Stress-Induced Hyperthermia in Translational Stress Research
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Abstract: The stress-induced hyperthermia (SIH) response is the transient change in body temperature in response to acute stress. This body temperature response is part of the autonomic stress response which also results in tachycardia and an increased blood pressure. So far, a SIH response has been found in a variety of species (including rodents, baboons, turtles, pigs, impalas and chimpanzees), and there are indications that stress exposure alters body temperature in humans. This review aims to assess the translational potential and the different aspects of the body temperature reaction in response to stress. If stress-induced temperature changes are consistent across species, the SIH paradigm may be employed in preclinical and clinical setups and provide a tool to examine the pharmacological, genetic and mechanistic background of stress at both the preclinical and the clinical level.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Animal models have significantly aided in establishing the biological basis of stress-related psychiatric disorders including anxiety disorders and depression [1]. However, any approach at both the preclinical and clinical level is valuable and may help identifying potentially effective new compounds before expensive clinical trials are initiated. The stress-induced hyperthermia (SIH) response is a relatively short-lasting rise in body temperature in response to stress which has been reported in rodents, baboons, sheep, impalas and chimpanzees [2]. In addition to non-human primate studies that report temperature changes after stress exposure such as increased tympanic membrane temperature in chimpanzees [3] and decreased nasal temperature of rhesus monkeys [4], there are also indications that stress exposure alters body temperature in human subjects [5, 6]. Throughout this review, the SIH response is defined as the body temperature response in response to stress. Although the SIH response does not model any specific psychiatric condition, this response may be useful as a read-out parameter of stress. It can be studied at both the preclinical and clinical level in different stressful experimental setups and may therefore serve as an animal-to-human stress-related parameter. The present review therefore aims to assess the translational potential and the different aspects of the SIH paradigm. If exposure to stress reproducibly affects body temperature in humans and preclinical species, the SIH paradigm may be relevant for research into human stress-related disorders, including the assessment of putative stress-attenuating properties of drugs.

2. HUMAN THERMOREGULATION

Human body temperature is kept at a constant 37 °C, ranging from 35.8 and 38.2 °C by regulating the balance between heat production and heat loss. It can be challenging to determine the ‘normal’ body temperature as this depends on the location of the temperature sensor on the body, time of day and measurement equipment [7]. A meta-analysis of Sund and co-workers reviewed 27 temperature studies and found different ranges for oral (33.2-38.2 °C), rectal (34.4-37.8 °C), tympanic (35.4-37.8 °C) and axillary (35.5-37.0 °C) temperatures [8]. There has been an ongoing debate on the location of the temperature sensor on the body, time of day and measurement equipment. In rest, metabolically active organs such as the liver produce most heat, whereas during exercise, skeletal muscles account for heat production. Besides metabolic mechanisms, body temperature is regulated by environmental heat exchange. Different parts of the body have different temperatures, with the highest temperature in the brain and the organs in the thoracic and abdominal cavities (the central or ‘core’ temperature). In contrast, the body’s shell, essentially the skin, usually has the lowest temperature (the peripheral temperature). The central temperature is precisely regulated by altering the peripheral temperature through heat exchange with blood. A high central temperature (e.g. after exercise) leads to increased capillary blood flow in the skin by opening arterial resistance vessels where it exchanges heat with the environment, leading to heat loss and a net increase of skin temperature.
Body temperature regulation is a complex and vital process which is governed by the central nervous system. The hypothalamic preoptic area (POA) is the main thermoregulatory integrating center that contains a heat-loss center with warm-sensitive neurons and a heat-promoting center with cold-sensitive neurons. The hypothalamus receives input from peripheral thermoreceptors located in the skin and central thermoreceptors sensitive to blood temperature [10, 11]. Warm-sensitive neurons inhibit cold-responsive neurons of the dorsomedial nucleus of the hypothalamus (DMH). After exposure to cold, activity of warm-sensitive neurons decreases and subsequently leads to heat conservation and/or heat production. DMH activation results in both vasoconstriction and shivering via neurons that project directly to the rostral raphe pallidus (RPa) (for reviews: [12, 13]). The RPa directly controls sympathetic preganglionic neurons in the intermediolateral cell column of the thoracic spinal cord [14, 15]. In addition to autonomic processes, behavioral strategies (putting on warmer clothing, consumption of hot fluids) aids in keeping an optimal body temperature [11, 16, 17].

Stress-induced changes in body temperature are probably mediated through hypothalamic pathways including the DMH and the RPa. However, as the SIH response is an acute stress response, it is probably initiated by limbic brain areas, including various amygdala nuclei [18]. In a recent study, we showed that the classical benzodiazepine diazepam but not the prostaglandin-blocking aspirin attenuated the SIH response in rodents, whereas aspirin but not diazepam greatly reduced LPS- and IL-1β induced fever states, suggesting that stress-induced hyperthermia and infection-induced fever are two distinct processes [19].

