, 17p11.2-17p12; and, losses at 17p13 [267-270].

Efforts, particularly with studies integrating familial predispositions to this disease, have led to some advancement in understanding the pathogenesis of these neoplasms. At the same time these efforts have spawned numerous molecular markers with possible prognostic significance. Despite this wealth of information, it is perhaps surprising that no single diagnostic molecular marker for osteosarcoma exists to date [271].

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

Tremendous gains in elucidating the molecular basis of many lesions of paediatric bone have been obtained from a multidisciplinary approach to these disorders. Important leads have been derived from investigation into familial patterns of disease inheritance and cytogenetics. Additional studies in molecular biology have extended these observations to better our understanding of the pathogenesis of many of these entities; moreover, it has provided targets for diagnostic consideration. At present, clinical markers exist to confirm the diagnosis of numerous familial patterns of disease, and a modest number of tumours affecting paediatric bone. Clearly, many exciting opportunities remain to further our understanding of the pathogenesis and diagnosis of these entities (Table 1).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

UCL is a partner of the EuroBoNeT consortium, a European Commission granted Network of Excellence for studying the pathology and genetics of bone tumors. The research was generously funded by Skeletal Cancer Action Trust (SCAT), UK. The work was also supported by the UCLH/UCL Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre.

## REFERENCES

- Gray A. Scientific Intelligence. Botany and Zoology. Am J Sci 1884; 27: 156.
- [2] Weaver W. Molecular biology: origin of the term. Science 1970; 170: 581-2.
- [3] Astbury WT. Adventures in molecular biology. Harvey Lect 1950; 46: 3-44.

#### 74 The Open Pathology Journal, 2010, Volume 4

- [5] Ladanyi M, Bridge JA. Contribution of molecular genetic data to the classification of sarcomas. Hum Pathol 2000; 31: 532-8.
- [6] Cohen MM, Jr. The new bone biology: pathologic, molecular, and clinical correlates. Am J Med Genet A 2006; 140: 2646-706.
- [7] Superti-Furga A, Unger S. Nosology and classification of genetic skeletal disorders: 2006 revision. Am J Med Genet A 2007; 143: 1-18.
- [8] German J. Bloom's syndrome. Dermatol Clin 1995; 13: 7-18.
- [9] German J. Bloom's syndrome. The first 100 cancers. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1997; 93: 100-6.
- [10] German J, Roe AM, Leppert MF, Ellis NA. Bloom syndrome: An analysis of consanguineous families assigns the locus mutated to chromosome band 15q26. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994; 91: 6669-73.
- [11] Ellis NA, German J. Molecular genetics of Bloom's syndrome. Hum Mol Genet 1996; 5: 1457-63.
- [12] Huang S, Lee L, Hanson NB, et al. The spectrum of WRN mutations in Werner syndrome patients. Hum Mutat 2006; 27: 558-67.
- [13] Kitao S, Shimamoto A, Goto M, et al. Mutations in RECQL4 cause a subset of cases of Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Nat Genet 1999; 22: 82-4.
- [14] Jones WA. Familial multilocular cystic disease of the jaws. Am J Cancer 1933; 17: 946-50.
- [15] Jones WA, Gerrie J, Pritchard J. Cherubism--familial fibrous dysplasia of the jaws. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1950; 32-B: 334-47.
- [16] Yamaguchi T, Dorfman HD, Eisig S. Cherubism: clinicopathologic features. Skeletal Radiol 1999; 28: 350-3.
- [17] Mangion J, Rahman N, Edkins S, et al. The gene for cherubism maps to chromosome 4p16. Am J Hum Genet 1999; 65: 151-7.
- [18] Tiziani V, Reichenberger E, Buzzo CL, et al. The gene for cherubism maps to chromosome 4p16. Am J Hum Genet 1999; 65: 158-66.
- [19] Ueki Y, Tiziani V, Santanna C, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding c-Abl-binding protein SH3BP2 cause cherubism. Nat Genet 2001; 28: 125-6.
- [20] Ruggieri M, Pavone V, Polizzi A, et al. Unusual form of recurrent giant cell granuloma of the mandible and lower extremities in a patient with neurofibromatosis type 1. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1999; 87: 67-72.
- [21] Martinez-Tello FJ, Manjon-Luengo P, Martin-Perez M, Montes-Moreno S. Cherubism associated with neurofibromatosis type 1, and multiple osteolytic lesions of both femurs: a previously undescribed association of findings. Skeletal Radiol 2005; 34: 793-8.
- [22] Van Capelle CI, Hogeman PH, van der Sijs-Bos CJ, et al. Neurofibromatosis presenting with a cherubism phenotype. Eur J Pediatr 2007; 166: 905-9.
- [23] Dunlap C, Neville B, Vickers RA, O'Neil D, Barker B. The Noonan syndrome/cherubism association. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1989; 67: 698-705.
- [24] Levine B, Skope L, Parker R. Cherubism in a patient with Noonan syndrome: report of a case. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1991; 49: 1014-8.
- [25] Addante RR, Breen GH. Cherubism in a patient with Noonan's syndrome. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1996; 54: 210-3.
- [26] Lee JS, Tartaglia M, Gelb BD, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of Noonan-like/multiple giant cell lesion syndrome. J Med Genet 2005; 42: e11.
- [27] Jafarov T, Ferimazova N, Reichenberger E. Noonan-like syndrome mutations in PTPN11 in patients diagnosed with cherubism. Clin Genet 2005; 68: 190-1.
- [28] Norton KI, Wagreich JM, Granowetter L, Martignetti JA. Diaphyseal medullary stenosis (sclerosis) with bone malignancy (malignant fibrous histiocytoma): hardcastle syndrome. Pediatr Radiol 1996; 26: 675-7.
- [29] Hardcastle P, Nade S, Arnold W. Hereditary bone dysplasia with malignant change. Report of three families. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986; 68: 1079-89.
- [30] Arnold WH. Hereditary bone dysplasia with sarcomatous degeneration. Study of a family. Ann Intern Med 1973; 78: 902-6.
- [31] Douya H, Yokoyama R, Beppu Y, Hasegawa T. Malignant fibrous histiocytoma associated with diaphyseal medullary stenosis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2002; 211-6.

