Numerical Simulations of Cardiac Tissue Excitation and Pacing Using the Bidomain Model
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Abstract: The last two decades have produced significant advances in our theoretical understanding of cardiac pacing. The bidomain model, a mathematical representation of the anisotropic electrical properties of cardiac tissue, has provided insight into many long-standing mysteries of pacing, such as the mechanisms of make and break excitation, the shape of the strength-interval curve, and the induction of reentry by burst pacing. This paper reviews the application of the bidomain model to these and other topics, and summarizes our theoretical understanding of how electrical excitation of the heart works.

Keywords: Pacing, bidomain, mathematical modeling, make excitation, break excitation, cathode, anode, strength-interval curve, burst pacing.

INTRODUCTION

Last year the cardiac electrophysiology community celebrated the 50th anniversary of the first permanent implantation of a pacemaker in the United States [1, 2]. Despite this long history, our understanding of how pacemakers influence cardiac tissue is still developing, and many important questions have only recently been answered [3, 4]. For instance, how does pacemaker current redistribute between the intracellular and extracellular spaces to induce a transmembrane potential? Can the threshold stimulus strength be predicted from basic theory? How does “make” excitation differ from “break” excitation? Can cardiac tissue be excited by an anode? What is the mechanism for the dip in the anodal strength-interval curve? How does burst pacing lead to arrhythmias? I believe these questions are critically important for two reasons. First, answers would help us to gain a better understanding of how pacemakers work, and perhaps thereby allow us to build better pacemakers. Second, pacing provides a simple test bed to evaluate our models of how electric fields influence the electrical properties of the heart. If we can predict accurately what happens during pacing, we will be more confident that we can apply the same models to defibrillation [5].

The bidomain model describes the interaction of electric current with cardiac tissue [6-8]. This two- or three-dimensional cable model represents the electrical properties of the intracellular and extracellular spaces each as macroscopic anisotropic continua, coupled through the cell membrane Fig. (1). The bidomain model was developed in the late 1970’s [9-13], and was applied to more complex problems in cardiac electrophysiology in the 1980s [14-19]. This work set the stage for the use of the bidomain model to study cardiac pacing.

UNIPOLAR STIMULATION

The first application of the bidomain model to pacing was by Sepulveda et al. in 1989 [20]. They considered a unipolar cathode injecting current into the extracellular space, with a distant anode. Fig. (2) shows the resulting transmembrane potential produced around the cathode. The location of the cathode is indicated by the dot, the myocardial fibers are oriented along the X axis, the stimulus duration is long enough that the transmembrane potential has reached steady state, and the tissue is passive; the model does not include the opening and closing of ion channels. Under the cathode the tissue is depolarized (positive). Depolarization contours a few millimeters from the cathode have a “dog-bone” shape, extending farther in the direction perpendicular to the electrodes than parallel to them. However, about 2 mm from the cathode in the direction along the fibers is a region of hyperpolarization (negative), called a “virtual anode” because the negative transmembrane potential is not associated with a nearby anodal electrode. Only one quarter of the tissue sheet is shown in Fig. (2), so two virtual anodes exist, one on each side of the cathode.

A corollary of this prediction is that an anode causes depolarization at two locations along the fiber direction at either side of the stimulating electrode, providing a mechanism for anodal excitation. In this case, the wave front originates from the “virtual cathodes.” Understanding anodal stimulation is important, because it may be advantageous to stimulate with an anode in certain situations [21-24].

Roth and Wikswo [25] generalized the Sepulveda et al. calculation by extending it to a three-dimensional volume of tissue and adding a model for the sodium channel kinetics, allowing them to simulate the excitation of action potential...
wave fronts. They found that excitation arises from the depolarized region of tissue, and occurs for both cathodal and anodal stimulation. Muzikant and Henriquez [26-28] extended this model to include the rotating fiber direction across the heart wall. For large electrodes, the distribution of depolarization and hyperpolarization is modified [29], with strongest depolarization under the edge of the cathode [30].

