Evaluation of the Nutraceutical Potential of *Rytidophyllum tomentosum* (L.) Mart.: HPTLC Fingerprinting, Elemental Composition, Phenolic Content, and in vitro Antioxidant Activity
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Abstract: *Rytidophyllum tomentosum* (L.) Mart. (*search-me-heart*) is an aromatic Jamaican endemic herb traditionally consumed as a general tonic and for its medicinal properties. This present study evaluates the nutraceutical potential of *R. tomentosum* as a functional herbal tea, through the assessment of elemental composition, phenolic contents and *in vitro* antioxidant potential of samples collected from five locations in Jamaica. High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) is used to fingerprint the aqueous plant extract for identification and the nutraceutical value of the herb is compared with two commercial black teas. Results provide a detailed summary of the elemental composition of the plant infusion. Total polyphenols were determined to be in the range of 12.19 ± 1.79 – 31.05 ± 0.65 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g dry powder, decreasing in the order of SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147. Total flavonoids ranged from 6.81 ± 0.07 – 21.41 ± 0.61 mg catechin equivalent (CE)/g dry powder and decreased in the order of SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147. Total tannins ranged from 10.60 ± 0.15 – 26.83 ± 0.35 mg tannic acid equivalent (TAE)/g dry powder and decreased in the order of: SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147. *Search-me-heart* from all locations possessed antioxidant activity irrespective of the method of analysis used. These findings along with the results of the comparative analysis to the two commercial black teas, suggest that *search-me-heart* could be an effective natural antioxidant and therefore could be positioned as a dietary herbal supplement in its herbal tea form.
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INTRODUCTION

Herbal teas are aqueous preparations made from the barks, roots, seeds, flowers, leaves or fruits of trees and shrubs. They are however, not true “teas” since they are not obtained from the tea plant, *Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze. Herbal teas are usually prepared as infusions or decoctions by submerging the plant material in hot or cold water or boiling respectively for a prescribed length of time [1-3].

However, regardless of the mode of preparation, there is no question, that in recent times herbal teas have gained tremendous popularity due to their health promoting properties. Their use as general tonics, dietary supplements, prophylactic agents, as well as their use for the treatment of various ailments, having been positioned as cold remedies, anti-stress, anti-viral or even digestive aids, to name a few [4-6], have highlighted the growing trend in the promotion of herbal teas as ‘well-being’, functional or nutraceutical beverages. In fact, functional beverages have been cited as the fastest growing segment in the functional food category [7]. Consumers, in recent times have also been trending towards the use of natural ingredients in an effort to lead healthier lifestyles. Additionally, information on the effects of the use of certain ingredients has become increasingly available due to technological advancements: information technology / internet and research and development. As a result, the negative effects of caffeine and the high levels of tannin, an anti-nutritional factor in the widely consumed tea: *C. sinensis* have been publicized. On the other hand, the healthy caffeine-free alternative offered by low-calorie herbal teas has resulted in an increase in the market for herbal teas. This has been further strengthened by a “consuming-and-experience-culture”, as well as globalization, resulting in consumers looking for new and exotic tastes [1], an opportunity offered by the myriad of herbal teas available on the global market.

Herbal teas and remedies are widely accepted and utilized within the Caribbean region [8] and form part of our rich heritage. Jamaica is no exception, with previous reports showing a traditional dependency on the use of medicinal plants to treat illness, as well as an extensive knowledge of herbal remedies recommended by traditional practitioners [9,}
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10. Herbal teas or “bush teas”, as they are known in Jamaica, are highly regarded, and although consumed in urban areas, their uses are more deeply rooted in rural, remote communities [8], where the “folk medical system” (treating ailments with herbs) tends to be the primary form of healthcare available [11]. A number of local plants have traditionally been used as bush teas in Jamaica, with many being successfully marketed as herbal teas.

*Rytidophyllum tomentosum* (L.) Mart. (search-me-heart) is an aromatic shrub belonging to the family Gesneriaceae. It is a Jamaican endemic which grows wild on open banks in pastures in Jamaica. It may grow as high as 3 m and bears flowers and fruits all year. The leaves are alternate, long-pastures in Jamaica. It may grow as high as 3 m and bears flowers and fruits all year. The leaves are alternate, long-tapered at both ends and are light green and toothed. The flowers are hairy, bell-shaped and greenish yellow and are often marked with purplish red inside. They are constricted below the mouth, which is expanded with a prominent upper lip and protruding stamens [12, 13].

Search-me-heart has been identified as one of fifty herbs most commonly used in Jamaica [8, 9] and has been associated with many positive health benefits. Although mostly anecdotal, evidence suggests that search-me-heart may be used as a remedy for colds, to treat malnutrition, stomach pains, high blood pressure, asthma, worms and heart conditions [9, 10, 13]. It should be noted that only one documented scientific study on search-me-heart could be found by the authors. This study suggested that search-me-heart has anti-bacterial properties as well as low anti-helminthic activity [14]. Checks also revealed that there is a lively informal trade in some areas, where the herb is sold to be used as a component of “roots” drinks, which have markets locally and internationally. Search-me-heart has not yet transitioned to the mainstream herbal teas market, however all indications are that the potential for commercialization is high.

Encouraged by its suggested / reported health benefits, widespread use, the need for new and exotic tastes and further fueled by the general lack of scientific data, this study sought to undertake an investigation into the quality parameters of this herb with the overall objective of evaluating its nutraceutical potential as a functional herbal tea.

The specific objectives were to: collect and analyze search-me-heart samples from five locations across Jamaica in order to assess the potential for variability; to establish a standardized chromatographic fingerprint to be used for identification of the plant; to establish criteria for quality and strength by the determination of elemental composition (including heavy metals), antioxidant activity and phenolic constituents e.g. flavonoids, polyphenols and tannins, and to determine the general acceptance of the herb as a functional herbal tea through sensory analysis. For the purpose of this study, the terms infusion(s) and herbal tea(s) are used interchangeably.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Standards and Reagents**

Standards of quercetin, isoquercetin, aviculin, kaempferol, ferulic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid and procatechuic acid were obtained from Chromadex Inc., Irvine, CA, USA. Quercetin dihydrate and myricetin were obtained from MP Biomedicals LLC, Solon, OH, USA. Rutin monohydrate, tannic acid, catechin, gallic acid monohydrate, ascorbic acid, 2-aminoethyl diphenyl borinate (Natural Products Reagent), Trolox, 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2’-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS•-), Folini Cioce ofeha reagent 2-1N, formic acid, indigocarmine dye, sodium nitrite, aluminium chloride hexahydrate and potassium persulfate were obtained from Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA and Sigma Aldrich Co. Milwaukee, WI, USA. Polyethylene glycol 4500 and sodium carbonate (GPR) were obtained from Spectrum Chemical MFG Corp, CA, USA. and British Drug Houses (BDH) Laboratory Supplies, Poole, England, respectively. Analytical grade ethanol, ethyl acetate and methanol were obtained from Pharmco Products, Brookfield, CT, USA. Sodium hydroxide pellets, standard elements (Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn, Al, Ca, Cr, K, Mg, Na, Ni, P, Se, Hg, Cd, Pb, and As), Analytical grade glacial acetic acid, hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, potassium permanganate and HPLC grade glacial acetic acid and methanol were obtained from Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA. All other solvents, unless otherwise stated, were of analytical grade.