- [32] Martignetti JA, Desnick RJ, Aliprandis E, et al. Diaphyseal medullary stenosis with malignant fibrous histiocytoma: a hereditary bone dysplasia/cancer syndrome maps to 9p21-22. Am J Hum Genet 1999; 64: 801-7.
- [33] Martignetti JA, Gelb BD, Pierce H, Picci P, Desnick RJ. Malignant fibrous histiocytoma: Inherited and sporadic forms have loss of heterozygosity at chromosome bands 9p21-22-evidence for a common genetic defect. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2000; 27: 191-5.
- [34] Muroya K, Nishimura G, Douya H, Hasegawa T, Ogata T. Diaphyseal medullary stenosis with malignant fibrous histiocytoma: Further evidence for loss of heterozygosity involving 9p21-22 in tumor tissue. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2002; 33: 326-8.
- [35] Liu J, Hudkins PG, Swee RG, Unni KK. Bone sarcomas associated with Ollier's disease. Cancer 1987; 59: 1376-85.
- [36] Sun TC, Swee RG, Shives TC, Unni KK. Chondrosarcoma in Maffucci's syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1985; 67: 1214-9.
- [37] Braddock GT, Hadlow VD. Osteosarcoma in enchondromatosis (Ollier's disease). Report of a case. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1966; 48: 145-9.
- [38] Schwartz HS, Zimmerman NB, Simon MA, Wroble RR, Millar EA, Bonfiglio M. The malignant potential of enchondromatosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1987; 69: 269-74.
- [39] Davidson TI, Kissin MW, Bradish CF, Westbury G. Angiosarcoma arising in a patient with Maffucci syndrome. Eur J Surg Oncol 1985; 11: 381-4.
- [40] Hopyan S, Gokgoz N, Poon R, et al. A mutant PTH/PTHrP type I receptor in enchondromatosis. Nat Genet 2002; 30: 306-10.
- [41] Couvineau A, Wouters V, Bertrand G, et al. PTHR1 mutations associated with Ollier disease result in receptor loss of function. Hum Mol Genet 2008; 17: 2766-75.
- [42] Rozeman LB, Sangiorgi L, Briaire-de Bruijn IH, et al. Enchondromatosis (Ollier disease, Maffucci syndrome) is not caused by the PTHR1 mutation p.R150C. Hum Mutat 2004; 24: 466-73.
- [43] Tiet TD, Alman BA. Developmental pathways in musculoskeletal neoplasia: involvement of the Indian Hedgehog-parathyroid hormone-related protein pathway. Pediatr Res 2003; 53: 539-43.
- [44] Schipani E, Kruse K, Juppner H. A constitutively active mutant PTH-PTHrP receptor in Jansen-type metaphyseal chondrodysplasia. Science 1995; 268: 98-100.
- [45] Jobert AS, Zhang P, Couvineau A, et al. Absence of functional receptors for parathyroid hormone and parathyroid hormone-related peptide in Blomstrand chondrodysplasia. J Clin Invest 1998; 102: 34-40.
- [46] Bridge JA, Persons DL, Neff JR, Bhatia P. Clonal karyotypic aberrations in enchondromas. Cancer Detect Prev 1992; 16: 215-9.
- [47] Gunawan B, Weber M, Bergmann F, Wildberger J, Niethard FU, Fuzesi L. Clonal chromosome abnormalities in enchondromas and chondrosarcomas. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2000; 120: 127-30.
- [48] Sawyer JR, Swanson CM, Lukacs JL, Nicholas RW, North PE, Thomas JR. Evidence of an association between 6q13-21 chromosome aberrations and locally aggressive behavior in patients with cartilage tumors. Cancer 1998; 82: 474-83.
- [49] Gunawan B, Weber M, Bergmann F, Wildberger J, Fuzesi L. Solitary enchondroma with clonal chromosomal abnormalities. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1998; 104: 161-4.
- [50] Buddingh EP, Naumann S, Nelson M, Neffa JR, Birch N, Bridge JA. Cytogenetic findings in benign cartilaginous neoplasms. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2003; 141: 164-8.
- [51] Li FP, Fraumeni JF, Jr. Soft-tissue sarcomas, breast cancer, and other neoplasms. A familial syndrome? Ann Intern Med 1969; 71: 747-52.
- [52] Li FP, Fraumeni JF, Jr, Mulvihill JJ, et al. A cancer family syndrome in twenty-four kindreds. Cancer Res 1988; 48: 5358-62.
- [53] Patel K, Sakamoto KM, Jones GR. Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. 2008; Dec 1; [cited 2009 June 21]. Available from: http: //emedicine.med scape.com/article/987356-overview
- [54] Birch JM, Hartley AL, Tricker KJ, et al. Prevalence and diversity of constitutional mutations in the p53 gene among 21 Li-Fraumeni families. Cancer Res 1994; 54: 1298-304.
- [55] Malkin D, Li FP, Strong LC, *et al.* Germ line p53 mutations in a familial syndrome of breast cancer, sarcomas, and other neoplasms. Science 1990; 250: 1233-8.

- [56] Bell DW, Varley JM, Szydlo TE, et al. Heterozygous germ line hCHK2 mutations in Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Science 1999; 286: 2528-31.
- [57] Vahteristo P, Tamminen A, Karvinen P, et al. p53, CHK2, and CHK1 genes in Finnish families with Li-Fraumeni syndrome: further evidence of CHK2 in inherited cancer predisposition. Cancer Res 2001; 61: 5718-22.
- [58] Lee SB, Kim SH, Bell DW, et al. Destabilization of CHK2 by a missense mutation associated with Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cancer Res 2001; 61: 8062-7.
- [59] Evans DG, Birch JM, Narod SA. Is CHEK2 a cause of the Li-Fraumeni syndrome? J Med Genet 2008; 45: 63-4.
- [60] Guran S, Tunca Y, Imirzalioglu N. Hereditary TP53 codon 292 and somatic P16INK4A codon 94 mutations in a Li-Fraumeni syndrome family. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1999; 113: 145-51.
- [61] Portwine C, Lees J, Verselis S, Li FP, Malkin D. Absence of germline p16(INK4a) alterations in p53 wild type Li-Fraumeni syndrome families. J Med Genet 2000; 37: E13.
- [62] Evans DG, Birch JM, Thorneycroft M, McGown G, Lalloo F, Varley JM. Low rate of TP53 germline mutations in breast cancer/sarcoma families not fulfilling classical criteria for Li-Fraumeni syndrome. J Med Genet 2002; 39: 941-4.
- [63] Manoukian S, Peissel B, Pensotti V, et al. Germline mutations of TP53 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer/sarcoma families. Eur J Cancer 2007; 43: 601-6.
- [64] Evans DG, Wu CL, Birch JM. BRCA2: a cause of Li-Fraumenilike syndrome. J Med Genet 2008; 45: 62-3.
- [65] Carroll KL, Yandow SM, Ward K, Carey JC. Clinical correlation to genetic variations of hereditary multiple exostosis. J Pediatr Orthop 1999; 19: 785-91.
- [66] Kojima H, Wada T, Seki H, Kubota T, Wakui K, Fukushima Y. One third of Japanese patients with multiple osteochondromas may have mutations in genes other than EXT1 or EXT2. Genet Test 2008; 12: 557-61.
- [67] Francannet C, Cohen-Tanugi A, Le Merrer M, Munnich A, Bonaventure J, Legeai-Mallet L. Genotype-phenotype correlation in hereditary multiple exostoses. J Med Genet 2001; 38: 430-4.
- [68] Ahn J, Ludecke HJ, Lindow S, et al. Cloning of the putative tumour suppressor gene for hereditary multiple exostoses (EXT1). Nat Genet 1995; 11: 137-43.
- [69] Buhler EM, Buhler UK, Stalder GR, Jani L, Jurik LP. Chromosome deletion and multiple cartilaginous exostoses. Eur J Pediatr 1980; 133: 163-6.
- [70] Potocki L, Shaffer LG. Interstitial deletion of 11(p11.2p12): A newly described contiguous gene deletion syndrome involving the gene for hereditary multiple exostoses (EXT2). Am J Med Genet 1996; 62: 319-25.
- [71] Stickens D, Clines G, Burbee D, et al. The EXT2 multiple exostoses gene defines a family of putative tumour suppressor genes. Nat Genet 1996; 14: 25-32.
- [72] Hall CR, Wu Y, Shaffer LG, Hecht JT. Familial case of Potocki-Shaffer syndrome associated with microdeletion of EXT2 and ALX4. Clin Genet 2001; 60: 356-9.
- [73] Le Merrer M, Legeai-Mallet L, Jeannin PM, et al. A gene for hereditary multiple exostoses maps to chromosome 19p. Hum Mol Genet 1994; 3: 717-22.
- [74] Williams VC, Lucas J, Babcock MA, Gutmann DH, Korf B, Maria BL. Neurofibromatosis type 1 revisited. Pediatrics 2009; 123: 124-33.
- [75] Friedman JM. Neurofibromatosis 1: clinical manifestations and diagnostic criteria. J Child Neurol 2002; 17: 548-54.
- [76] Brooks B, Leham EP. The bone changes in Recklinghausen's neurofibromatosis. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1924; 38: 587-95.
- [77] Dulai S, Briody J, Schindeler A, North KN, Cowell CT, Little DG. Decreased bone mineral density in neurofibromatosis type 1: results from a pediatric cohort. J Pediatr Orthop 2007; 27: 472-5.
- [78] Alwan S, Tredwell SJ, Friedman JM. Is osseous dysplasia a primary feature of neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1)? Clin Genet 2005; 67: 378-90.
- [79] Ellis GL, Abrams AM, Melrose RJ. Intraosseous benign neural sheath neoplasms of the jaws. Report of seven new cases and review of the literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1977; 44: 731-43.
- [80] Ducatman BS, Scheithauer BW, Dahlin DC. Malignant bone tumors associated with neurofibromatosis. Mayo Clin Proc 1983; 58: 578-82.