In an alternative and more theoretical approach, perturbation theory applied to the passive bidomain model provides analytical equations that predict the distribution of virtual cathodes and anodes [31, 32], which are qualitatively consistent with the numerical stimulations [20, 25]. These calculations highlight the condition that “virtual electrodes” result from the unequal anisotropy ratios in the intracellular and extracellular spaces [33]. The ratio of conductivities parallel and perpendicular to the myocardial fibers is about 10 in the intracellular space, and is approximately 2.5 in the extracellular space [34]. For those preferring an intuitive rather than mathematical explanation of why virtual electrodes appear during unipolar stimulation, the phenomenon can be explained using qualitative arguments related to how the anisotropy of the electrical conductivity rotates the current density vectors differently in the intracellular and extracellular spaces [35].

Although the presence of virtual anodes during cathodal unipolar stimulation is surprising and counter-intuitive, one should remember that these virtual anodes play an important role in wave front dynamics primarily for relatively strong stimuli. When Aker et al. [36] used subthreshold stimuli to determine the length constant of cardiac tissue, they did not observe any signs of virtual cathodes or anodes, nor did they record dog-bone shaped contours of transmembrane potential. However, when they increased the stimulus strength to twenty times diastolic threshold, the bidomain effects predicted by Sepulveda et al. [20] were obvious. Virtual electrodes play a particularly important role during the response of the heart to strong electrical shocks, such as during defibrillation [37-39].

The theoretical predictions of the bidomain model were first tested by Wikswo et al. [40], who used extracellular electrodes to measure wave front arrival times in a dog heart following unipolar stimulation. They determined that the wave fronts originated farther from the cathode perpendicular to the fibers than parallel to them, consistent with Sepulveda et al.’s [20] prediction. However, a direct measurement of the transmembrane potential produced by a cathode had to wait for the application of optical mapping techniques to cardiac electrophysiology in the mid 1990s, when three groups almost simultaneously published data that confirmed qualitatively the theoretical prediction of adjacent virtual anodes and cathodes during unipolar stimulation [41-43].
Numerical Simulations of Cardiac Tissue Excitation and Pacing

The Open Pacing, Electrophysiology & Therapy Journal, 2011, Volume 4
3

OPTICAL MAPPING OF TRANSMEMBRANE POTENTIAL

Optical mapping is one of the most powerful tools in the cardiac electrophysiologist’s toolkit [44]. It became widely used for cardiac electrophysiology just as research based on the bidomain model was generating predictions that required experimental verification [45-47]. A fluorescent dye is introduced into tissue and absorbed by the membrane. When light is shined on the tissue, the resulting fluoresced light depends on the transmembrane potential, allowing the optical measurement of electrical behavior. The method has its weaknesses, which make comparing experimental data to theoretical predictions a challenge [48, 49]. To understand one of the problems, we first analyze the three-dimensional distribution of transmembrane potential. When a unipolar cathode is located in a bath superfusing a slab of cardiac tissue [50], the distribution of transmembrane potential qualitatively resembles the prediction of Sepulveda et al. [20], and decays with depth into the tissue Fig. (3). Yet, under some conditions the transmembrane potential distribution can be more complicated. For instance, under some conditions the virtual anode is buried under the tissue surface [51]. When examining bipolar stimulation [52], Latimer and Roth [50] predicted such buried hyperpolarization Fig. (4), arising because of the boundary conditions applied at the tissue-bath interface [53, 54]. The very existence of the virtual anode would not be evident from measurements of transmembrane potential at the tissue surface. Buried hyperpolarization may play an important role in defibrillation by creating hidden “tunnels” of excitable tissue [55].

Fig. (3). The steady-state transmembrane potential produced in cardiac tissue when a cathode carrying a current of 1 mA is 0.2 mm above the origin in the bath superfusing the tissue. Contours are drawn every mV, and tick marks correspond to 1 mm. The fibers are parallel to the X axis, and the plane Z=0 corresponds to the tissue-bath surface. The many closely spaced contours near the electrode are not shown. Modified from [50].

