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Abstract:

Background:
Since the fragile anisotropy of shale, it is difficult to carry out laboratory experiments of geostress by shale cores. The existing geostress calculation model that is based on the homogeneous hypothesis also cannot meet the accuracy requirement. Therefore, it is necessary to establish the new geostress calculation model and test methods which are suitable for shale and provide the effective guidance for drilling and fracturing.

Methods:
Firstly, the triaxial stress experiments were carried out. It showed that the mechanical parameters had strong difference between parallel and vertical bedding direction. The characteristics of transversely isotropic were shown obviously. Then, the geostress calculation model which considers the mechanical parameters of anisotropy in different direction was established by the constitutive relation of transversely isotropic materials. Finally, it was assumed that there is no relative displacement between formations in the process of deposition and the late tectonic movement; the prediction method for the shale geostress was established by the adjacent homogeneous formation. The sensitivity factors and influence laws were analyzed for the horizontal bedding shale geostress.

Results:
The results showed that the shale geostress was controlled by the elastic parameters of its own and the adjacent beds’.

Conclusion:
The research can provide the theoretical basis and easy way for calculating the shale geostress.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The geostress calculation model is able to reflect geostress' physical nature and actual regularity. So far, a lot of scholars have established many geostress calculation models, which include, Kinnick model [1], Mattews and Kelly model [2], Terzaghi model and Anderson model [3], Newberry model and Huang Rongzun model [4]. Although these models have considered the composition characteristics of geostress in different aspects, the rocks were mostly treated as a homogeneous isotropic linear elastic material [5 - 7]. Due to the anisotropic characteristics of shale [8 - 11], there was lack of accuracy in the above mentioned models, and were no longer suitable for horizontal bedding shale [12, 13]. In addition, due to fragile shale core, the coring and the process of making specimen were difficult [14, 15]. The laboratory experiment (Viscous remanent magnetization, DSA) [16 - 20] and other experiment of geostress with core was more difficult. Therefore, it is necessary to carry on the research for the geostress calculation model and retrieval method of horizontal beddings shale.
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2. THE EXPERIMENT OF MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

The shale rock mass has good bedding structure, which leads to anisotropy of the mechanical properties of shale. The horizontal bedding shale of Liaohe Oilfield was selected as the research object. The bedding developmental state is shown in Fig. (1). The dry samples of shale were cored along the X’Y’Z directions selectively. All cylindrical specimens with the height to diameter ratio of 2 (±0.03) were prepared by cutting and polishing. The triaxial stress experiments were carried out with the machine of RAW2000. The full stress-strain curves and fracture morphologies were obtained. The results of Z1-1 are shown in Fig. (2).

According to the full stress-strain curves of each rock specimen, the elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio and compressive strength were calculated in X, Y, and Z directions. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The core elastic parameters in different directions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core number</th>
<th>Elastic modulus /MPa</th>
<th>Poisson's ratio</th>
<th>Compressive strength /MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Along the Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1-1</td>
<td>15280.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>137.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1-2</td>
<td>15448.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>132.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1-3</td>
<td>15097.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>128.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>132.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Along the X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2-1</td>
<td>9017.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>82.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2-2</td>
<td>8487.8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>84.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2-3</td>
<td>8986.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>78.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>81.900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results showed that the values of elastic parameters that are parallel to the bedding plane (along the X and Y direction) were close, and which had large differences from the vertical to bedding plane (Z direction). The vertical values were significantly higher than the parallel values. The rock presents the feature of transversely isotropic.

### 3. THE GEOSTRESS CALCULATION MODEL

#### 3.1. Vertical Geostress Calculation Model

The geologists of Heim and Swiss assumed that the vertical geostress is caused by overlying formation gravity [1]. The value changes with the formation density and depth. Therefore, the vertical geostress can be calculated by density log information.

\[
\sigma_v = \int_0^H \rho(h) \cdot g \cdot dh \tag{1}
\]

The actual formation density varies with the depth, which is difficult to use a simple function to represent. According to the density logs, the average density of a hole section can be obtained. So it can be calculated by the method of subsection summation.

\[
\sigma_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i \rho_i \cdot g \cdot 1000 \tag{2}
\]

#### 3.2. Horizontal Geostress Calculation Model

The horizontal bedding shale can be seen as transversely isotropic material, and the constitutive equation can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{1}{E_{sv}} (\sigma_x - \mu_{sv} \sigma_y - \mu_{sv} \sigma_z) \quad \varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{E_{sv}} (\sigma_y - \mu_{sv} \sigma_x - \mu_{sv} \sigma_z) \\
\varepsilon_z &= \frac{1}{E_{sv}} (\sigma_z - \sigma_y - \frac{1}{E_{sh}} \sigma_z) \\
\gamma_{xy} &= \frac{2(1+\mu_{sv})}{E_{sv}} \tau_{xy} \quad \gamma_{yz} = \frac{2(1+\mu_{sh})}{E_{sh}} \tau_{yz} \\
\gamma_{xz} &= \frac{2(1+\mu_{sv})}{E_{sv}} \tau_{xz}
\end{align*}
\tag{3}
\]