- [81] Terry DG, Sauser DD, Gordon MD. Intraosseous malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor in a patient with neurofibromatosis. Skeletal Radiol 1998; 27: 346-9.
- [82] Ruggieri M, Pavone V, Tine A, et al. Ossifying fibroma of the skull in a patient with neurofibromatosis type 1. Case report. J Neurosurg 1996; 85: 941-4.
- [83] Hatori M, Hosaka M, Watanabe M, Moriya T, Sasano H, Kokubun S. Osteosarcoma in a patient with neurofibromatosis type 1: A case report and review of the literature. Tohoku J Exp Med 2006; 208: 343-8.
- [84] Ledbetter DH, Rich DC, O'Connell P, Leppert M, Carey JC. Precise localization of NF1 to 17q11.2 by balanced translocation. Am J Hum Genet 1989; 44: 20-4.
- [85] Wallace MR, Marchuk DA, Andersen LB, *et al.* Type 1 neurofibromatosis gene: identification of a large transcript disrupted in three NF1 patients. Science 1990; 249: 181-6.
- [86] Cawthon RM, Weiss R, Xu GF, et al. A major segment of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene: cDNA sequence, genomic structure, and point mutations. Cell 1990; 62: 193-201.
- [87] Viskochil D, Buchberg AM, Xu G, et al. Deletions and a translocation interrupt a cloned gene at the neurofibromatosis type 1 locus. Cell 1990; 62: 187-92.
- [88] Baralle D, Mattocks C, Kalidas K, et al. Different mutations in the NF1 gene are associated with Neurofibromatosis-Noonan syndrome (NFNS). Am J Med Genet A 2003; 119A: 1-8.
- [89] De Luca A, Bottillo I, Sarkozy A, et al. NF1 gene mutations represent the major molecular event underlying neurofibromatosis-Noonan syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2005; 77: 1092-101.
- [90] Shah N, Rodriguez M, Louis DS, Lindley K, Milla PJ. Feeding difficulties and foregut dysmotility in Noonan's syndrome. Arch Dis Child 1999; 81: 28-31.
- [91] Tartaglia M, Mehler EL, Goldberg R, et al. Mutations in PTPN11, encoding the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, cause Noonan syndrome. Nat Genet 2001; 29: 465-8.
- [92] Roberts AE, Araki T, Swanson KD, et al. Germline gain-offunction mutations in SOS1 cause Noonan syndrome. Nat Genet 2007; 39: 70-4.
- [93] Tartaglia M, Pennacchio LA, Zhao C, et al. Gain-of-function SOS1 mutations cause a distinctive form of Noonan syndrome. Nat Genet 2007; 39: 75-9.
- [94] Schubbert S, Zenker M, Rowe SL, *et al.* Germline KRAS mutations cause Noonan syndrome. Nat Genet 2006; 38: 331-6.
- [95] Pandit B, Sarkozy A, Pennacchio LA, et al. Gain-of-function RAF1 mutations cause Noonan and LEOPARD syndromes with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Nat Genet 2007; 39: 1007-12.
- [96] Legius E, Schrander-Stumpel C, Schollen E, Pulles-Heintzberger C, Gewillig M, Fryns JP. PTPN11 mutations in LEOPARD syndrome. J Med Genet 2002; 39: 571-4.
- [97] Niihori T, Aoki Y, Narumi Y, et al. Germline KRAS and BRAF mutations in cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome. Nat Genet 2006; 38: 294-6.
- [98] Hanna N, Parfait B, Talaat I, Vidaud M, Elsedfy H. SOS1: a new player in the Noonan-like/multiple giant cell lesion syndrome. Clin Genet 2009; 75: 568-71.
- [99] Cohen MM, Jr., Gorlin RJ. Noonan-like/multiple giant cell lesion syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1991; 40: 159-66.
- [100] Betts NJ, Stewart JC, Fonseca RJ, Scott RF. Multiple central giant cell lesions with a Noonan-like phenotype. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1993; 76: 601-7.
- [101] Minisola G, Porzio V, Ceralli F, Grillo LR, Porzio F. Polyarticular pigmented villonodular synovitis associated with multiple congenital anomalies. A case of Noonan-like/multiple giant cell lesion syndrome. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1996; 14: 207-10.
- [102] West RB, Rubin BP, Miller MA, et al. A landscape effect in tenosynovial giant-cell tumor from activation of CSF1 expression by a translocation in a minority of tumor cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103: 690-5.
- [103] Cupp JS, Miller MA, Montgomery KD, et al. Translocation and expression of CSF1 in pigmented villonodular synovitis, tenosynovial giant cell tumor, rheumatoid arthritis and other reactive synovitides. Am J Surg Pathol 2007; 31: 970-6.
- [104] Dal Cin P, Sciot R, Samson I, et al. Cytogenetic characterization of tenosynovial giant cell tumors (nodular tenosynovitis). Cancer Res 1994; 54: 3986-7.