But do optical recordings measure the transmembrane potential at the surface? Several experimental measurements have called this assumption into doubt [41, 56-59]. Both the optical penetration of light and the transmembrane potential fall off with depth into the tissue. When the decay of light occurs with a longer space constant than the decay of potential, the optical signal is a distorted representation of the surface transmembrane potential [60]. Prior and Roth [61] compared the transmembrane potential at the tissue-bath surface with the predictions of the optically measured transmembrane potential during unipolar stimulation Fig. (5). They found that very close to the electrode the transmembrane potential on the tissue surface falls off rapidly and non-exponentially with distance from the electrode (Fig. (5), dashed curves). However, the predicted optical measurement underestimates the transmembrane potential near the electrode dramatically, and falls off exponentially with distance from the electrode. These simulations imply that the actual transmembrane potential very near an electrode may be much larger than what is measured optically, and suggest that length constant measurements based on the assumption of exponential behavior of the transmembrane potential may,

Fig. (4). The steady-state transmembrane potential produced in cardiac tissue during bipolar stimulation. A cathode carrying a current of 1 mA is 0.2 mm above the tissue in the bath superfusing the tissue. An anode is symmetrically placed at negative X values. Contours are drawn every mV, and tick marks correspond to 1 mm. The fibers are parallel to the X axis and the plane Z=0 corresponds to the tissue-bath surface. The many closely spaced contours near the electrode are not shown. Modified from [50].

Fig. (5). The absolute value of the steady-state transmembrane potential V produced by unipolar stimulation as a function of: upper panel, distance parallel to the fibers, X; lower panel, distance perpendicular to the fibers, Y. The dashed curves are the surface transmembrane potential, and the solid curves are the calculated optical mapping signals. Modified from [61].
in fact, tell us as much about smearing of optical signals as about the electrical properties of cardiac tissue [36, 62]. New methods are being developed to extract the transmembrane potential versus depth from the optical signal, including techniques based on optical mapping using multiple wavelengths of light [63, 64], optodes [65-67], bulk versus surface staining of fluorescent dyes [68], and transillumination [59].

MAKE AND BREAK EXCITATION

Long before the bidomain model was developed, cardiac electrophysiologists discovered that four mechanisms existed for excitation of cardiac tissue: cathode make, anode make, cathode break, and anode break [69-72]. Simulations based on the bidomain model helped explain these different mechanisms [73]. Cathode make excitation is the mechanism usually associated with pacing; depolarization under the cathode excites an action potential wave front. The term “make” implies that excitation occurs promptly after turning on the stimulus. Anode make excitation arises from the existence of virtual cathodes during anodal stimulation; the wave front originates a millimeter or two from the anode along the fiber direction. Because strong stimuli are required to produce significant virtual cathodes during anodal stimulation, anode make excitation has a higher threshold than cathode make excitation.

“Break” excitation occurs after the stimulus is turned off, and is often important when stimulating refractory tissue. During a strong cathodal stimulus, the depolarization under the electrode may not excite an action potential because the tissue is refractory, but the hyperpolarization at the virtual anode causes the tissue to recover from refractoriness quickly (de-excite) [5]. At the end of the stimulus pulse, the depolarization interacts electrotonically with the hyperpolarized and now excitable tissue in the virtual anode, initiating a wave front that propagates along the fiber direction (actually two wave fronts, because there are two virtual anodes, one on each side of the cathode). This mechanism requires hyperpolarization at the virtual anode that is strong enough to de-excite the action potential, and therefore cathode break excitation has a higher threshold than cathode make excitation. Finally, anode break excitation occurs in refractory tissue following the end of an anodal stimulus, when depolarization at the virtual cathode interacts electrotonically with the hyperpolarized and now excitable tissue under the anode, exciting a wave front. Anode break excitation has the highest threshold of all, because the depolarization at the virtual cathode must be quite strong to initiate the wave front. Wikswo et al. [43] analyzed these four mechanisms using optical mapping, and found behavior consistent with the bidomain predictions.

Fig. (6) shows the stimulation thresholds for each mechanism, predicted using the bidomain model [73]. The order (cathode make, anode make, cathode break, and anode break) is consistent with experimental observations [70]. The cathode make threshold is very small, and is as low as 0.01 mA. This is smaller than typical pacing thresholds, but such small thresholds have been measured experimentally using small electrodes in carefully controlled conditions [72]. Both anode make and cathode break thresholds are around 1 mA, and anode break threshold is at least a factor of ten higher. The remaining discrepancies between theory and experiment have been explored in detail [48].