**Plant Material**

Search-me-heart leaves and stems were collected from their natural habitats in five locations across the island. Samples were coded SMH/71/2 – Location 1, SMH/71/76 – Location 2, SMH/71/116- Location 3, SMH/71/147- Location 4 and SMH/82/28 – Location 5. Samples were identified and authenticated taxonomically by comparison with voucher specimens at the Herbarium of the University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston, Jamaica. Samples were air dried for 5 -7 days after which they were milled to a coarse powder prior to extraction. Excess material was stored in sealed plastic bags. Commercial teas, Black Tea A teabags and Black Tea B loose leaves were used for comparative analyses and were purchased from a local supermarket.

**Sample Preparation**

Infusions were prepared according to the method of Raptopi et al., [15] to which minor modifications were made. Approximately 1 g of powdered search-me-heart or commercial tea was infused in 100 mL of boiling distilled water and covered. This was allowed to stand for 5 mins at room temperature, after which it was filtered and the volume made up to 100 mL. Extractions were conducted in triplicate. These were used for further analyses. Samples of the infusions were also lyophilized (Labconco Freeze Zone 4.5 Liter Freeze Dry System – Model 7750001) for DPPH antioxidant study.

**High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) Fingerprinting of Polyphenols**

The chromatographic fingerprints of the aqueous extracts of search-me-heart were developed using a HPTLC method developed in our laboratory.

Aqueous extracts of powdered search-me-heart were prepared by adding 10 mL of water to approximately 1 g of powdered search-me-heart weighed in 50 mL round bottom flasks. The contents of the flasks were then boiled under...
reflux for 10 mins. The flasks were cooled, filtered and concentrated under vacuum on a rotary evaporator. The residues obtained were then redissolved in 2 mL of methanol and filtered through a filter of 0.45 μm pore size. Individual polyphenol standard solutions (flavonoids - rutin, quercetin, quercetrin, isoquercetin, avicularin, myricetin, and kaempferol; phenolic acids – ferulic, gallic, tannic, chlorogenic, caffeic, and procatechuic acids) were prepared in methanol at concentrations of 0.3 mg/mL.

Analyses were carried out using a Camag HPTLC system (Wilmington, NC., USA) made up of a Linomat 5 semi-automatic sample applicator, twin-trough plate development chambers (10 x 10 cm or 20 x 10 cm), Reprostar 3 / Digistore 2 documentation system and Wincats integration software.

Individual standard solutions (2 μL) and samples (5 μL) were applied by means of the Linomat 5 sample applicator to 10 x 10 cm or 20 x 10 cm HPTLC silica gel 60 F254 glass plates (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), prewashed by development with methanol and activated at 120 °C for 20 mins. Bands were 6 mm, 10 mm from the lower edge of the plate and 20 mm from the left edge of the plate. The distance between tracks/bands varied, depending on number of tracks and plate dimensions. Chromatograms were developed up to 90 mm in twin trough chambers (10 x 10 cm or 20 x 10 cm) saturated for 20 mins using a solvent system consisting of ethyl acetate – acetic acid – formic acid – water (104:11:7:26, v/v). The developed plates were air dried with a hair dryer (cold air) for 3 mins, then documented with a Reprostar 3 / Digistore 2 Documentation System using illumination at 254 nm, 366 nm and under white light. They were then heated at 100 °C for 5 mins and derivatized by spraying evenly with a solution of 0.5% 2-aminoethyl diphenyl borinate in ethylacetate (Natural Products Reagent) followed by 5% polyethylene glycol in dichloromethane under the fume hood. Drying was carried out immediately on a plate heater set at 100 °C for 5 mins. The derivatized plates were cooled to room temperature then documented at 254 nm, 366 nm and under white light. Rf values were determined using the Wincats software.

Analysis of Elements
Contents of 17 elements (Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn, Al, Ca, Cr, K, Mg, Na, Ni, P, Se, Hg, Cd, Pb, and As) in the infusions prepared from the five locations were determined. Elemental content was determined according to the methods of Eaton et al., [16] as outlined for the specific elements. All determinations were made by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry, with the exception of As and Se, which were determined by colourimetry. For elements determined by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry, the infusions were prepared as in Sample Preparation and were aspirated into the atomic absorption spectrophotometer without any further treatment. Quantitative determinations of the elements in the samples were made using calibration curves prepared from standard calibration solutions of each element prepared in water with concentration ranges between 1–3 mg/L. Exceptions were magnesium (Mg) and manganese (Mn), which were prepared at concentrations ranging between 0.1–0.3 mg/L. Concentration of elements in the sample solutions were calculated as mg/L of each.

Determination of the Phenolic Constituents of Search-Me-Heart Infusions

Determination of Total Polyphenols
Total polyphenols in search-me-heart infusions were measured by the Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) colourimetric method as outlined by Marinova et al., [17] and to which minor modifications were made. A 1 mg/mL gallic acid stock solution was prepared by accurately weighing out 0.100 ± 0.001 g of gallic acid monohydrate and making up to 100 mL in a volumetric flask. This solution was prepared fresh daily. 1 mL of the stock solution was transferred to a 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted to the mark (0.1 mg/mL). Volumes of 0.0 (control), 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0 mL of 0.1 mg/mL of gallic acid standard solutions were transferred to 25 mL volumetric flasks containing 9 mL of distilled water in duplicate. 1 mL of 10 % diluted F-C reagent was added to each flask and mixed. After 5 mins, 10 mL of 7 % (w/v) sodium carbonate solution was added and mixed. The solutions were then diluted to the 25 mL mark with distilled water and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 30 mins. Search-me-heart and commercial teas infusions were treated similarly. Absorbances were read immediately after at 750 nm. The total polyphenol content was expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg/g dry powder and mg/serving herbal infusion or tea). All samples were analyzed in duplicates.