Generally, three directions X, Y, Z are the main stress directions and the vertical stress is the overburden pressure. According to the constitutive equation, the effective stresses of two horizontal directions can be derived as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_x &= \mu_{sh} \frac{E_{sh}}{1-\mu} \sigma_y + \frac{E}{1-\mu} \varepsilon_y + \frac{E \cdot \mu}{1-\mu} \cdot \varepsilon_y \\
\sigma_y &= \mu_{sh} \frac{E_{sh}}{1-\mu} \sigma_z + \frac{E}{1-\mu} \varepsilon_z + \frac{E \cdot \mu}{1-\mu} \cdot \varepsilon_z
\end{align*}
\tag{4}
\]
Where \( \sigma_x = \sigma_{xh} - aP_y \), \( \sigma_y = \sigma_{yh} - aP_y \), and \( \sigma_z = \sigma_{zh} - aP_y \), the horizontal geostress calculation model can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_x &= \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_y - \mu_x} \left( \frac{E_x}{1 - \mu_x} (\sigma_y - aP_y) \right) + \frac{E_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xy} + \frac{E_y \mu_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xy} + a \varepsilon_{xy} P_x, \\
\sigma_y &= \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_y - \mu_x} \left( \frac{E_x}{1 - \mu_x} (\sigma_z - aP_y) \right) + \frac{E_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xz} + \frac{E_y \mu_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xz} + a \varepsilon_{xz} P_y, \\
\sigma_z &= \frac{\mu_x}{\mu_x - \mu_y} \left( \frac{E_y}{1 - \mu_y} (\sigma_z - aP_y) \right) + \frac{E_x}{1 - \mu_x} \varepsilon_{yz} + \frac{E_x \mu_x}{1 - \mu_x} \varepsilon_{yz} + a \varepsilon_{yz} P_y.
\end{align*}
\] (5)

3.3. Geostress Calculation Model by Adjacent Homogeneous Formations

Due to the fragile nature of the shale rock, the calculation model is established to invert the horizontal bedding shale geostress by using the adjacent homogeneous formation. It was assumed that there is no relative displacement between the formations and the strains are constant in two horizontal directions in all formations in the process of deposition and the late tectonic movement. The constitutive model of the homogeneous isotropic formations is obtained as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{1}{E_I} (\sigma_x - \mu_y (\sigma_y + \sigma_z)) \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{1}{E_I} (\sigma_y - \mu_z (\sigma_z + \sigma_x)) \\
\varepsilon_z &= \frac{1}{E_I} (\sigma_z - \mu_x (\sigma_x + \sigma_y))
\end{align*}
\] (6)

Where \( \sigma_x = \sigma_{xh} - a_t P_y \), \( \sigma_y = \sigma_{yh} - a_t P_y \), and \( \sigma_z = \sigma_{zh} - a_t P_y \), the strain of the adjacent homogeneous formation can be gotten as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{ix} &= \frac{1}{E_I} \left( (\sigma_{ih} - a_t P_y) - \mu_t (\sigma_{ih} + \sigma_{iv} - 2a_t P_y) \right) \\
\varepsilon_{iy} &= \frac{1}{E_I} \left( (\sigma_{ih} - a_t P_y) - \mu_t (\sigma_{ih} + \sigma_{iv} - 2a_t P_y) \right)
\end{align*}
\] (7)

Ordering the \( \varepsilon_{ix} = \varepsilon_{ih} \) and \( \varepsilon_{iy} = \varepsilon_{ih} \) and substituting the strain of the adjacent formations into the (5), the horizontal bedding shale geostress can be calculated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_x &= \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_y - \mu_x} \left( \frac{E_x}{1 - \mu_x} (\sigma_y - a_t P_y) \right) + \frac{E_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xy} + \frac{E_y \mu_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xy} + a \varepsilon_{xy} P_x, \\
\sigma_y &= \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_y - \mu_x} \left( \frac{E_x}{1 - \mu_x} (\sigma_z - a_t P_y) \right) + \frac{E_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xz} + \frac{E_y \mu_y}{1 - \mu_y} \varepsilon_{xz} + a \varepsilon_{xz} P_y, \\
\sigma_z &= \frac{\mu_x}{\mu_x - \mu_y} \left( \frac{E_y}{1 - \mu_y} (\sigma_z - a_t P_y) \right) + \frac{E_x}{1 - \mu_x} \varepsilon_{yz} + \frac{E_x \mu_x}{1 - \mu_x} \varepsilon_{yz} + a \varepsilon_{yz} P_y.
\end{align*}
\] (8)

4. THE DISCUSSION OF SENSITIVITY FACTORS AND INFLUENCE LAWS

The depth of the shale coring layer was 1600m. In the vertical direction to the bedding plane, the elastic modulus is 15097.8MPa and the Poisson's ratio is 0.32. In the direction of parallel to the bedding plane, the elastic modulus is 8790.9MPa and the Poisson's ratio is 0.36. In the adjacent homogeneous formations, the elastic modulus is 30927.3MPa and the Poisson's ratio is 0.22. The single factor was varied, the sensitivity factors and influence laws were determined simultaneously.