The anode break mechanism predicted by the bidomain model is quite different than the classical anode break mechanism that Hodgkin and Huxley studied in nerve axons [74]. In nerves, hyperpolarization increases the excitability of the membrane by modifying the state of various ion channels, particularly the sodium channel inactivation gate. Anode break can take place in space-clamped nerves and therefore does not require electrotonic interactions. In cardiac tissue, on the other hand, space clamped models do not predict anode break excitation; electrotonic interaction between adjacent depolarized and hyperpolarized regions is necessary. Some researchers have questioned the validity of the bidomain mechanism for anode break excitation, suggesting it may arise from membrane effects like in nerves. Ranjan et al. [75, 76] suggested that a hyperpolarization-activated inward current may be responsible for anode break excitation. Simulations that include both bidomain effects and a hyperpolarization-activated current suggest that the two mechanisms could be distinguished by careful examination of the origin of the wave front [77, 78]. If the bidomain mechanism dominates, excitation should originate at the edge of the virtual anode, adjacent to depolarized tissue. If a membrane current mechanism dominates, excitation should arise where hyperpolarization is strongest, which is usually at the center of the virtual anode. Other researchers have also examined the question of anode break excitation, suggesting that it may arise from electrode effects [79]. Observations of diastolic anode break excitation are difficult to understand using bidomain mechanisms, because the anode make threshold should be lower than the anode break threshold in resting tissue [80]. Nonlinear effects caused by the inward rectifying potassium current also influence the distribution of depolarization and hyperpolarization during pacing [81].

STRENGTH INTERVAL CURVES

One longstanding puzzle in cardiac electrophysiology is the dip of the anodal strength-interval curve [72, 82-84]. The strength-interval curve measures the threshold strength of a
second stimulus as a function of the time (or interval) between the first and second stimuli. For a cathodal stimulus, the strength-interval curve decreases as the interval increases, because the tissue is easier to excite as it recovers from refractoriness. However, for an anodal stimulus there is a section of the strength-interval curve that increases as the interval increases, thereby paradoxically making the tissue harder to stimulate as it recovers from refractoriness.

Bidomain simulations have clarified the mechanism of the dip in the strength-interval curve [85]. Fig. (7) shows the predicted strength-interval curves for four different stimulus pulse durations. If we focus on the 5 ms stimulus, the cathodal curve decreases monotonically with interval, whereas the anodal curve increases between 290 and 300 ms (the dip). The anodal curve is divided into two sections, corresponding to break excitation for intervals between 290 and 325 ms, and make excitation for intervals greater than 325 ms, with an abrupt transition between the two. The make section is monotonically decreasing, but the break section contains the dip. To understand why the dip is present, realize that anode break excitation requires a source of depolarization that interacts electrotonically with the hyperpolarized and excitable tissue under the anode. This depolarization can arise from two sources: the virtual cathode adjacent to the anode, or a previous action potential. As the interval decreases, the depolarization arising from the previous action potential increases. For intervals between 290 and 300 ms, this source of depolarization is dominant, so the excitation becomes easier the earlier the stimulus is applied. If the interval is very early (less than 290 ms in this case), anode break excitation occurs but once the resulting wave front traverses the virtual anode it encounters refractory tissue and fails to propagate. The dip of the anodal strength-interval curve is especially prominent for longer pulse durations. Sidorov et al. [86] studied the strength-interval curves using optical mapping, and found similar behavior as predicted by the bidomain model.

This mechanism for the dip in the anodal strength-interval curve implies that the dip should occur over the same interval range as the repolarization phase of the previous action potential, at which time the tissue is recovering from refractoriness. One way to test this prediction is to make the repolarization phase of the previous action potential occur at a different time than the recovery from refractoriness. This is possible in cases of post-repolarization refractoriness, when the action potential remains refractory long after the previous action potential has returned to rest. One way to produce post-repolarization refractoriness is to raise the extracellular potassium ion concentration. Sidorov et al. [87] studied hyperkalemia using optical mapping, and found that the diastolic anodal excitation mechanism changed from make (4 mM KCl) to break (8 KCl). Bidomain simulations of the anodal strength-interval curve make similar predictions [88]. Although the diastolic excitation mechanism is make in the simulations, the relative thresholds for break and make excitation decrease as potassium concentration increases. Moreover, at high potassium the dip in the break section of the anodal strength-interval curve disappears. This is caused by the significant post-repolarization refractoriness at high potassium concentration, as shown in Fig. (9). At 4 mM, the make/break transition occurs just as the transmembrane potential from the previous action potential returns to

Fig. (7). The strength-interval curve for left panel: a cathodal stimulus; and right panel, anodal stimulus. Four stimulus durations are shown: 2, 5, 10, and 20 ms. Modified from [85].
rest. At 12 mM, the make/break transition occurs 50 ms after the action potential has returned to rest.