Determination of Total Flavonoids
Total flavonoids in infusions of search-me-heart and commercial teas were measured using the aluminium chloride colourimetric method according to Marinova et al., [17]. Total flavonoid content was expressed as catechin equivalents (mg/g dry powder and mg/serving herbal infusion or tea). Samples were analysed in duplicates.

Determination of Total Tannins
Total tannins in the infusions were estimated according to the indigo carmine method as outlined by Rajpal [18], with minor modifications. 1mL of the infusion was measured into a 250 mL conical flask to which water (75 mL) and indigosulphonic acid solutions (2.5 mL) were then added. This was then titrated with constant stirring against a N/1000 potassium permanganate solution to a golden yellow colour. A blank test was run by titrating 2.5 mL of indigosulphonic acid in 75 mL of water. Infusions of commercial teas were treated similarly. The concentration of tannin was estimated using the following relationship: 1mL of N/10 potassium permanganate is equivalent to 0.004157 g of tannin compounds calculated as tannic acid. Total tannins were expressed as tannic acid equivalent (mg/g dry powder or mg/serving herbal infusion or tea). Samples were analysed in duplicates.

Determination of In Vitro Antioxidant Activity
In vitro antioxidant activities of search-me-heart aqueous extracts as well as the commercial teas infusions were evaluated using three different assays: DPPH [19], F-C reducing capacity [17] and Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity / TEAC [20].

DPPH Antioxidant Assay
A stock solution of 5.0 mg/mL was prepared using the lyophilized infusion of search-me-heart from each location.
20 μL of this solution was then added to 2.0 mL of methanol to produce a final testing concentration of 50 μg/mL. 400 μL of 0.02 % (w/v) stock solution of 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) in methanol was then added to the solution and incubate the mixture for 20 mins. Lyophilized infusions of commercial teas were treated similarly. Absorbance was determined at 517 nm against a methanol blank using a spectrophotometer. All samples were measured in duplicates. The percentage anti-oxidant activity (% AA) was determined by the following equation:

\[
% \text{ AA} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100
\]

**Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) Reducing Capacity Assay**

The procedure used was as described for the determination of total polyphenols however, the gallic acid was substituted with ascorbic acid. Total antioxidant activity was measured in search-me-heart and commercial tea infusions and expressed as mg equivalents of ascorbic acid per g dry powder and mg/ serving herbal infusion or tea. All samples were analysed in duplicates.

**Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC) Assay**

A stable stock solution of ABTS⁺ was produced by reacting a 7 mM aqueous solution of ABTS with aqueous 2.45 mM potassium persulfate (final concentrations). The mixture was allowed to stand in the dark for 12 – 16 hr before use. The aqueous working solution was prepared by dissolving the ABTS radicalized solution above in ethanol (1:90, v/v) to an absorbance of 0.700 ± 0.002 at 734 nm at room temperature.

A standard Trolox 1 mM stock solution was prepared in ethanol and diluted to different concentrations between 0 mM (blank) to 1.0 mM in water and conserved in the dark. The dilutions were 1/5, 2/5, 3/5, 4/5, 5/5 and these were prepared in duplicate. 20 μL of each standard Trolox solution or the search-me-heart/commercial tea infusions was added to 2 mL of ABTS working solution and vortexed for approximately 30 sec and incubated at room temperature for 40 mins. Absorbance readings were taken at 734 nm immediately after. Results were expressed as TEAC (mg/g dry powder and mg/serving herbal infusion or tea).

**Sensory Analysis**

A representative sample of search-me-heart coded SMH/71/116 was used to prepare herbal tea samples for sensory analysis. The plant material was pretreated by washing with potable water, steam drying and milling to a coarse powder. The herbal infusions were then prepared in boiling water according to the procedure described under Sample Preparation at two strengths. A complete randomized block design was used, in which the samples were coded with three digit random numbers and served in identical presentation style. The ratio of material weight to cup volumes used were 1 g: 100 mL (Coded 502) and 2 g: 100 mL (Coded 728). Sensory analysis was conducted using 27 trained panelists who were placed in isolated booths and provided with presweetened samples. Four sensory attributes were evaluated: colour, aroma, flavour and overall acceptance. Panelists were provided with water to clean their palates after every tasting. Affective testing was conducted using a nine-point hedonic scale [21, 22].

**Graphs and Statistical Analyses**

Summary statistics and graphs were obtained using Microsoft Office Excel 2007. Means were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) at p ≤ 0.05.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**HPTLC Fingerprint of Search-Me-Heart**

Due to the relationship between polyphenolic compounds and antioxidant activity as well as bioactivity; and since the aim of the study was to evaluate the nutraceutical potential of the herbal tea, it was imperative to focus on developing a chromatographic fingerprint of the water soluble polyphenols.

Representative chromatograms of the HPTLC profile of the aqueous extracts are shown in Figs. (1-3), with Tables 1-3 giving details with respect to spot colours and Rf for the respective chromatograms. Fig. (1) is a comparison of the profiles of the aqueous extracts of search-me-heart from the five locations investigated. Table 1 gives details of the spot colours and corresponding Rf values. Examination of Plate 2 (Fig. 1) clearly suggests that the majority of compounds pre-

---

**Fig. (1). HPTLC Profiles of Aqueous Extracts of Search-Me-Heart from Five Locations.**

were too low to have been visualized by HPTLC. Fig. (3) indicates that no other standard used in the comparison was corresponding band in the samples (Table 2). This was confirmed by comparing the Rf value of the band for the caffeic acid standard and clearly shows the occurrence of caffeic acid at what appears to be various concentrations based on intensity of colourations in all sample extracts. These results indicate overall that, the HPTLC method employed is a reliable means by which to identify and assess the fingerprints of the major active constituents in the aqueous samples of search-me-heart. This in turn can be used to provide the basis for their use as a functional herbal tea.

**Elemental Content of Search-Me-Heart**

The human body requires both metallic and non-metallic elements for growth and good health. At least 50 elements vital to the well-being of humans have been identified. However, their intake has to be within certain permissible limits [23, 24]. In order to understand the nutritive importance as well as determine whether the amounts consumed as beverages in normal doses may be toxic, the elemental composition of the infusions obtained for samples from the various locations was determined. Essential elements determined were Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn, Ca, C, K, Mg, Ni, and Se, with non-essential elements being Al, Hg, Cd, Pb, and As. Results of the analyses are presented in Table 4.