3. THE SIH RESPONSE IN HUMAN SUBJECTS

A variety of studies investigated the effects of stress on body temperature in human subjects. Generally, these studies differ in the experimental setup, methodology and site of temperature measurement. In two early studies, the axillary temperature of 1068 and 1374 students was measured before their exams [20, 21]. Compared to a ‘normal’ body temperature of 37 degrees, the stress-induced body temperature significantly increased. Marazittti and co-workers conducted an investigation in which the axillary temperature was increased in 22 residents before an important exam as compared to an unstressed situation some weeks later [6]. In support, the oral temperature of 108 students was increased before a difficult exam compared to a non-stressful situation [5]. Interestingly, grade point averages increased with larger temperature increases. Male participants who watched or participated in a boxing contest displayed elevated oral temperatures compared to oral temperature levels on a regular school day [22]. In contrast to these studies, peripheral finger temperatures generally decrease in response to stress. In one study, finger temperature decreased up to 13.5 °C (!) in 45 out of 47 subjects in affective states, whereas only a slight increase of forehead and cheek temperature was observed in a minority of the subjects [23]. In support, listening to ‘positive’ music elevated skin temperature of the middle finger whereas ‘negative’ music decreased it [24]. Surprisingly, only music in the left ear induced these skin finger temperature responses [25]. We recently found that in healthy human subjects, upper arm skin temperature increased during exposure to the Trier Social Stress Test. Taken together, the existing human studies report that body temperature either rises or drops in response to stress depending on the stress-induction method, the body location and the temperature measuring apparatus. A tentative conclusion may be that axillary and oral temperature rise in response to stress, whereas distal skin temperature decreases in stressful situations as a result of vasoconstriction. Thus, different thermoregulatory reactions in response to stress may exist depending on the site of measurement. It can be hypothesized that the skin temperature in the distal parts of the limbs (e.g. fingers) reacts differently to stress compared to the more proximal skin temperature (e.g. axilla) or even the core body temperature.

4. TRANSLATIONAL POTENTIAL OF THE SIH PARADIGM

4.1. Stress as a Translational Approach in Psychiatric Research

Stress is an intuitively translational concept as any emotional or physical trigger will result in a physiological stress response in almost any organism [26]. In support, both preclinical and clinical studies suggest a pivotal role for the amygdala in stress [27-30]. Adaptive stress strategies exist in humans and animals that are beneficial for survival [31]. Moreover, the concept that the adaptive nature of stress (allostatic load) is not infinite and can lead to psychopathology when excessive or chronic stress is experienced possesses translational potential [32]. So far, a dysfunctional stress system has been suggested in different psychiatric disorders, among which anxiety disorders, depression and schizophrenia [33, 34].

4.2. The Autonomic Stress Response in Translational Research

Emotional and psychological stress has been shown to consistently activate the autonomic nervous system. This response is mediated by an increased activity of the sympatho-adrenomedullary system, resulting in increased heart rate and blood pressure, cutaneous vasoconstriction in the periphery of the limbs or selective dilatation elsewhere, redistribution of organ blood flow and increased cardiac output and an increase in (non-)shivering thermogenesis [18, 29, 33]. These responses are present across species, allowing a direct comparison between humans and animals [12, 29, 35-37]. Interestingly, environmental challenges induce a transient brain hyperthermia in the nucleus accumbens which is more rapid and stronger compared to muscle temperature, suggesting that central hyperthermia precedes subsequent body hyperthermia [38].

Organisms display individual differences in stress responsivity which are the result of genetic and environmental factors [39, 40]. In rats, a distribution of SIH amplitude in response to novel cage stress exists [41], and the SIH amplitude also depends on stressor intensity [42]. Whether the SIH amplitude correlates with perceived stress levels in humans remains to be investigated. In rats, maternal deprivation leads to a higher SIH response at adult age [43], and repeated social stress exposure facilitated a larger SIH response compared to controls [44]. These studies support...
the notion that individual life experiences directly affect the SIH response later in life. Altogether, the stress-induced body temperature alterations seem to possess value as body temperature is consistently affected in a stressful situation, depends on stressor intensity and is sensitive to the effects of previous (early life) stressful experiences. There have been studies reporting stress-induced hypothermia rather than hyperthermia. Whether this hypothermia represents a unique response to stress or rather is a physiological effect is still subject to debate, and stress-induced hypothermia has been attributed to stressor type, anxiety levels, peripheral vasodilatation, ambient temperature [45].