With the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the vertical bedding direction increased, the in-situ stress of two horizontal directions increased linearly as shown in Fig. (3a). With the increased Poisson's ratio, the geostress of two horizontal direction increased exponentially. The growth rate was higher for the maximum horizontal geostress. The difference between two horizontal geostresses increases gradually as shown in Fig. (3b).
Fig. (3). The sensitivities for the elastic parameters of the vertical bedding direction.

Fig. (4). The sensitivities for the elastic parameters of the parallel bedding direction.
With the increased elastic modulus of parallel vertical bedding direction, the in-situ stress of two horizontal directions was decreasing exponentially and the decrease rate of the minimum horizontal geostress was bigger, as shown in Fig. (4a). With the Poisson's ratio increased, the geostress of the two horizontal direction was increased linearly, the growth rate of two horizontal geostress is similar as shown in Fig. (4b).

With the increased elastic modulus of the adjacent homogeneous formations, the in-situ stress of two horizontal direction decreased exponentially. The decrease rate of maximum horizontal geostress was faster. The difference between the two horizontal geostress decreased gradually, and finally became equal, as shown in Fig. (5). The main reason was that, the adjacent formation had stronger ability to resist deformation and bear more tectonic stress.
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Fig. (5). The sensitivities for the elastic parameters of the adjacent homogeneous formations.

5. ENGINEERING APPLICATION

When using the new method to predict the shale geostress by the adjacent homogeneous formation, the following procedures should be obeyed.

1. Combining with the indoor experiments or logging data, the shale elastic parameters of the vertical direction, parallel direction and the adjacent homogeneous formations should be calculated.
2. The geostress of the adjacent homogeneous formations should be evaluated by the small scale fracturing or the laboratory experiment.
3. By applying the new calculation model, the geostress of the horizontal bedding shale can be obtained.

Based on the above procedures, the shale geostress of Liaohe Oilfield was calculated. Firstly, the sandstones adjacent with the shale were cored and the DSA experiment was carried out. The DSA experimental apparatus and rock
specimens are shown in Fig. (6).
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**Fig. (6).** The DSA test apparatus and specimen.

The vertical stress was 30.5 MPa. The maximum horizontal geostress was 36.2 MPa and the minimum horizontal geostress was 24.8 MPa. According to the geostress of the measuring point, the geostress of the shale layer was calculated by combining with Terzaghi model, Newberry model, Huang Rongzun model and the new model established in this paper. The results are shown in Fig. (7).
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**Fig. (7).** The results calculated by different model.
Without considering the influence of tectonic stress, the calculation result of geostress by Terzaghi and Newberry model was lower. In the Huang Rongzun model, only considering the effect of Poisson's ratio, it was concluded that the maximum horizontal geostress value was larger and the minimum horizontal geostress value was smaller without the effect of elastic modulus. The new model considered the influence of Poisson's ratio and elastic modulus simultaneously. Thus, the calculation results were in the range of the results of Huang Rongzun model.

**CONCLUSION**

1. Considered the transversely isotropic characteristics, the new geostress calculation model and prediction method were established. The model and method were more convenient and precise for the horizontal bedding shale.
2. The sensitivity factors and influence laws were analyzed. The major influences of the shale geostress were the elastic parameters of its own and those of the adjacent bed. The accurate evaluation of the mechanical parameters of shale and adjacent layers provides a basis for calculating the shale geostress.

**NOMENCLATURE AND UNITS**

- \( H \) = Burial depth of formation m
- \( P(h) \) = The function about formation density changing with formation depth
- \( g \) = Acceleration of gravity \( g/cm^3 \)
- \( \Delta D_i \) = Thickness of the i segment m
- \( \rho_i \) = Average bulk density of the i segment in density logs \( g/cm^3 \)
- \( \sigma_{h} \) = Overburden pressure in the shale formation MPa
- \( \sigma_{ah} \) = The maximum horizontal stress in the shale formation MPa
- \( \sigma_{ah} \) = The minimal horizontal stress in the shale formation MPa
- \( \sigma_{av} \) = Overburden pressure in the adjacent homogeneous formation MPa
- \( \sigma_{ah} \) = The maximum horizontal stress in the adjacent homogeneous formation MPa
- \( \sigma_{ah} \) = The minimal horizontal stress in the adjacent homogeneous formation MPa
- \( P_{ap} \) = Pore pressure in the shale formation MPa
- \( P_{ip} \) = Pore pressure in the adjacent homogeneous formation MPa
- \( E_{av} \) = Elastic Modulus vertical to bedding direction MPa
- \( \mu_{av} \) = Poisson's ratio vertical to bedding direction
- \( E_{ah} \) = Elastic Modulus parallel to bedding direction MPa
- \( \mu_{ah} \) = Poisson's ratio parallel to bedding direction
- \( E_{ah} \) = Elastic Modulus of the adjacent homogeneous formation MPa
- \( \mu_{ah} \) = Poisson's ratio of the adjacent homogeneous formation
- \( a_i \) = Biot coefficient of the shale formation
- \( a_i \) = Biot coefficient of the adjacent homogeneous formation
- \( K_1, K_2 \) = The middle transition coefficient.
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