The infusions were generally found to contain low concentrations of the elements with the exception of Ca, K, Mg, and Na which were present in relatively high concentrations. According to Karimi et al., [25], the metals chelate tannic acid and tannins released during preparation of the infusion, hence the precipitation of the chelates leads to the noticed decrease in the concentrations. Additionally, the author indicated that other factors such as the physiological properties of the structures of the plant, pH of the water used in the preparation of the infusion, extraction time, temperature and solubility of the elements in hot water could also affect the elemental concentrations in the infusions. Calcium (Ca) and potassium (K) were the most abundant elements found. This is a slight variation from a previous study in which Ca and Mg were reported as the most abundant elements in many

---

**Table 1. Spot Colours and Corresponding Rf Values of Aqueous Extracts of Search-Me-Heart (Plate 2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/2</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/76</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/116</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/147</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/82/28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.06 – blue</td>
<td>0.06 - blue</td>
<td>0.06 - blue</td>
<td>0.06 – blue</td>
<td>0.06 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11 – faint orange</td>
<td>0.09 – faint orange</td>
<td>0.10 – faint orange</td>
<td>0.10 – faint orange</td>
<td>0.10 – faint orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17 – blue</td>
<td>0.17- blue</td>
<td>0.18 – blue</td>
<td>0.17 - blue</td>
<td>0.18 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27 – blue</td>
<td>0.28 - blue</td>
<td>0.27 - blue</td>
<td>0.26 - blue</td>
<td>0.28 - blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.34 – blue</td>
<td>0.34 - blue</td>
<td>0.34 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.34 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.37 – orange</td>
<td>0.38 - orange</td>
<td>0.38 - orange</td>
<td>0.38 -orange</td>
<td>0.38 – orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42 – blue</td>
<td>0.41- blue</td>
<td>0.42 - blue</td>
<td>0.42 - blue</td>
<td>0.43 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 – violet</td>
<td>0.44 – violet</td>
<td>0.47 - violet</td>
<td>0.44 - violet</td>
<td>0.45 – violet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47 – blue</td>
<td>0.47 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.47 - blue</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.51 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.51 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.62 – blue</td>
<td>0.61 - blue</td>
<td>0.62 - blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.62 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.77 -blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.78 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.91 – blue</td>
<td>0.91 - blue</td>
<td>0.91 -blue</td>
<td>0.91 – blue/ yellow</td>
<td>0.92 – blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.98 – yellow/green</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98 – yellow/green</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98 – yellow/green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

In attempting to identify marker compounds (for standardization purposes) in the search-me-heart aqueous extract, a comparison of extracts from the five locations was made against standards of phenolic acids and flavonoids. Fig. (2), Table 2 represents the results of this comparison. Caffeic acid was found to be common to all the extracts (Fig. 2, Plate 2). This was confirmed by comparing the Rf value of the band for the caffeic acid standard with those of the corresponding band in the samples (Table 2). The fact that only a single matching band was found should not be taken as an indication that no other standard used in the comparison was present in the sample. However, it should be noted that these compounds may have been present in concentrations which were too low to have been visualized by HPTLC. Fig. (3),
medicinal plants [24]. Optimal intakes of elements such as Na, K, Mg, Ca, Mn, Cu, and Zn have been reported to reduce individual risk factors, including those related to cardiovascular disease in both human and animal studies. Calcium is known to enhance the qualities of bones and teeth, neuromuscular systemic as well as cardiac functions [23]. The high concentrations of Ca and K in the plant material are therefore significant and could have implications in the treatment of cardiovascular conditions. It should be noted that search-me-heart herbal infusion is traditionally consumed for heart problems; however further studies will be needed in order to validate this claim. Mn was found to be present in the infusions, an important fact, since this element in addition to Fe, Cu, and Zn are deemed to be critical components in the antioxidant defence system of the human body [15]. Microessential elements: Cr, Ni and Se were present at relatively low levels.

The heavy metals: As, Cd, Hg and Pb are best known for their toxicological properties [23, 24]. Whereas Cd, Hg and Pb were found in all samples, As was detected in the sample from only one location (SMH/71/147) at a concentration (< 10 mg/L) higher than the WHO [26] permissible limit of 1

### Table 2. Spots and Corresponding Rf Values of Aqueous Extracts of Search-Me-Heart Against Phenolic Acids and Flavonoid Standards – Plate 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spots Correspon</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of Standards</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/2</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/76</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/116</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/71/147</th>
<th>Rf Values / Colour of Spots of SMH/82/28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rutin</td>
<td>0.05 – blue</td>
<td>0.05 – blue</td>
<td>0.05 – blue</td>
<td>0.05 – blue</td>
<td>0.06 - blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>0.16 – blue</td>
<td>0.16 – blue</td>
<td>0.17-blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18 – blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isoquercetin</td>
<td>0.27 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.27 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28 – blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tannic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.29 - blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quercetin</td>
<td>0.31 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avicularin</td>
<td>0.35 – blue</td>
<td>0.35 - blue</td>
<td>0.35 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.36 – blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallic acid</td>
<td>0.38 – orange</td>
<td>0.39 - orange</td>
<td>0.39 - orange</td>
<td>0.39 – orange</td>
<td>0.39 - orange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caffeic acid</td>
<td>0.43 – blue</td>
<td>0.42 - blue</td>
<td>0.44 – blue</td>
<td>0.42 – blue</td>
<td>0.44 – blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myricetin</td>
<td>0.47 – blue</td>
<td>0.47 - blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>procatachevic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.48 - violet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferulic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.52 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.53 - blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quercetin</td>
<td>0.63 - blue</td>
<td>0.62 - blue</td>
<td>0.63 - blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.63 – blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kaempferol</td>
<td>0.79 – blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.80 - blue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.80 – blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avicularin</td>
<td>0.93 – blue</td>
<td>0.93 - blue</td>
<td>0.93 – blue</td>
<td>0.93 – blue</td>
<td>0.93 - blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cinnamic acid</td>
<td>0.98 – green</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98 – green</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98 – green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in bold represent possible matches.
mg/kg. The levels of Pb and Hg detected in all the samples were below the permissible limit of 10 mg/kg WHO [27] and 1.0 mg/kg [28] respectively. Cd levels were also below the WHO [27] permissible limit of 0.3 mg/kg in the majority of samples, the exception being SMH/71/147 (7.5 mg/L)

**Correlation of Elemental Content and Search-Me-Heart Consumption**

There are currently no standard limits for trace elements in non-alcoholic beverages; hence their daily uptake from the consumption of search-me-heart infusions was determined in order to evaluate their potential hazard to health. Calculated results shown in Table 4 are based on the assumption that a single person consumes an average of three cups of infusion per day, with each cup brewed from 2 g of dried powder infused in 200 mL of boiling water, i.e. 6 g of search-me-heart powder per day. No recommended limits could be ascertained for Al and P.