- [105] Ohjimi Y, Iwasaki H, Ishiguro M, et al. Short arm of chromosome 1 aberration recurrently found in pigmented villonodular synovitis. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1996; 90: 80-5.
- [106] Abramson DH, Schefler AC. Update on retinoblastoma. Retina 2004; 24: 828-48.
- [107] Dai S, Dimaras H, Heon E, *et al.* Trilateral retinoblastoma with pituitary-hypothalamic dysfunction. Ophthalmic Genet 2008; 29: 120-5.
- [108] Abramson DH, Ellsworth RM, Kitchin FD, Tung G. Second nonocular tumors in retinoblastoma survivors. Are they radiationinduced? Ophthalmology 1984; 91: 1351-5.
- [109] Guse TR, Weis LD. Leiomyosarcoma of the femur in a patient with a history of retinoblastoma. A case report. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1994; 76: 904-6.
- [110] Ryan RS, Gee R, O'Connell JX, Harris AC, Munk PL. Leiomyosarcoma of the distal femur in a patient with a history of bilateral retinoblastoma: a case report and review of the literature. Skeletal Radiol 2003; 32: 476-80.
- [111] Qureshi S, Mistry R, Natrajan G, Gujral S, Laskar S, Banavali S. Leiomyosarcoma of the maxilla as second malignancy in retinoblastoma. Ind J Cancer 2008; 45: 123-5.
- [112] Schifter S, Vendelbo L, Jensen OM, Kaae S. Ewing's tumor following bilateral retinoblastoma. A case report. Cancer 1983; 51: 1746-9.
- [113] Helton KJ, Fletcher BD, Kun LE, Jenkins JJ, 3rd, Pratt CB. Bone tumors other than osteosarcoma after retinoblastoma. Cancer 1993; 71: 2847-53.
- [114] Kay RM, Eckardt JJ, Mirra JM. Osteosarcoma and Ewing's sarcoma in a retinoblastoma patient. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1996; 284-7.
- [115] Ceha HM, Balm AJ, de Jong D, van 't Veer LJ. Multiple malignancies in a patient with bilateral retinoblastoma. J Laryngol Otol 1998; 112: 189-92.
- [116] Mittal R, Al Awadi S, Sahar O, Behbehani AM. Ewing's sarcoma as second malignant neoplasm after retinoblastoma: a case report. Med Princ Pract 2008; 17: 84-5.
- [117] Francke U, Kung F. Sporadic bilateral retinoblastoma and 13qchromosomal deletion. Med Pediatr Oncol 1976; 2: 379-85.
- [118] Grace E, Drennan J, Colver D, Gordon RR. The 13q- deletion syndrome. J Med Genet 1971; 8: 351-7.
- [119] Sparkes RS, Murphree AL, Lingua RW, et al. Gene for hereditary retinoblastoma assigned to human chromosome 13 by linkage to esterase D. Science 1983; 219: 971-3.
- [120] Friend SH, Bernards R, Rogelj S, et al. A human DNA segment with properties of the gene that predisposes to retinoblastoma and osteosarcoma. Nature 1986; 323: 643-6.
- [121] Lee WH, Bookstein R, Hong F, Young LJ, Shew JY, Lee EY. Human retinoblastoma susceptibility gene: cloning, identification, and sequence. Science 1987; 235: 1394-9.
- [122] Nevins JR, Chellappan SP, Mudryj M, et al. E2F transcription factor is a target for the RB protein and the cyclin A protein. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 1991; 56: 157-62.
- [123] Chellappan SP, Hiebert S, Mudryj M, Horowitz JM, Nevins JR. The E2F transcription factor is a cellular target for the RB protein. Cell 1991; 65: 1053-61.
- [124] Lindor NM, Furuichi Y, Kitao S, Shimamoto A, Arndt C, Jalal S. Rothmund-Thomson syndrome due to RECQ4 helicase mutations: Report and clinical and molecular comparisons with Bloom syndrome and Werner syndrome. Am J Med Genet 2000; 90: 223o
- [125] Kozlowski K, Scougall JS, Oates RK. Osteosarcoma in a boy with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Pediatr Radiol 1980; 10: 42-5.
- [126] El-Khoury JM, Haddad SN, Atallah NG. Osteosarcomatosis with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Br J Radiol 1997; 70: 215-8.
- [127] Epstein CJ, Martin GM, Schultz AL, Motulsky AG. Werner's syndrome a review of its symptomatology, natural history, pathologic features, genetics and relationship to the natural aging process. Medicine (Baltimore) 1966; 45: 177-221.
- [128] Goto M, Miller RW, Ishikawa Y, Sugano H. Excess of rare cancers in Werner syndrome (adult progeria). Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1996; 5: 239-46.
- [129] Ishikawa Y, Miller RW, Machinami R, Sugano H, Goto M. Atypical osteosarcomas in Werner Syndrome (adult progeria). Jpn J Cancer Res 2000; 91: 1345-9.