4.3. Efficacy of Anxiolytic Drugs in the SIH Paradigm

A SIH response is present in all mammals that have been tested to date, including humans, chimpanzees, baboons, silver foxes, pigs, sheep, impalas, turtles, ducks, ground squirrels, rabbits, rats and mice [46-51]. In rodents, body temperature is usually determined by manual rectal temperature measurements or with telemetric equipment in the abdominal cavity. So far, the SIH has been particular temperature measurements or with telemetric equipment in temperature is determined by manual rectal temperature measurements or with telemetric equipment in the abdominal cavity. So far, the SIH has been particular useful as a screening approach to evaluate the efficacy of novel anxiolytic drug candidates [52]. Drug classes with clinically effective anxiolytic properties such as GABAA and 5-HT1A receptor agonists as well as CRF1 receptor antagonists were all proven to attenuate the SIH response in animals [2, 53-56]. In contrast, non-anxiolytic dopaminergic or noradrenergic compounds as well as acute or chronic exposure to antidepressants generally do not alter the SIH response in preclinical species [2]. The discrepancy between clinical efficacy of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) vs. efficacy in preclinical SIH studies may at least be partially explained by the fact that the SIH response generally constitutes a normal and healthy stress response. The drugs that have been found to reduce the SIH response (e.g. benzodiazepines), acutely do so irrespective of the healthy or pathological status of an individual. This way, one may argue that chronic exposure to SSRIs would only alter the SIH response under pathological conditions. So far, no study has been carried out to assess whether a standard anxiolytic drug (e.g. a classical benzodiazepine) alters the SIH response in human subjects. If drugs that exert acute anxiolytic effects such as a benzodiazepine reduce the SIH response in healthy subjects, this would yield a possible indicator of a drug’s acute anxiolytic profile.

4.4. Interpretation of the SIH Response

The SIH response in itself does not model any disease but rather functions as a quantitative read-out of stress in any situation. Studies investigating dysfunctional body temperature responses are lacking, even though a reduced autonomic flexibility has been found in panic disorder and general anxiety disorder [57, 58]. In all cases, it is vital that the SIH response should be contextually interpreted. For example, sexual activity [59] and aggression [60] both increase body temperature. Moreover, the opioid antagonist naltrexon does not affect the SIH response in response to measuring the rectal temperature [61], but was effective in a footshock experiment [62]. In another study, dopamine receptor blockade fully reversed the central temperature-increasing effects of cocaine, but only partially reversed the hyperthermic effects of tail-pinch and social interaction [63]. Also, the effects of drugs on basal body temperature can hamper the interpretation of SIH data. For example, the 5-HT1A receptor agonist buspirone [64] and the 5-HT2C receptor agonist mCPP influence body temperature in human subjects [65, 66].

Putative anxiogenic drugs generally do not increase the autonomic SIH response in preclinical studies, suggesting that increased anxiety levels are not automatically accompanied by higher autonomic stress responsiveness. Although anxiogenic compounds are considered to heighten subjective anxiety levels, tachycardia in anxious people depends on the situation and diagnosis, and a more avoiding personality is associated with reduced heart rate responses [67]. Moreover, patients with panic disorder (PD) display comparable physiological responses to healthy controls, even though they experience more frequent distress, suggesting that the perception of stress in anxiety disorders is not accompanied by heightened autonomic responses [58]. Also, administration of the anxiogenic 5HT2C receptor agonist mCPP to patients with panic disorder or generalized anxiety disorder did not yield different physiological responses compared to controls, indicating the lack of autonomic hyperactivity of anxiogenic substances in anxiety disorders [68, 69]. Together, these data suggest that increased subjective stress levels due to anxiogenic drugs may not necessarily be accompanied by increased autonomic stress responsiveness, which is in line with the SIH literature so far.

5. THE SIH RESPONSE AND BODY TEMPERATURE IN PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The role of body temperature in pathophysiology has received less attention. There is evidence for an altered thermoregulation or SIH response in various stress-related disorders. A series of articles by Shiloh et al. have suggested a dysfunctional thermoregulation in schizophrenic patients that corresponds to symptom severity [70-74]. Moreover, circadian body temperature abnormalities have been observed in depressive disorders [75], and there is evidence for a relationship between insomnia and body temperatures [76]. Interestingly, removal of the olfactory bulbs, an animal model of depression, results in rapid, stable and persistent changes in basal and stress-induced body temperature levels [77, 78]. Also, perimenopausal women may experience hot flushes that are characterized by an acute rise in skin temperature which can be regarded as a form of SIH. In support, hot flushes are associated with anxiety and psychological factors [79, 80]. There is evidence that a hot flush is caused by a narrowed band around the setpoint mediated by the hypothalamus [81, 82], or, alternatively, by increasing levels of norepinephrine and decreasing levels of serotonin due to declining estrogen levels after menopause [83-85].

6. CONCLUSION

A growing body of literature provides support to the existence of a human SIH response. The fact that a SIH response is consistently present across species in response to stress and can be studied at the preclinical and clinical level indicates that the SIH paradigm may prove to have translational value. However, studies investigating the human SIH response are scarce, and more research is needed.
to characterize the basal and stress-induced body temperature levels in humans. Nevertheless, current evidence suggests that the SIH paradigm may function as a translational approach to study the effects of stress in healthy human subjects as well as in different psychiatric disorders. In animals, anxiolytic drugs acutely reduce the SIH response. So far, no human studies have been carried out to examine anxiolytic drug effects on the SIH response in human subjects. If future studies prove that a SIH response in humans can be reduced by anxiolytic drugs, this would strengthen the role of the SIH paradigm in translational stress research.
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