Calculated intakes of Cu, Zn, Cr, Na and Ni were found to be below the recommended daily dietary intake in the samples from all locations, indicating that these essential elements may add to the micronutrient supply from other sources, but are not present in concentrations high enough to cause any adverse effects. Fe, Mn, Ca, K, Mg, and Se were also found to be below the recommended daily dietary intake in all but one sample: SMH/71/147, which appeared to contain high levels of trace elements in general, resulting in the values for the upper ranges reported in Table 4. It should be noted that in the case of Fe, the toxic dose in adults is about 15 – 20 g [29], which would still make it relatively safe to consume the sample in question (20.76 mg/day). Similarly, for Ca, no adverse effects have been observed in healthy
adults consuming up to 2,500 mg/day, a limit which would place Ca intake from SMH/71/147 (2287.80 mg/day) within the safety zone. Excessive intake of more than 17.6 g of K per day may result in acute intoxication, if no increase losses from the body occur. Calculated intake of K from SMH/71/147 was 4961.4 mg/day, a value far less than the level at which intoxication would occur. On the other hand, calculated intake of Se was found to be 1.8 mg or 1,800 g/day, a level which could result in severe toxicity based on the highly toxic nature of this element. Se is highly toxic at 750 g/day, with levels of more than 900 g/day causing severe toxicity [29]. In light of this, consumption of the regular three cups of SMH/71/147 infusion would be unwise. Toxic limits for Mn and Mg could not be found.

For heavy metals, the calculated intake of As was above the safety limit (0.4060 mg/day) in sample SMH/71/147 (< 6 mg/day), the only infusion in which this element was detected. Calculated daily intake of Hg was above the safety limit in SMH/82/28; Cd was above the limits for consumption of SMH/71/147, SMH/82/28 and SMH/71/116 and Pb: SMH/71/2, SMH/71/147 and SMH/82/28. While these findings were not reflective of samples from all locations, it is assumed that the high levels observed in the instances identified may have been as a result of the environment from which samples were collected (near roadways in some instances), as well as soil and water quality.

### Phenolic Constituents of Search-Me-Heart Infusions

Phenolics are the main classes of secondary metabolites found in plants. They are known to have a broad spectrum of bioactivity contributing to antioxidant, antimutagenic, antibacterial, anti-carcinogenic, anti-inflammatory, anti-viral, and other properties. Phenolic compounds are found in various plants and have been extensively studied for their health benefits. Their antioxidant properties can help protect cells from damage caused by free radicals, while their antimutagenic properties can help prevent the development of cancer. Additionally, some phenolic compounds have been shown to have anti-inflammatory and anti-viral properties, making them potential targets for the development of new drugs.

### Table 4. Elemental Concentrations in Search-Me-Heart Infusions and Summary of Its Recommended and Calculated Daily Intake as an Infusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mean Concentrations of Elements in:</th>
<th>Concentration Ranges in Search-Me-Heart Infusion, mg/serving</th>
<th>Ranges of Recommended Dietary Intake, mg/day</th>
<th>#Ranges of Calculated Intake of Search-Me-Heart Infusion, mg/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMH/71/2 Infusion, mg/L</td>
<td>SMH/71/7 Infusion, mg/L</td>
<td>SMH/71/147 Infusion, mg/L</td>
<td>SMH/82/2 Infusion, mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt; 0.03</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (Mn)</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>28.45</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum (Al)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (Ca)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16.48</td>
<td>3,813</td>
<td>20.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Cr)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (K)</td>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>126.69</td>
<td>8,269</td>
<td>116.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (Mg)</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>1,266.5</td>
<td>17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (Na)</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>830.5</td>
<td>19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (Ni)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorous (P)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (Se)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Mercury (Hg)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Cadmium (Cd)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Lead (Pb)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Arsenic (As)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Heavy Metals, mg/serving – Values represent content of a 200 mL cup of herbal tea (2g search-me-heart powder in 200 mL boiling water); #Assumption is that average consumption of search-me-heart herbal tea is three cups/day; NLF – No Limits Found; ND – Not Detected; * – Source: Ref. # 29; † – Source: Ref. # 51; ‡ – Source: Ref. # 24.
The results are not surprising since levels of total polyphenols, flavonoids, and tannins were determined in search-me-heart infusions. The content of total polyphenols, flavonoids, and tannins in our diet [30, 32]. Moreover, studies have suggested that regular consumption of some herbal teas may be potentially useful in providing protection against certain relevant oxidative species [31, 34]. In view of the association between plant phenolics and anti-oxidant activity along with the recent thrust to market teas for their anti-allergic and immune-stimulating activities [30-33]. Teas and herbal infusions contribute to the major source of phenolic compounds in our diet [30, 32]. More specifically, studies have suggested that regular consumption of some herbal teas may be potentially useful in providing protection against certain relevant oxidative species [31, 34]. In view of the association between plant phenolics and anti-oxidant activity along with the recent thrust to market teas for their anti-allergic and immune-stimulating activities [30-33]. Teas and herbal infusions contribute to the major source of phenolic compounds in our diet [30, 32].

Total Polyphenol Contents

The total polyphenol content of search-me-heart infusions (expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent / g dry powder) were derived from a gallic acid standard curve ranging from 0.02 – 0.1 mg/mL (R² = 0.9989). There was significant variation in the total polyphenol content among three of the samples, the exceptions being SMH/71/76 and SMH/71/147, which were not significantly different. Quantities of total polyphenols ranged from 12.19 ± 1.79 mg/g to 31.05 ± 0.65 mg/g and decreased in the following order: SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147. The differences in levels of total polyphenol content between the commercial black teas were also significant. In comparing the commercial teas with the search-me-heart infusions, it was observed that whereas the level of total polyphenols in the Black Tea B (37.12 ± 0.28 mg/g) was comparable to the search-me-heart infusion with the highest content of total polyphenol (SMH/71/116 - 31.05 ± 0.65 mg/g), the level in Black Tea A (76.83 ± 1.43 mg/g) was three times that in all the infusions except SMH/71/116. The results are not surprising since levels of total polyphenols up to 124 mg of gallic acid equivalent have been reported for black teas [35]. It is important to note that Black Tea B contained plant material other than Camellia sinensis, hence total polyphenol content was understandably not as high as the unblended Black Tea A. The total polyphenol content available per serving (Table 5), suggests that an average daily intake of two - three cups of the search-me-heart infusion (current consumption pattern for herbal teas) would be required in order to obtain similar polyphenol-related benefits as per cup of Black Tea A.