- [130] Eriksson M, Brown WT, Gordon LB, et al. Recurrent de novo point mutations in lamin A cause Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. Nature 2003; 423: 293-8.
- [131] Dahlin DC, Unni KK. Bone Tumors. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Springfield: Charles C Thomas 1986.
- [132] Lichtenstein L. Aneurysmal bone cyst; further observations. Cancer 1953; 6: 1228-37.
- [133] Martinez V, Sissons HA. Aneurysmal bone cyst. A review of 123 cases including primary lesions and those secondary to other bone pathology. Cancer 1988; 61: 2291-304.
- [134] Sciot R, Dorfman H, Brys P, et al. Cytogenetic-morphologic correlations in aneurysmal bone cyst, giant cell tumor of bone and combined lesions. A report from the CHAMP study group. Mod Pathol 2000; 13: 1206-10.
- [135] Panoutsakopoulos G, Pandis N, Kyriazoglou I, Gustafson P, Mertens F, Mandahl N. Recurrent t(16; 17)(q22; p13) in aneurysmal bone cysts. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1999; 26: 265-6.
- [136] Herens C, Thiry A, Dresse MF, et al. Translocation (16; 17)(q22; p13) is a recurrent anomaly of aneurysmal bone cysts. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2001; 127: 83-4.
- [137] Oliveira AM, Hsi BL, Weremowicz S, et al. USP6 (Tre2) fusion oncogenes in aneurysmal bone cyst. Cancer Res 2004; 64: 1920-3.
- [138] Oliveira AM, Chou MM, Perez-Atayde AR, Rosenberg AE. Aneurysmal bone cyst: a neoplasm driven by upregulation of the USP6 oncogene. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: e1.
- [139] Oliveira AM, Perez-Atayde AR, Dal Cin P, et al. Aneurysmal bone cyst variant translocations upregulate USP6 transcription by promoter swapping with the ZNF9, COL1A1, TRAP150, and OMD genes. Oncogene 2005; 24: 3419-26.
- [140] Ellison DA, Sawyer JR, Parham DM, Nicholas R, Jr. Soft-tissue aneurysmal bone cyst: report of a case with t(5; 17)(q33; p13). Pediatr Dev Pathol 2007; 10: 46-9.
- [141] Althof PA, Ohmori K, Zhou M, et al. Cytogenetic and molecular cytogenetic findings in 43 aneurysmal bone cysts: aberrations of 17p mapped to 17p13.2 by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Mod Pathol 2004; 17: 518-25.
- [142] Nora FE, Dahlin DC, Beabout JW. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferations of the hands and feet. Am J Surg Pathol 1983; 7: 245-50.
- [143] Bush JB, Reith JD, Meyer MS. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation of the proximal humerus: case report. Skeletal Radiol 2007; 36: 535-40.
- [144] Vlychou M, Gibbons CL, Rigopoulou A, Ostlere SJ, Athanasou NA. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation of the clavicle. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2008; 17: e18-20.
- [145] Boussouga M, Harket A, Bousselmame N, Lazrak K. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation (Nora's lesion) of the forefoot. Acta Orthop Belg 2008; 74: 562-5.
- [146] Meneses MF, Unni KK, Swee RG. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation of bone (Nora's lesion). Am J Surg Pathol 1993; 17: 691-7.
- [147] Abramovici L, Steiner GC. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation (Nora's lesion): a retrospective study of 12 cases, 2 arising in long bones. Hum Pathol 2002; 33: 1205-10.
- [148] Zambrano E, Nose V, Perez-Atayde AR, et al. Distinct chromosomal rearrangements in subungual (Dupuytren) exostosis and bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation (Nora lesion). Am J Surg Pathol 2004; 28: 1033-9.
- [149] Nilsson M, Domanski HA, Mertens F, Mandahl N. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of recurrent translocation breakpoints in bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation (Nora's lesion). Hum Pathol 2004; 35: 1063-9.
- [150] Endo M, Hasegawa T, Tashiro T, et al. Bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation with a t(1; 17) translocation. Virchows Arch 2005; 447: 99-102.
- [151] Jaffe HL. Giant-cell reparative granuloma, traumatic bone cyst, and fibrous (fibro-oseous) dysplasia of the jawbones. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1953; 6: 159-75.
- [152] Lorenzo JC, Dorfman HD. Giant-cell reparative granuloma of short tubular bones of the hands and feet. Am J Surg Pathol 1980; 4: 551-63.
- [153] Wold LE, Dobyns JH, Swee RG, Dahlin DC. Giant cell reaction (giant cell reparative granuloma) of the small bones of the hands and feet. Am J Surg Pathol 1986; 10: 491-6.

- [154] Waldron CA, Shafer WG. The central giant cell reparative granuloma of the jaws. An analysis of 38 cases. Am J Clin Pathol 1966; 45: 437-47.
- [155] Sciubba JJ, Fantasia JE, Kahn LB. Nonodontogenic lesions. In: Rosai J, Sobin LH, Eds. Atlas of Tumor Pathology: Tumors and Cysts of the Jaw. Washington: Armed Forces Institute of Pathology. 2001; pp. 161-6.
- [156] Marcove RC, Arlen M. Atlas of Bone Pathology. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott Company 1992.
- [157] Dickson BC, Li SQ, Wunder JS, et al. Giant cell tumor of bone express p63. Mod Pathol 2008; 21: 369-75.
- [158] Gleason BC, Kleinman PK, Debelenko LV, Rahbar R, Gebhardt MC, Perez-Atayde AR. Novel karyotypes in giant cell-rich lesions of bone. Am J Surg Pathol 2007; 31: 926-32.
- [159] Buresh CJ, Seemayer TA, Nelson M, Neff JR, Dorfman HD, Bridge J. t(X; 4)(q22; q31.3) in giant cell reparative granuloma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1999; 115: 80-1.
- [160] Johnsson A, Collin A, Rydholm A, Domanski HA, Mertens F, Mandahl N. Unstable translocation (8; 22) in a case of giant cell reparative granuloma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2007; 177: 59-63.
- [161] Carvalho VM, Perdigao PF, Amaral FR, de Souza PÉ, De Marco L, Gomez RS. Novel mutations in the SH3BP2 gene associated with sporadic central giant cell lesions and cherubism. Oral Dis 2009; 15: 106-10.
- [162] Idowu BD, Thomas G, Frow R, Diss TC, Flanagan AM. Mutations in SH3BP2, the cherubism gene, were not detected in central or peripheral giant cell tumours of the jaw. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008; 46: 229-30.
- [163] Lietman SA, Prescott NL, Hicks DG, Westra WH, Levine MA. SH3BP2 is rarely mutated in exon 9 in giant cell lesions outside cherubism. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007; 459: 22-7.
- [164] De Lange J, van Maarle MC, van den Akker HP, Redeker EJ. DNA analysis of the SH3BP2 gene in patients with aggressive central giant cell granuloma. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007; 45: 499-500.
- [165] Huvos AG, Marcove RC, Erlandson RA, Mike V. Chondroblastoma of bone. A clinicopathologic and electron microscopic study. Cancer 1972; 29: 760-71.
- [166] Dahlin DC, Ivins JC. Benign chondroblastoma. A study of 125 cases. Cancer 1972; 30: 401-13.
- [167] Mark J, Wedell B, Dahlenfors R, Grepp C, Burian P. Human benign chondroblastoma with a pseudodiploid stemline characterized by a complex and balanced translocation. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1992; 58: 14-7.
- [168] Bridge JA, Bhatia PS, Anderson JR, Neff JR. Biologic and clinical significance of cytogenetic and molecular cytogenetic abnormalities in benign and malignant cartilaginous lesions. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1993; 69: 79-90.
- [169] Tallini G, Dorfman H, Brys P, et al. Correlation between clinicopathological features and karyotype in 100 cartilaginous and chordoid tumours. A report from the Chromosomes and Morphology (CHAMP) Collaborative Study Group. J Pathol 2002; 196: 194-203.
- [170] Swarts SJ, Neff JR, Johansson SL, Nelson M, Bridge JA. Significance of abnormalities of chromosomes 5 and 8 in chondroblastoma. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1998; 189-93.
- [171] Sjogren H, Orndal C, Tingby O, Meis-Kindblom JM, Kindblom LG, Stenman G. Cytogenetic and spectral karyotype analyses of benign and malignant cartilage tumours. Int J Oncol 2004; 24: 1385-91.
- [172] Van Zelderen-Bhola SL, Bovee JV, Wessels HW, et al. Ring chromosome 4 as the sole cytogenetic anomaly in a chondroblastoma: A case report and review of the literature. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1998; 105: 109-12.
- [173] Jaffe HL, Lichtenstein L. Chondromyxoid fibroma of bone; a distinctive benign tumor likely to be mistaken especially for chondrosarcoma. Arch Pathol (Chic) 1948; 45: 541-51.
- [174] Dahlin DC. Chondromyxoid fibroma of bone, with emphasis on its morphological relationship to benign chondroblastoma. Cancer 1956; 9: 195-203.
- [175] Armah HB, McGough RL, Goodman MA, et al. Chondromyxoid fibroma of rib with a novel chromosomal translocation: a report of four additional cases at unusual sites. Diagn Pathol 2007; 2: 44.
- [176] Kadom N, Rushing EJ, Yaun A, Santi M. Chondromyxoid fibroma of the frontal bone in a teenager. Pediatr Radiol 2009; 39: 53-6.
- [177] Veras EF, Santamaria IB, Luna MA. Sinonasal chondromyxoid fibroma. Ann Diagn Pathol 2009; 13: 41-6.