**Fig. (9).** The transmembrane potential as a function of time, calculated adjacent to the stimulating electrode, for extracellular potassium ion concentrations of 4, 8, 12, and 13 mM. The thick sections indicate the time for which the strength-interval curve was calculated in Fig. (8). Modified from [88].

Recently, Joung et al. [89] suggested that the intracellular calcium concentration and the sodium-calcium exchange current may play a role in the mechanism of the dip of the anodal strength-interval curve. Simultaneous measurements of transmembrane potential and intracellular calcium concentration [90, 91] indicate that virtual electrodes can impact calcium, but the influence of calcium on the excitation threshold remains an open question.

Mehra et al. [92] observed that the strength-interval curves derived from chronically implanted electrodes are time dependent. Initially the refractory period is longer for cathodal compared to anodal stimuli, but weeks after implantation the refractory period becomes shorter for cathodal compared to anodal stimuli. Bidomain stimulations provided a different interpretation of these data [93]. The thresholds for both make and break excitation vary with electrode size. As a region of scar tissue forms around the electrode tip, the electrode size effectively increases, and therefore electrode size is a good analog to scar formation. For small electrodes, both the anodal and cathodal thresholds are low, and anode break excitation is the mechanism that operates at short intervals Fig. (10). For larger electrodes, the anode break threshold becomes so large that the stimulator Mehra et al. [92] used could not provide a large enough stimulus to trigger anode break. In that case, the break section of the anodal curve lies below 8 mA, and for the large electrode the break section lies above 8 mA. Modified from [93].

**RAPID RATE PACING**

One way to induce fibrillation in the heart is rapid rate, or burst, pacing [94, 95]. Janks and Roth [96] used the bidomain model to simulate a train of stimuli, and found that rapid pacing dramatically reduces the threshold for induction of reentry, from 8.6 mA for a two-shock (S1-S2) protocol to 0.9 mA for burst pacing. Fig. (11) summarizes these results. For stimulus trains with a long interval between pulses, the tissue responded in a 1:1 manner and no reentry occurred. For shorter intervals, the stimuli arrived so rapidly that the tissue could only be excited by every other stimulus (2:1). Most interesting is what occurred in the transition between 1:1 and 2:1 regions: sustained reentry could be induced with a low threshold. A similar transition occurs between 2:1 and 3:1 behavior, although it is restricted to a narrow range of intervals.

**Fig. (10).** The cathodal and anodal strength-interval curves, for: upper panel, a small electrode, and lower panel, a large electrode. The dashed line indicates the peak output of an electrical stimulator (8 mA). For the small electrode, the entire break section of the anodal curve lies below 8 mA, and for the large electrode the break section lies above 8 mA. Modified from [93].

**Fig. (11).** The strength-interval response for cathodal unipolar pulse trains with different inter-pulse intervals. In the red region, the tissue responded 1:1 with the stimulus, in yellow 2:1, and in green 3:1. The blue, purple, and cyan regions indicate that reentry was generated by the pulse train, with varying number of rotations of the reentrant loop (blue, 1-2; purple 3-4, and cyan 5 or more). Modified from [96].

Examination of the mechanism leading to an arrhythmia suggests that burst pacing induces quatrefoil reentry, consist-
CONCLUSION

The bidomain model has proven to be an excellent tool for understanding cardiac pacing. It has resulted in a series of theoretical predictions that have been confirmed experimentally, usually using optical mapping. These studies have clarified a number of long-standing questions, such as the mechanisms of make and break excitation, and the dip in the anodal strength-interval curve. The success of the bidomain model suggests that it may also be useful for modeling the response of the heart to strong shocks during defibrillation. Indeed, another review at least as long as this one could be written describing the use of the bidomain model for studying defibrillation [100, 101].
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