Total Flavonoid Content

Total flavonoid content (expressed as mg catechin equivalent/g dry powder) were derived from a catechin standard curve in the range of 20 -100 mg/L (R² = 0.9996). Quantities of total flavonoids ranged from 6.81 ± 0.07 mg/g to 21.41 ± 0.61 mg/g and decreased in the order of: SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 >SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147 (Table 5). Whereas significant variations in total flavonoid contents were observed for SMH/71/2, SMH/71/76 and SMH/71/147, contents were not significantly different for SMH/71/116 and SMH/82/28. As was observed for total polyphenols, total flavonoid contents of the commercial black teas were also significantly different (Black Tea A - 22.77 ± 0.50 mg/g versus Black Tea B – 8.78 ± 0.26 mg/g). However, of importance is the fact that the total flavonoid content for infusion SMH/71/116 (21.41 ± 0.61 mg/g) was not significantly different from that of the Black Tea A (22.77 ± 0.50 mg/g), suggesting that this could be a key factor in positioning the herbal infusion in the herbal teas market. In comparing the total flavonoid content available per serving for Black Tea A (45.70 ± 0.97 mg/serving ) obtained from this investigation with the value used in marketing the tea (175 mg/serving – reported on packaging), it becomes obvious that the differences are quite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Total Polyphenols Content *</th>
<th>Total Flavonoids *</th>
<th>Total Tannins *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg GAE / serving</td>
<td>mg GAE / g dry powder</td>
<td>mg CE / serving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/2</td>
<td>41.01 ± 1.84 ±</td>
<td>20.42 ± 0.93 ±</td>
<td>30.86 ± 0.52 ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/76</td>
<td>24.45 ± 3.56 ±</td>
<td>12.19 ± 1.79 ±</td>
<td>13.61 ± 0.14 ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/116</td>
<td>62.36 ± 1.42 ±</td>
<td>31.05 ± 0.65 ±</td>
<td>42.83 ± 1.23 ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/147</td>
<td>28.48 ± 1.51 ±</td>
<td>14.17 ± 0.74 ±</td>
<td>15.42 ± 0.57 ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/82/28</td>
<td>48.07 ± 0.31 ±</td>
<td>23.99 ± 0.17 ±</td>
<td>39.23 ± 0.86 ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Tea A</td>
<td>154.17 ± 2.98 ±</td>
<td>76.83 ± 1.43 ±</td>
<td>45.70 ± 0.97 ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Tea B</td>
<td>74.62 ± 0.48 ±</td>
<td>37.12 ± 0.28 ±</td>
<td>17.66 ± 0.54 ±</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAE – Gallic Acid Equivalent; CE – Catechin Equivalent; TAE – Tannic Acid Equivalent; Infusions were prepared from 1g of tea/search-me-heart powder in 100 mL boiling water; mg/serving – Values represent content of a 200 mL cup of tea (2g tea/search-me-heart powder in 200 mL boiling water); * – Values represent the mean of six determinations taken from three samples ± Standard Error of Mean; a–h – Mean values in the same column with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05).
significant. However, this could have been due to differences in the methods of determination, as well as the selection of calibration standard (eg. rutin, catechin, epicatechin) used for expression of the results. Variations are however not unusual since other levels of total flavonoids have also been reported in the literature for black teas like sample A eg. 17.5 mg rutin /g tea leaves [36].

**Total Tannins Content**

Tannins are natural compounds that abound in herbs, wood and fruits [37]. They are responsible for the colour, strength and characteristic astringent taste of teas and herbal infusions. They are however considered undesirable because they precipitate protein, inhibit digestive enzymes and affect the utilization of vitamins and minerals/elements [38]. The content of total tannins in search-me-heart infusions, being critical to these effects was thus determined. Data obtained for total content of search-me-heart infusions and the two commercial black teas are presented in Table 5.

Quantities of total tannins ranged from 10.6 ± 0.15 mg/g to 26.83 ± 0.35 mg/g and decreased in the following order: SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147. Significant differences were observed in tannin content of infusions from all locations except SMH/71/2 (21.07 ± 0.84 mg/g) and SMH/82/28 (22.93 ± 1.07 mg/g) which were not significantly different at p ≤ 0.05. Quantities of total tannins in the Black Tea A and Black Tea B were significantly different from each other at 68.13 ± 1.25 mg/g and 27.79 ± 0.20 mg/g respectively, and from the search-me-heart infusions. The infusion of SMH/71/116 was the exception, being found not to differ significantly from the Black Tea B. It is important to note that the search-me-heart infusions contained significantly lower quantities of total tannins than the commercial black teas. These results were as expected, since tea (*Camelia sinensis*) is known to contain naturally high levels of tannins linked to the content of catechins and other flavonoids [39]. Values between 4.8 mg/g and 55.7 mg/g total tannins have reported in literature for Black Tea A [36, 38]. In examining the total tannin available per serving (Table 5) of tea and search-me-heart infusions, it would appear based on tannin content, that the consumption of search-me-heart infusions would be a healthier alternative to the two black teas, but more so Black Tea A and could be used as another key parameter for positioning the herbal tea. However, caution should be exercised in this regard since there may be other compounds present in search-me-heart which may have other adverse effects. Toxicological studies of search-me-heart are therefore advised. It was also observed that SMH/71/116, which contained the highest amount of total polyphenols and flavonoid compounds, also contained the highest levels of tannins, suggesting that there may be some direct correlation among these three compounds.

**Total Antioxidant Activity of Search-Me-Heart Infusions**

An antioxidant is any substance which at low concentrations compared to that of an oxidizable substrate (eg. DNA, lipid or carbohydrate) significantly delays or inhibits the oxidation of that substrate, thus preventing damage to cellular components due to chemical reactions involving free radicals [30, 40]. Free radicals conversantly are reactive oxygen species (ROS) that contribute to aging and degenerative diseases eg. cancer, cardiovascular disease among others. The main characteristic of an antioxidant is therefore its ability to trap or scavenge free radicals. Antioxidant compounds like phenolic acids, polyphenols and flavonoids are mainly supplied as dietary consumptions eg. herbal teas, hence it was important to evaluate the *in vitro* antioxidant capacity of the search-me-heart infusions, in order to determine the health-promoting benefits of the herbal tea. In the present study, three different antioxidative methods were used to examine the activity of search-me-heart infusions from five locations across Jamaica. The DPPH assay which measures the disappearance of the free radical DPPH, the TEAC assay which is linked to the disappearance of the ABTS® and the F-C reducing capacity assay which measures the ability of samples to reduce the yellow F-C reagent to dark blue were the methods used. This is because different antioxidant compounds may act *in vitro* through different mechanisms; hence no single method can be used to fully evaluate antioxidant capacity. Table 6 summarizes the results of each assay.