- [178] Saldua NS, Riccio AI, Cassidy JA. Chondromyxoid fibroma of the lumbar spine in a pediatric patient. Orthopedics 2008; 31: 610.
- [179] Slotcavage RL, Dickson BC, Ogilvie CM. Chondromyxoid fibroma involving the metacarpophalangeal joint. Orthopedics 2009; 32; pii.
- [180] Rahimi A, Beabout JW, Ivins JC, Dahlin DC. Chondromyxoid fibroma: a clinicopathologic study of 76 cases. Cancer 1972; 30: 726-36.
- [181] Halbert AR, Harrison WR, Hicks MJ, Davino N, Cooley LD. Cytogenetic analysis of a scapular chondromyxoid fibroma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1998; 104: 52-6.
- [182] Granter SR, Renshaw AA, Kozakewich HP, Fletcher JA. The pericentromeric inversion, inv (6)(p25q13), is a novel diagnostic marker in chondromyxoid fibroma. Mod Pathol 1998; 11: 1071-4.
- [183] Safar A, Nelson M, Neff JR, *et al.* Recurrent anomalies of 6q25 in chondromyxoid fibroma. Hum Pathol 2000; 31: 306-11.
- [184] Smith CA, Magenis RE, Himoe E, Smith C, Mansoor A. Chondromyxoid fibroma of the nasal cavity with an interstitial insertion between chromosomes 6 and 19. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2006; 171: 97-100.
- [185] Tarkkanen M, Kaipainen A, Karaharju E, et al. Cytogenetic study of 249 consecutive patients examined for a bone tumor. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1993; 68: 1-21.
- [186] Romeo S, Bovee JV, Grogan SP, et al. Chondromyxoid fibroma resembles in vitro chondrogenesis, but differs in expression of signalling molecules. J Pathol 2005; 206: 135-42.
- [187] Inwards CY, Unni KK, Beabout JW, Sim FH. Desmoplastic fibroma of bone. Cancer 1991; 68: 1978-83.
- [188] Fornasier VL, Pritzker KPH, Bridge JA. Desmoplastic Fibroma. In: Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F, Eds. Pathology & Genetics of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. Lyon: IARC Press 2002; p. 288.
- [189] Nishida J, Tajima K, Abe M, et al. Desmoplastic fibroma. Aggressive curettage as a surgical alternative for treatment. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1995; 320: 142-8.
- [190] Dahlin DC, Hoover NW. Desmoplastic fibroma of bone. Report of two cases. JAMA 1964; 188: 685-7.
- [191] Bridge JA, Swarts SJ, Buresh C, et al. Trisomies 8 and 20 characterize a subgroup of benign fibrous lesions arising in both soft tissue and bone. Am J Pathol 1999; 154: 729-33.
- [192] Siegal G, Dal Cin P, Araujo ES. Fibrous dysplasia. In: Pathology & Genetics: Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F, Eds. Lyon: IARC Press 2002; pp. 341-342.
- [193] Jaffe HL. Fibrous dysplasia of bone. Bull NY Acad Med 1946; 22: 588-604.
- [194] Harris WH, Dudley HR, Jr, Barry RJ. The natural history of fibrous dysplasia. An orthopaedic, pathological, and roentgenographic study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1962; 44-A: 207-33.
- [195] Brodeur GM, Caces J, Williams DL, Look AT, Pratt CB. Osteosarcoma, fibrous dysplasia, and a chromosomal abnormality in a 3-year-old child. Cancer 1980; 46: 1197-201.
- [196] Dal Cin P, Sciot R, Speleman F, et al. Chromosome aberrations in fibrous dysplasia. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1994; 77: 114-7.
- [197] Rao VV, Schnittger S, Hansmann I. G protein Gs alpha (GNAS 1), the probable candidate gene for Albright hereditary osteodystrophy, is assigned to human chromosome 20q12-q13.2. Genomics 1991; 10: 257-61.
- [198] Idowu BD, Al-Adnani M, O'Donnell P, et al. A sensitive mutationspecific screening technique for GNAS1 mutations in cases of fibrous dysplasia: The first report of a codon 227 mutation in bone. Histopathology 2007; 50: 691-704.
- [199] Lietman SA, Schwindinger WF, Levine MA. Genetic and molecular aspects of McCune-Albright syndrome. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev 2007; 4(Suppl 4): 380-5.
- [200] Weinstein LS, Shenker A, Gejman PV, Merino MJ, Friedman E, Spiegel AM. Activating mutations of the stimulatory G protein in the McCune-Albright syndrome. N Engl J Med 1991; 325: 1688-95.
- [201] Sakamoto A, Oda Y, Iwamoto Y, Tsuneyoshi M. A comparative study of fibrous dysplasia and osteofibrous dysplasia with regard to Gsalpha mutation at the Arg201 codon: polymerase chain reactionrestriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of paraffinembedded tissues. J Mol Diagn 2000; 2: 67-72.
- [202] Riminucci M, Liu B, Corsi A, et al. The histopathology of fibrous dysplasia of bone in patients with activating mutations of the Gs alpha gene: site-specific patterns and recurrent histological hallmarks. J Pathol 1999; 187: 249-58.

