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Abstract: As the American workforce ages, health problems associated with the aging process, like coronary heart disease (CHD) present new health and safety challenges. Over 3.5 million workers have CHD with significant work limitations and increased disability [2]. Further, the prevalence of risk factors for CHD is very high in this population. For example, thirty-one percent of the workforce have hyperlipidemia and 15% have hypertension [3]. Advances in medical care have led to improved trends in morbidity and mortality [4] allowing many workers with CHD to continue working. However, older workers with CHD are 3-times more likely to report work limitations than those without CHD [3]. To date, research on employment among patients with CHD has focused on return to work after an adverse event (e.g., heart attack) as an outcome with little attention paid to how workers function once back at work. The purpose of this integrative literature review was to examine presenteeism among older workers with CHD. The electronic databases that were utilized for this review include: Pubmed, CINAHL, Medline, and PsycINFO with limitations set as published in English from 1992 to 2012 and adults over age 45 (to capture studies focused on older workers). Twelve studies in which presenteeism was measured in older workers with CHD were reviewed. There were 3 key findings: 1) definitions and measurement of presenteeism and CHD diagnosis were inconsistent, 2) the primary focus was on quantifying the economic impact of presenteeism, and 3) job-level and individual-level factors that potentially influence presenteeism were not addressed. Implications for future research include the need for methods that address the gaps identified in this review. Recommendations include the need for longitudinal studies that specifically focus on older workers with CHD and development and testing of theoretical frameworks to guide research design.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics [1], the American workforce is aging. As a result, health problems associated with the aging process, like coronary heart disease (CHD) present new health and safety challenges. Over 3.5 million workers have CHD with significant work limitations and increased disability [2]. Further, the prevalence of risk factors for CHD is very high in this population. For example, thirty-one percent of the workforce have hyperlipidemia and 15% have hypertension [3]. Advances in medical care have led to improved trends in morbidity and mortality [4] allowing many workers with CHD to continue working. However, older workers with CHD are 3-times more likely to report work limitations than those without CHD [3]. To date, research on employment among patients with CHD has focused on return to work after an adverse event (e.g., heart attack) as an outcome with little attention paid to how workers function once back at work.

Observational studies have reported that workers with CHD experience increased rates of absenteeism and periods of disability than workers without CHD [5,6]. Workers with CHD also can have significant work limitations due to decreased physical capacity or noxious symptoms. These work limitations may be permanent and result in work accommodation (e.g., heavy lifting restrictions). Or, episodic symptoms, such as periods of fatigue, may affect daily work ability. Sleep disorders and depression, which are also very common among individuals with CHD, have been strongly correlated to decreased productivity while at work in other populations [7,8].

Presenteeism, defined by occupational health scholars as decreased job performance as a result of a health problem [9] is greater in workers with chronic illnesses. [10]. Presenteeism has also been linked to episodic, minor ailments [11], issues related to work life balance [12], job insecurity [13], and feeling stressed [14,15]. As a result of being “present” but not fully engaged in work, there is a measurable loss of productivity that is attributed to the worker’s physical, mental or emotional state [11].

The causes of presenteeism have been categorized as organizational and job-related. Organizational policies about sick leave as well as incentives for good attendance can foster presenteeism [12, 16]. Job insecurity is also frequently cited as a reason for presenteeism. Job-related factors including job demands, [15] ease of replacement and decision latitude (i.e., less control over work) have been associated with presenteeism [17]. Having a heavy workload and lack of assistance also contribute to presenteeism [18]. It may be that workers in high demand jobs attend work despite feeling ill in order to maintain a high performance or are disinclined to be absent from work when they know work will not be completed in their absence. Interestingly, a culture of presenteeism has been blamed for promoting presenteeism [13]. For example, work team dynamics that instill an obligation to a work group may result in a worker’s reluctance to be absent. In addition to organizational correlates, individual
worker attitudes including “work ethic” are potent influences on the decision to attend work despite illness [19].