**DPPH Assay**

The DPPH radical has been widely used as a model to investigate the scavenging activities of several natural compounds including phenolic compounds, flavonoids or crude mixtures such as ethanol or water extracts of plants [40]. DPPH is a stable free radical with a purple colour, which is scavenged by antioxidants through the donation of a hydrogen atom or an electron. This results in the formation of the reduced DPPH-H (1, 1 diphenyl-z-picrylhydrazine) which is yellow or colourless and thus can be quantified by its absorbance at 517 nm [31, 40]. Fig. (4) shows the DPPH antioxidant activity of search-me-heart infusions and that of the two commercial teas. Antioxidant activity of the infusions decreased in the following order: SMH/71/116 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147 > SMH/71/2 and ranged between 59.57 ± 4.25% - 84.49 ± 0.71%. The antioxidant activity of infusion SMH/71/116 (84.49 ± 0.71%) was not significantly different from that of SMH/82/28 (84.08 ± 0.16%). There were also no significant differences in antioxidant activity of the other three infusions. The two commercial black teas; Black Tea A and Black Tea B had similar activities; 87.83 ± 0.26% and 88.22 ± 0.39% respectively. However, the latter observation may have been related to the large standard error of the mean in SMH/71/76.

**Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) Reducing Capacity Assay**

The F-C reducing capacity is an electron transfer based assay which measures the ability of the extracts (antioxidants) to reduce the chromagen compound phosphomolybdic-tungstic of the F-C reagent [41 - 43]. Under basic conditions, phenolic groups are deprotonated leading to a phenolic anion with reducing potential. F-C reagent is thought to be a phosphomolybdate-phosphotungstate complex. It is believed that the molybdenum in the complex Mo (VI) has the characteristic yellow colour which upon reduction to Mo (V) phenolate anions becomes blue [43]. The reaction equation can be summarized as follows [42]:
Table 6. Comparison of Antioxidant Activity of Search-Me-Heart Infusions by Different Methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Folin -Ciocateau Reducing Capacity Assay a</th>
<th>Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity Assay (TEAC) a</th>
<th>DPPH Assay b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg AAE / serving</td>
<td>mg AAE / g dry powder</td>
<td>mg TE / serving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/2</td>
<td>69.40 ± 21.76 a</td>
<td>34.56 ± 1.11 a</td>
<td>47.56 ± 4.21 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/76</td>
<td>49.79 ± 4.22 b</td>
<td>24.82 ± 2.14 b</td>
<td>25.03 ± 1.94 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/116</td>
<td>84.76 ± 1.98 c</td>
<td>42.21 ± 0.91 c</td>
<td>26.31 ± 3.82 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/71/147</td>
<td>34.77 ± 2.02 d</td>
<td>17.30 ± 0.98 d</td>
<td>35.66 ± 1.33 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMH/82/28</td>
<td>64.84 ± 0.43 e</td>
<td>32.37 ± 0.23 e</td>
<td>84.48 ± 1.46 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Tea A</td>
<td>210.92 ± 4.07 f</td>
<td>105.11 ± 1.95 f</td>
<td>721.78 ± 46.37 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Tea B</td>
<td>95.36 ± 0.66 f</td>
<td>47.45 ± 0.39 f</td>
<td>147.60 ± 17.10 f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAE – Ascorbic Acid Equivalent; TE – Trolox Equivalent; Infusions were prepared from 1g of tea in 100 mL boiling water; mg/serving – Values represent content of a 200 mL cup of tea (2g tea/search-me-heart powder in 200 mL boiling water); a, b – Values represent the mean of six determinations taken from three samples ± Standard Error of Mean; c, d – Values represent the mean of four determinations taken from two samples ± Standard Error of Mean; e, f – Mean values in the same column with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05).

Fig. (4)  DPPH Antioxidant Activity (%) in Search-Me-Heart Infusions from 5 Locations Compared to Two Commercial Black Teas SMH/71/2 - Location 1, SMH/71/76 - Location 2, SMH/71/116 - Location 3, SMH/71/147 - Location 4, SMH/82/28 - Location 5, Black Tea A – Commercial Tea, Black Tea B – Commercial Tea.

Folin: Mo (VI) (yellow) + e- (from AH) → Mo (V) (blue)
λ max = 765 nm, AH refers to the antioxidant.

The F-C reducing capacities of the search-me-heart infusions were derived from an ascorbic acid standard curve in the range of 0.02 - 0.1 mg/mL (R² = 0.9997). Data obtained for the infusions and commercial teas are summarized in Fig. (5).

Reducing capacities of the infusions decreased in the order of: SMH/71/116 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/76 > SMH/71/147 ranging between 17.30 ± 0.9 mg/g and 42.21 ± 0.91 mg/g. Ascorbic acid has been reported to be a potent reducing agent with strong radical...
scavenging ability [44], hence it may be assumed that the values obtained were reflective of the reducing capacities of the various infusions. On the other hand however, it should be noted that ascorbic acid has low thermal resistance [45], which may have resulted in lowered reducing capacities, since infusions were prepared using boiling water. Significant differences were observed in the reducing capacities of infusions from all locations except infusions SMH 71/2 (34.56 ± 1.11 mg/g) and SMH/82/28 (32.37 ± 0.23 mg/g) (Table 6). The commercial black teas also had significantly different reducing capacities when compared with each other (Black Tea A - 105.11 ± 1.95 mg/g vs. Black Tea B – 47.45 ± 0.39 mg/g), as well as the search-me-heart infusions.

**TEAC Assay**

The TEAC assay is based on the ability of antioxidant molecules to quench the long-lived ABTS$^+$, a blue-green chromophore with characteristic absorption at 734 nm, compared with that of Trolox, a water-soluble Vitamin E analog. The addition of antioxidants to the preformed radical cation reduces it to ABTS, determining a decolourization [46]. The reaction equation can be summarized as follows [42]:

\[
\text{ABTS/TEAC: ABTS} + \text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8 \rightarrow \text{ABTS}^+ \quad (1) \quad \lambda_{\text{max}} = 734 \text{ nm}
\]

\[
\text{ABTS}^+ + \text{ArOH} \rightarrow \text{ABTS} + \text{ArO} + \text{H}^+ \quad (2)
\]

Where ABTS: 2, 2 azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid), TEAC is Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity (name of the assay) and ArOH is the antioxidant compound.