#### The Open Pathology Journal, 2010, Volume 4 77

#### 78 The Open Pathology Journal, 2010, Volume 4

- [203] Delaney D, Diss TC, Presneau N, et al. GNAS1 mutations occur more commonly than previously thought in intramuscular myxoma. Mod Pathol 2009; 22: 718-24.
- [204] Liang Q, Wei MQ, Wang GH, et al. Quantitative analysis of activating alpha subunit of the g protein mutation by pyrosequencing for fibrous dysplasia. Boston, MA: USCAP 2009; (Abstract).
- [205] Lichtenstein L. Histiocytosis X; integration of eosinophilic granuloma of bone, Letterer-Siwe disease, and Schuller-Christian disease as related manifestations of a single nosologic entity. AMA Arch Pathol 1953; 56: 84-102.
- [206] Lichtenstein L. Histiocytosis X (Eosinophilic granuloma of bone, letterer-siwe disease, and schueller-christian disease). Further observations of pathological and clinical importance. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1964; 46: 76-90.
- [207] Lichtenstein L, Jaffe HL. Eosinophilic granuloma of bone. Am Pathol 1940; 16: 595-604.
- [208] Katz AM, Rosenthal D, Jakubovic HR, Pai RK, Quinonez GE, Sauder DN. Langerhans cell histiocytosis in monozygotic twins. J Am Acad Dermatol 1991; 24: 32-7.
- [209] Arico M, Danesino C. Langerhans' cell histiocytosis: is there a role for genetics? Haematologica 2001; 86: 1009-14.
- [210] Chen CJ, Ho TY, Lu JJ, et al. Identical twin brothers concordant for Langerhans' cell histiocytosis and discordant for Epstein-Barr virus-associated haemophagocytic syndrome. Eur J Pediatr 2004; 163: 536-9.
- [211] Arico M, Nichols K, Whitlock JA, et al. Familial clustering of Langerhans cell histiocytosis. Br J Haematol 1999; 107: 883-8.
- [212] Chio CC, Lin SJ, Yang SH, Cheng YC. Cytogenetic study of twenty-two intracranial tumors. J Formos Med Assoc 1991; 90: 504-8.
- [213] Da Costa CE, Szuhai K, van Eijk R, et al. No genomic aberrations in Langerhans cell histiocytosis as assessed by diverse molecular technologies. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2009; 48: 239-49.
- [214] Betts DR, Leibundgut KE, Feldges A, Pluss HJ, Niggli FK. Cytogenetic abnormalities in Langerhans cell histiocytosis. Br J Cancer 1998; 77: 552-5.
- [215] Murakami I, Gogusev J, Fournet JC, Glorion C, Jaubert F. Detection of molecular cytogenetic aberrations in langerhans cell histiocytosis of bone. Hum Pathol 2002; 33: 555-60.
- [216] Coury F, Annels N, Rivollier A, et al. Langerhans cell histiocytosis reveals a new IL-17A-dependent pathway of dendritic cell fusion. Nat Med 2008; 14: 81-7.
- [217] Hatcher CH. The pathogenesis of localized fibrous lesions in the metaphyses of long bones. Ann Surg 1945; 122: 1016-30.
- [218] Bullough PG, Walley J. Fibrous cortical defect and non-ossifying fibroma. Postgrad Med J 1965; 41: 672-6.
- [219] Arata MA, Peterson HA, Dahlin DC. Pathological fractures through non-ossifying fibromas. Review of the Mayo Clinic experience. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981; 63: 980-8.
- [220] Erlemann R, Fischedick AR, Edel G, Peters PE, Galanski M. Neurofibromatosis and multiple nonossifying bone fibromas. Rofo 1987; 147: 20-4.
- [221] Schwartz AM, Ramos RM. Neurofibromatosis and multiple nonossifying fibromas. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1980; 135: 617-9.
- [222] Colby RS, Saul RA. Is Jaffe-Campanacci syndrome just a manifestation of neurofibromatosis type 1? Am J Med Genet A 2003; 123A: 60-3.
- [223] Nelson M, Perry D, Ginsburg G, Sanger WG, Neff JR, Bridge JA. Translocation (1; 4)(p31; q34) in nonossifying fibroma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2003; 142: 142-4.
- [224] Campanacci M. Osteofibrous dysplasia of long bones a new clinical entity. Ital J Orthop Traumatol 1976; 2: 221-37.
- [225] Sweet DE, Vinh TN, Devaney K. Cortical osteofibrous dysplasia of long bone and its relationship to adamantinoma. A clinicopathologic study of 30 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 1992; 16: 282-90.
- [226] Gleason BC, Liegl-Atzwanger B, Kozakewich HP, et al. Osteofibrous dysplasia and adamantinoma in children and adolescents: A clinicopathologic reappraisal. Am J Surg Pathol 2008; 32: 363-76.
- [227] Bridge JA, Dembinski A, DeBoer J, Travis J, Neff JR. Clonal chromosomal abnormalities in osteofibrous dysplasia. Implications for histopathogenesis and its relationship with adamantinoma. Cancer 1994; 73: 1746-52.

- [228] Hazelbag HM, Wessels JW, Mollevangers P, van den Berg E, Molenaar WM, Hogendoorn PC. Cytogenetic analysis of adamantinoma of long bones: further indications for a common histogenesis with osteofibrous dysplasia. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1997; 97: 5-11.
- [229] Parham DM, Bridge JA, Lukacs JL, Ding Y, Tryka AF, Sawyer JR. Cytogenetic distinction among benign fibro-osseous lesions of bone in children and adolescents: value of karyotypic findings in differential diagnosis. Pediatr Dev Pathol 2004; 7: 148-58.
- [230] Camp MD, Tompkins RK, Spanier SS, Bridge JA, Bush CH. Best cases from the AFIP: Adamantinoma of the tibia and fibula with cytogenetic analysis. Radiographics 2008; 28: 1215-20.
- [231] Kanamori M, Antonescu CR, Scott M, et al. Extra copies of chromosomes 7, 8, 12, 19, and 21 are recurrent in adamantinoma. J Mol Diagn 2001; 3: 16-21.
- [232] Sozzi G, Miozzo M, Di Palma S, et al. Involvement of the region 13q14 in a patient with adamantinoma of the long bones. Hum Genet 1990; 85: 513-5.
- [233] Mandahl N, Heim S, Rydholm A, Willen H, Mitelman F. Structural chromosome aberrations in an adamantinoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1989; 42: 187-90.
- [234] Miller-Breslow A, Dorfman HD. Dupuytren's (subungual) exostosis. Am J Surg Pathol 1988; 12: 368-78.
- [235] Davis DA, Cohen PR. Subungual exostosis: case report and review of the literature. Pediatr Dermatol 1996; 13: 212-8.
- [236] Dal Cin P, Pauwels P, Poldermans LJ, Sciot R, Van den Berghe H. Clonal chromosome abnormalities in a so-called Dupuytren's subungual exostosis. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1999; 24: 162-4.
- [237] Storlazzi CT, Wozniak A, Panagopoulos I, et al. Rearrangement of the COL12A1 and COL4A5 genes in subungual exostosis: Molecular cytogenetic delineation of the tumor-specific translocation t(X; 6)(q13-14; q22). Int J Cancer 2006; 118: 1972-6.
- [238] Delattre O, Zucman J, Melot T, et al. The Ewing family of tumors-a subgroup of small-round-cell tumors defined by specific chimeric transcripts. N Engl J Med 1994; 331: 294-9.
- [239] Turc-Carel C, Philip I, Berger MP, Philip T, Lenoir G. Chromosomal translocation (11; 22) in cell lines of Ewing's sarcoma. C R Seances Acad Sci 1983; 296: 1101-3.
- [240] Aurias A, Rimbaut C, Buffe D, Dubousset J, Mazabraud A. Translocation of chromosome 22 in Ewing's sarcoma. C R Seances Acad Sci 1983; 296: 1105-7.
- [241] Mugneret F, Favre B, Sidaner I, Petrella T, Flesh M. Translocation (21; 22)(q22; q12) in a Ewing sarcoma. New case characterized by cytogenetic analysis and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization of a fresh tumor. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1996; 87: 185-6.
- [242] Kaneko Y, Yoshida K, Handa M, *et al.* Fusion of an ETS-family gene, EIAF, to EWS by t(17; 22)(q12; q12) chromosome translocation in an undifferentiated sarcoma of infancy. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1996; 15: 115-21.
- [243] Mastrangelo T, Modena P, Tornielli S, et al. A novel zinc finger gene is fused to EWS in small round cell tumor. Oncogene 2000; 19: 3799-804.
- [244] Llombart-Bosch A, Pellin A, Carda C, Noguera R, Navarro S, Peydro-Olaya A. Soft tissue Ewing sarcoma--peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor with atypical clear cell pattern shows a new type of EWS-FEV fusion transcript. Diagn Mol Pathol 2000; 9: 137-44.
- [245] Zhao L, Hayes K, Van Fleet T, Glassman A. Detection of a novel reciprocal t(16; 22)(q11.2; q12) in a Ewing sarcoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2003; 140: 55-7.
- [246] Szuhai K, Tanke HJ, Taminiau AH, *et al.* Detection and molecular cytogenetic characterization of a novel ring chromosome in a histological variant of Ewing sarcoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2007; 172: 12-22.
- [247] Ng TL, O'Sullivan MJ, Pallen CJ, et al. Ewing sarcoma with novel translocation t(2; 16) producing an in-frame fusion of FUS and FEV. J Mol Diagn 2007; 9: 459-63.
- [248] Wang L, Bhargava R, Zheng T, et al. Undifferentiated small round cell sarcomas with rare EWS gene fusions: identification of a novel EWS-SP3 fusion and of additional cases with the EWS-ETV1 and EWS-FEV fusions. J Mol Diagn 2007; 9: 498-509.
- [249] Maire G, Brown CW, Bayani J, et al. Complex rearrangement of chromosomes 19, 21, and 22 in Ewing sarcoma involving a novel reciprocal inversion-insertion mechanism of EWS-ERG fusion gene formation: A case analysis and literature review. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2008; 181: 81-92.