For employers, presenteeism is costly, exceeding $180 billion annually [19]. In fact, economists have estimated that presenteeism may account for 84% of lost productivity costs associated with a health problem; while only 16% of lost productivity is attributed to absenteeism [20]. For individual workers, presenteeism can take a toll on one’s health and well-being. Attending work while ill may result in increased stress and fatigue that can worsen a chronic condition or slow recovery from an episodic illness. Further, functioning at a sub-par level may alienate coworkers, thereby, increasing worker stress [14].

Although presenteeism has received increased attention in occupational health research and notably in chronic conditions [10]; less is known about presenteeism in older workers who stereotypically display greater levels of organizational commitment [21]. One hypothesis is that older workers may be more likely to come to work even when not feeling well out of loyalty or perhaps job insecurity. There is disturbing evidence that workers with CHD, who are more likely to be older, delay in seeking treatment when experiencing chest pain symptoms at work [22] and postpone healthcare appointments due to work commitments. For the older worker with CHD, increased symptoms or associated comorbid conditions like depression or sleep apnea may also increase their vulnerability to presenteeism. Yet there is a dearth of literature investigating presenteeism among older workers with CHD. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to examine presenteeism among older workers with CHD. Specifically, this paper will explore the literature in which presenteeism has been measured in older workers with CHD and discuss implications for future research based upon the gaps in the current literature.