TEAC values were determined using a trolox standard curve prepared in the range of 0.2 – 1 mM ( R$^2 = 0.9913$. Total antioxidant capacity of the search-me-heart infusions ranged from 12.50 ± 0.97 mg/g to 42.10 ± 0.77 mg/g, decreasing in the order of: SMH/82/28 > SMH/71/2 > SMH/71/147 > SMH/71/116 > SMH/71/76 (Table 6, Fig. 6). The antioxidant capacity of infusions SMH/71/2 and SMH/82/28 were found to be significantly different from the other three samples, with the latter having about three times the capacity of the sample with the lowest antioxidant capacity. Black Tea A (360.03 ± 23.43 mg/g) had almost five times the antioxidant capacity of Black Tea B (73.46 ± 8.60 mg/g). Both were also significantly different from the search-me-heart infusions. Examination of the antioxidants per serving (Table 6) indicated an extremely high value of 721.78 ± 46.37 mg/g for Black Tea A, five times greater than the total antioxidant value of 135 mg, reported on the product package and possibly an overestimation.

**Correlation between Antioxidant Activity and Total Polyphenols, Flavonoids and Tannins**

A regression model was used to determine the relationship between the antioxidant activity and each phenolic constituent for each of the three antioxidant methods used. Additionally, the pair-wise relationships of the three methods were determined. The relationship between the total tannins and the antioxidant methods are summarized in Fig. (7a). A
significant relationship was observed between total tannins and antioxidant activity by the F-C reducing capacity assay (R² = 0.9563), with weaker correlations of R² = 0.5584 and 0.0806 between total tannins and the DPPH and TEAC assays respectively. Similar trends were observed for correlations between total polyphenols, total flavonoids and antioxidant activity (Figs. 7b and 7c). Overall results indicate that the F-C reducing capacity assay may be most suitable for determination of antioxidant activities in search-me-heart infusions, based on the high correlation coefficients obtained, followed by DPPH assay, with TEAC assay being the least effective in determining antioxidant activity. The F-C reducing capacity assay, is however not an absolute measurement of the amount of phenolic materials, since different types of phenolic compounds have different antioxidant activities depending on their structures [30, 47], hence caution should be observed in limiting determination of antioxidant activity to a single assay. Correlation of the parameters examined to antioxidant activity determined by the F-C reducing capacity assay was also observed to decrease in the following order: total tannins (R² = 0.9563) > total polyphenols (R² = 0.802) > total flavonoids (R² = 0.7911). All had relatively strong correlation coefficients, an indication that all three groups of compounds may be contributing significantly to the antioxidant activity. However, results suggest that contribution by tannins to the antioxidant activity of search-me-heart infusions is greater than the other compounds. While, this may be a deviation from the norm, having been suggested in several studies that there exists a causative relationship between total polyphenol content and antioxidant activity [40, 48], it is not surprising, since the antioxidant activities of tannins have also been well documented [38, 47]. The overall poor correlation of all phenolic constituents with TEAC suggest that these compounds might not be capable of scavenging the ABTS⁺ free radical.

Figs. (8a-c) represent the pairwise relationships of the antioxidant methods used. Low correlation values were observed in comparing each pair of methods, suggesting that there may be a mechanism by which the phenolics in the extracts scavenge the radicals thus making identification of any one method difficult. It should also be noted that the overall results may also have been affected by the fact that
the radicals are dissimilar in nature. The F-C method measures free acid bound hydrophilic antioxidants, producing strong reactions with compounds such as phenol and coumaric acid. DPPH measures free hydrophobic antioxidants only and cannot detect compounds like gallic and tannic acids. Additionally, other compounds which also absorb at 517 nm may interfere with the DPPH assay, leading to an underestimation of antioxidant activity. The TEAC assay measures hydrophobic antioxidants with the lack of correlation to other assays being attributable to an underestimation of overall antioxidant capacity related to dilution effects as well as phenolic measurement of inhibition percentage [42, 45, 49, 50]. The combined effects of the different antioxidants, including phenolic constituents therefore has to be taken into account.

Sensory Analysis

Results of the sensory analysis of search-me-heart herbal tea are summarized in Fig. (9). There were no significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) in all the attributes evaluated when samples of both strengths were compared. Infusions were of moderate liking. Overall results suggest that the minimum, one gram of milled search-me-heart powder versus two grams could be packaged for sale as tea bags and still enjoy consumer acceptance.

CONCLUSIONS

Search-me-heart herbal tea has the potential to offer a new and exotic taste, along with great nutraceutical value in the functional teas segment of the beverage market. It provides the added benefit of being a good source of polyphenols which exhibit important antioxidant behavior, as confirmed by the current study. Infusions prepared with samples from the five locations studied, contained considerable amounts of polyphenolic substances and had significant antioxidant activity. The total flavonoid content of search-me-heart herbal tea from at least one of the locations was not significantly different from that of Black Tea A and was greater than that of Black Tea B, suggesting that the herbal infusion could offer similar and in some instances far greater antioxidant protection with respect to flavonoid contribution than that offered by well-known commercial black teas. Overall results suggest that search-me-heart herbal tea could be an effective natural antioxidant with potential as a dietary herbal supplement, and could possibly contribute to the maintenance and promotion of good health. In order to fully realize the nutraceutical potential of search-me-heart as a functional herbal tea however, a more comprehensive characterization of the water soluble polyphenolic compounds involving quantitative HPTLC as well as HPLC-MS will need to be conducted for purposes of standardization. Additionally, the bioavailability of the bioactives, herb-drug in-
teractions, as well as toxicity studies (short term) should be considered in order to ensure product safety and efficacy. Domestication or cultivation of the herb will also be necessary since the market will be limited by the fact that the plant is wild-crafted.
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**ABBREVIATIONS**

- DPPH = 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl
- F-C = Folin-Ciocalteu
- HPTLC = High performance thin layer chromatography
- ROS = Reactive oxygen species
- TEAC = Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity
- ABTS = 2,2’azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid
- WHO = World Health Organization
- GAE = Gallic acid equivalent
- CE = Catechin equivalent
- TAE = Tannic acid equivalent
- ANOVA = Analysis of Variance
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