- [250] Szuhai K, Ijszenga M, de Jong D, Karseladze A, Tanke HJ, Hogendoorn PC. The NFATc2 gene is involved in a novel cloned translocation in a Ewing sarcoma variant that couples its function in immunology to oncology. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 2259-68.
- [251] Sandberg AA, Bridge JA. Updates on cytogenetics and molecular genetics of bone and soft tissue tumors: ewing sarcoma and peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumors. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2000; 123: 1-26.
- [252] Barr FG, Womer RB. Molecular diagnosis of ewing family tumors: too many fusions... ? J Mol Diagn 2007; 9: 437-40.
- [253] Yamaguchi U, Hasegawa T, Morimoto Y, et al. A practical approach to the clinical diagnosis of Ewing's sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumour and other small round cell tumours sharing EWS rearrangement using new fluorescence in situ hybridisation probes for EWSR1 on formalin fixed, paraffin wax embedded tissue. J Clin Pathol 2005; 58: 1051-6.
- [254] Kumar S, Pack S, Kumar D, et al. Detection of EWS-FLI-1 fusion in Ewing's sarcoma/peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor by fluorescence in situ hybridization using formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tissue. Hum Pathol 1999; 30: 324-30.
- [255] Qian X, Jin L, Shearer BM, Ketterling RP, Jalal SM, Lloyd RV. Molecular diagnosis of Ewing's sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues by RT-PCR and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. Diagn Mol Pathol 2005; 14: 23-
- [256] Raymond AK, Ayala AG, Knuutila S. Conventional osteosarcoma. In: Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F, Eds. Pathology & Genetics of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. Lyon: IARC Press 2002; pp. 264-270.
- [257] Bhagavan BS, Dorfman HD. The significance of bone and cartilage formation in malignant fibrous histiocytoma of soft tissue. Cancer 1982; 49: 480-8.
- [258] Castedo SM, Seruca R, Oosterhuis JW, de Jong B, Schraffordt Koops H, Leeuw JA. Cytogenetics of a case of osteosarcoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1988; 32: 149-51.
- [259] Biegel JA, Womer RB, Emanuel BS. Complex karyotypes in a series of pediatric osteosarcomas. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1989; 38: 89-100.

Revised: March 18, 2010

Accepted: March 23, 2010

© Dickson et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/ 3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

#### The Open Pathology Journal, 2010, Volume 4 79

- [260] Hoogerwerf WA, Hawkins AL, Perlman EJ, Griffin CA. Chromosome analysis of nine osteosarcomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1994; 9: 88-92.
- [261] Fletcher JA, Gebhardt MC, Kozakewich HP. Cytogenetic aberrations in osteosarcomas. Nonrandom deletions, rings, and double-minute chromosomes. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1994; 77: 81-8.
- [262] Bridge JA, Nelson M, McComb E, et al. Cytogenetic findings in 73 osteosarcoma specimens and a review of the literature. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1997; 95: 74-87.
- [263] Sandberg AA, Bridge JA. Updates on the cytogenetics and molecular genetics of bone and soft tissue tumors: osteosarcoma and related tumors. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2003; 145: 1-30.
- [264] Mertens F, Mandahl N, Orndal C, et al. Cytogenetic findings in 33 osteosarcomas. Int J Cancer 1993; 55: 44-50.
- [265] Ozisik YY, Meloni AM, Peier A, et al. Cytogenetic findings in 19 malignant bone tumors. Cancer 1994; 74: 2268-75.
- [266] Lau CC, Harris CP, Lu XY, et al. Frequent amplification and rearrangement of chromosomal bands 6p12-p21 and 17p11.2 in osteosarcoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2004; 39: 11-21.
- [267] Squire JA, Pei J, Marrano P, et al. High-resolution mapping of amplifications and deletions in pediatric osteosarcoma by use of CGH analysis of cDNA microarrays. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2003; 38: 215-25.
- [268] Man TK, Lu XY, Jaeweon K, et al. Genome-wide array comparative genomic hybridization analysis reveals distinct amplifications in osteosarcoma. BMC Cancer 2004; 4: 45.
- [269] Zielenska M, Marrano P, Thorner P, et al. High-resolution cDNA microarray CGH mapping of genomic imbalances in osteosarcoma using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. Cytogenet Genome Res 2004; 107: 77-82.
- [270] Selvarajah S, Yoshimoto M, Ludkovski O, et al. Genomic signatures of chromosomal instability and osteosarcoma progression detected by high resolution array CGH and interphase FISH. Cytogenet Genome Res 2008; 122: 5-15.
- [271] Ragland BD, Bell WC, Lopez RR, Siegal GP. Cytogenetics and molecular biology of osteosarcoma. Lab Invest 2002; 82: 365-73.

Received: March 8, 2010