METHODS

This systematic review was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework [23]. Briefly, PRISMA provides guidance in the development and reporting of systematic literature reviews through use of systematic and explicit methods to identify, select and critically appraise the relevant literature. The electronic databases that were utilized for this review include: Pubmed, CINAHL, Medline, and PsycInfo with limitations set as published in English from 1992 to 2012 and adults over age 45. The age limit of over age 45 was established to capture studies that focused on older working populations. The twenty-year time period was chosen because of the changing nature of occupational health research and the relatively new conceptualization of presenteeism [24]. Key words included: “presenteeism”, “productivity loss”, “lost productivity”, “work limitations”, and “heart”, “cardiovascular disease” or “metabolic” in order to capture research on cardiometabolic disorders. Exclusion criteria for this review included: commentaries or editorials, and unpublished dissertations. Studies that examined productivity loss only as a calculation of absenteeism or disability from work, and not presenteeism were excluded. Studies that did not provide results or discuss findings related to heart disease were also excluded. Initially, a total of 50 studies were retrieved and screened for eligibility. Twenty-nine full-text studies were evaluated. During the data evaluation stage, 12 primary studies including 4 international studies, were retained based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Fig. 1). A summary of the results can be found in Table 1.
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Table 1. Summary of Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Relevant study Purpose Study Design</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Total Sample% CHD diagnosis</th>
<th>Related Key Findings</th>
<th>Strengths and Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rohrbacker, et al. [26]</td>
<td>To establish the burden of atrial fibrillation (AF) and other cardiac arrhythmias (CA) in an employed population</td>
<td>Cohort study Two cohorts 1) Cardiac Arrhythmia (CA) vs. non-CA 2) Atrial Fibrillation (AF) vs. non-AF Secondary analysis of multi-employer database (all 50 states)</td>
<td>Total Sample N=648,150 Women 27-41% Mean age 41 White 42-51% (race unknown 30-35%) Cohort 1:CA (n=4497) Women 39% Mean age 45 yrs Cohort 2: AF (n=1403) women 27% mean age 49 yrs</td>
<td>• AF &amp; CA cohorts were older &amp; had more comorbid conditions • Lower productivity (presenteeism) in AF (p=0.05) &amp; CA (p=0.01) cohorts • Presenteeism in AF &amp; CA may be linked to symptoms that affect hourly productivity but not disability or absenteeism.</td>
<td>Strengths: Objectively measured productivity using electronic measurement (units &amp; hours worked) Cardiac diagnoses derived from healthcare claims. Limitations: Presenteeism only measured in a subset of individuals (task oriented workers) Diagnosis of AF vs. CA may include overlap due to coding of claims.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonseca, et al. * [31]</td>
<td>To document the relationship between physical activity, absenteeism, presenteeism &amp; healthcare utilization among Brazilian employees.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study of single employer and work sector (Automotive Industry workers in Brazil)</td>
<td>Total Sample N=620 Mean age 38.5 White 79% 15.5% with heart disease (including heart failure, myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, high blood pressure)</td>
<td>• 4.4% of sample exhibited high presenteeism score (low work performance) • Presenteeism had an indirect relationship with physical activity during work • Hourly workers had higher work performance (less presenteeism) than other employees. • No significant relationship between CHD and presenteeism</td>
<td>Strengths: Covariates (age, gender, work shift, job, morbidity, etc.) included in analysis. Job categories reported and stratified into hourly, salaried, and executive. Limitations: Sample was predominately young, hourly workers with high levels of occupational physical activity. Self-report CHD in younger workers may be under-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munir, et al. * [39]</td>
<td>To examine psychosocial factors associated with psychological and health-related distress among employees with different chronic illnesses.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study of 4 organizations across 3 work sectors: local government, transport and manufacturing.</td>
<td>Total Sample N=1029 47.9% male Mean age 45.27 9% with heart disease 72% male Mean age 51.4 yrs</td>
<td>• Workers with CHD were significantly older; and reported fewer work limitations and fewer spells of presenteeism • CHD predicted (poor) psychological well-being &amp; health distress • Psychological well-being was associated with presenteeism • Health-related distress was associated with disclosure of illness to employer</td>
<td>Strengths: Covariates in analysis included length of employment, occupational group. Included work-related factors like workplace support in analyses and individual-level factors (e.g., distress) Limitations: Low response rate (26%) CHD diagnoses were self-reported with primary condition affecting work, and symptoms (e.g. anxiety, depression) categorized separately from CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Relevant study Purpose Study Design</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Total Sample% CHD diagnosis</td>
<td>Related Key Findings</td>
<td>Strengths and Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munir, et al.* [35]</td>
<td>To measure work limitations and work adjustments among chronically ill employees with regard to 3 job characteristics: physical work demands, cognitive work demands and social work demands</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study of single organization (UK University)</td>
<td>Total Sample: N=610&lt;br&gt;Women 64.7%&lt;br&gt;Mean age 42.4&lt;br&gt;44.6% with heart disease</td>
<td>• 39.7% reported work limitations&lt;br&gt;• CHD was not a significant predictor of work limitations or work adjustments&lt;br&gt;• Number of chronic conditions reported was associated with physical and cognitive work limitations.&lt;br&gt;• General symptoms (e.g., fatigue) resulted in most limitations.&lt;br&gt;• Depression had largest impact on work limitations (physical, cognitive and social)</td>
<td>Strengths: Subgroup (by condition) analysis reported.&lt;br&gt;Employment/job data reported including length of employment and organizational groups (e.g., academic, clerical, research, technical, etc.)&lt;br&gt;Instrument psychometrics reported.&lt;br&gt;Limitations: CHD diagnoses were self-reported with primary condition affecting work used in analysis of predictors.&lt;br&gt;Symptoms (e.g. depression, fatigue) that co-occur with CHD may underestimate work limitations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins, et al. [29]</td>
<td>To determine the prevalence and estimate costs for chronic health conditions in US workforce</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study of single employer; 2 plants in US</td>
<td>Total Sample: N =7797&lt;br&gt;Mean age 43.2 years&lt;br&gt;Female: 72%&lt;br&gt;7.1% reported heart disease as primary condition&lt;br&gt;11.9% reported heart disease as one of health conditions</td>
<td>• CHD was associated with 19.9% decrement in work impairment (NS)&lt;br&gt;• Presenteeism was largest component of cost for CHD&lt;br&gt;• Degree of work impairment increased with number of conditions reported but decreased with age.&lt;br&gt;• Depression, anxiety and emotional disorders were associated with greatest work impairment</td>
<td>Strengths: Sample included union jobs and categorized job types: clerical, skilled, managers, technicians, semiskilled, service, sales, laborers/unskilled.&lt;br&gt;Self-report of primary health conditions categorized into ICD-9 codes and linked to medical and pharmacy claims; and biometric screening (blood pressure, cholesterol profiles, etc)&lt;br&gt;Limitations: Individual health condition analysis not reported; condition of CHD was included as a predictor of presenteeism.&lt;br&gt;Subgroup analysis lacking.&lt;br&gt;Socio-demographics not reported by condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Relevant study Purpose Study Design</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Total Sample% CHD diagnosis</th>
<th>Related Key Findings</th>
<th>Strengths and Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Terry & Xi [27] | To assess validity of 3 scoring techniques for presenteeism. | Cross-sectional study of 2 “white collar” employee groups in northern Midwest US: healthcare & airline industries | Total Sample: N= 631 Female 87% White 90% Mean age 45 23% with heart disease | • Age (older) associated with better absolute presenteeism and relative presenteeism  
• Of those with HTN; 7.4% were “underperforming”/diminished presenteeism; 68.7% were overperforming compared to total.  
• Poor health status was associated with diminished presenteeism.  
• Job satisfaction associated with better absolute presenteeism | Strengths: Three scoring methods: absolute, relative, stratified presenteeism with bivariate and regression analysis.  
Limitations: Cohort of healthcare workers may have over-inflated health (noted by authors);  
Subgroup analysis of CHD not performed  
CHD diagnosis derived from self-report HRA biometric screening of blood pressure and cholesterol; subject to bias. |
| Goetzel, et al. [42] | To compare the different estimates of absence and presenteeism costs imposed by certain disease conditions. | Cross-sectional study; Secondary analysis of integrated health and productivity management administrative claims database combined with 5 published productivity studies. | Total sample: N= not provided.  
% with heart disease ranged by database: 2-16.9% | • Individuals with CHD or HTN reported 9.8-13.5% productivity decrements due to presenteeism  
• CHD costs associated with presenteeism $247-252; only arthritis had greater costs  
• CHD 19% of total costs attributed to presenteeism  
• HTN 63% of total costs attributed to presenteeism. | Strengths: Well-established HPM database with tested computations.  
CHD diagnosis derived as a combination of claims and self-report conditions  
Limitations: Presenteeism measurement varied and data not available on all data sets. |
| Kivi-maki, et al.* [25] | To examine the association between sickness presenteeism and incidence of serious coronary event | Prospective cohort study; (Whitehall II Study) of British Civil Servants (all male) | Total Sample: N= 5071 Age (baseline) 43.9 3% with heart disease | • 17% of unhealthy employees took no absences in the 3 year period under study (calculated as presenteeism)  
• Incidence of serious coronary events was twice as high in those with presenteeism compared to those “unhealthy” who had absent periods reflecting adverse effects of working while ill. | Strengths: Prospective study and analysis of well-described Whitehall Study II leveraging large data set.  
CHD diagnosis was self-report with confirmation by clinical records  
Limitations: Male (100%) sample with few serious coronary events so larger study is needed.  
Presenteeism calculation may be underestimation. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Relevant study Purpose Study Design</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Total Sample% CHD diagnosis</th>
<th>Related Key Findings</th>
<th>Strengths and Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lamb et al. [40]</td>
<td>To evaluate the cost of lost productivity in the workplace due to allergic rhinitis compared to other selected medical conditions.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study of large US companies spanning workplace sectors of energy, production, technology, healthcare and government.</td>
<td>Total Sample: N = 8267 women 53% Mean age 41 15.6% with heart disease</td>
<td>• Presenteeism cost in HTN is $788/person and CHD $206/person  • Significant association of stress and depression (common comorbid conditions of CHD) with presenteeism</td>
<td>Strengths: WPSI psychometrics described.  Multi-US employer study; included caregiver costs although none reported for CHD. Limitations: Sample recruited from health fair so potential for subject bias. CHD diagnosis self-reported and classified according to the WPSI. Productivity hourly estimation ($34.25/hr) used based upon prior allergic rhinitis findings; validation warranted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loeppke, et al. [32]</td>
<td>To assess the magnitude of health-related lost productivity relative to medical and pharmacy costs for 4 employers.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study across 4 companies described as 2 Industrial &amp; manufacturer, and 2 computer &amp; telecommunications</td>
<td>Total Sample: N = 15,380 CHD specific sample (n not provided) listed as one of the top 10 conditions reported.</td>
<td>• HTN and high cholesterol in top 10 drivers of lost productivity (includes presenteeism)  • CHD is top driver of health-related costs but not significant in productivity  • Fatigue and depression related productivity costs are 4x that of medical/pharmacy costs.</td>
<td>Strengths: Component of a large Health and Productivity Business Strategy study. CHD diagnosis reported as self-report, medical claims and pharmacy data Limitations: Sample demographics not provided; sub-group analysis not reported. Presenteeism not separated from absenteeism in final analysis of productivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerner, et al. [37]</td>
<td>To estimate the total prevalence of work limitations among working people along with health-specific conditions.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study of respondents to the National Survey of Functional Health Status with work limitation survey responses. Occupational groups categorized into manual and “other”</td>
<td>Total Sample: N = 940 Female 46% White 83% Age 40.7 years 21% with heart disease</td>
<td>• 26% with CHD reported physical work limitations, 27% psychosocial limitations; but NS  • Depression increased odds of limitations (4x)  • Number of chronic conditions associated with physical and psychosocial work limitations.</td>
<td>Strengths: Psychometrics of WLQ reported (α .75) Job-related factors including hours worked and job type included in analysis. Limitations: CHD diagnosis from self-report using checklist “similar to” medical outcomes survey; validation not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To investigate the prevalence in metabolic syndrome in an employed population and its association with work limitations (presenteeism) in a large multi-site financial services corporation, Burton et al. [28] conducted a cross-sectional study using a self-administered questionnaire. The study included 512 participants of whom 60% were female, 41% had abnormal cholesterol, 38% had high blood pressure, and 22.6% had metabolic syndrome. The results showed that 36-37% of participants with heart disease reported work limitations, and there was no significant association between metabolic risk factors (e.g., high blood pressure, high cholesterol) and presenteeism. The study strengths included examining cardiometabolic syndrome derived from biometric screening and HRA data. Limitations included self-selection bias and lack of precision in worksite screening.

### RESULTS

This review evaluated 12 studies that included 2 cohort studies and 10 cross-sectional studies. Only 2 studies specifically targeted CHD [25, 26]; 6 included other diagnoses but provided results related to CHD, and 3 used health risk data including biometric data (cholesterol and blood pressure) [27-29] to define “heart disease”. The literature was fairly representative of various workplace sectors that included government, healthcare and social assistance, public safety, services, transportation and manufacturing [30].

Within those sectors, common job categories reported were administrative, clerical, technical/skilled or semi-skilled. There were no studies that reported workers in mining or agricultural. Three studies reported findings from single employers [28, 29, 31].

There were 3 key findings of this literature review: 1) definitions and measurement of presenteeism and CHD diagnosis were inconsistent, 2) the primary focus was on quantifying the economic impact of presenteeism, and 3) job-level and individual-level factors that potentially influence presenteeism were not addressed.

Interestingly, in this focused literature, presenteeism was defined in several ways. Most provided a definition of presenteeism as “underperforming”. An alternative taxonomy of absolute presenteeism and relative presenteeism was presented that considers one’s performance within the context of others’ [27].

Presenteeism was measured using a variety of standardized instruments including the Stanford Presenteeism Survey (SPS), Work Limitations Questionnaire (WLQ), World Health Organization Health and Work Performance Questionnaire (HPQ), Work Productivity Index (WPI) and its short inventory version (WPSI) (Table 2). Despite the availability of the above psychometrically sound instruments [33] and even a cardiac specific tool - the Angina-Related Limitations at Work Questionnaire [34]; none used disease-specific instruments and several studies described measuring presenteeism using study-specific measures. [25, 26, 28, 35] Only Munir [35, 36] and Lerner [37] reported study specific instrument psychometrics. This is a notable limitation in this area of research. One study [35] that investigated work limitations and work adjustment among chronically ill employees (n=610) reported low Cronbach’s alpha in their use of a physical work limitations scale (α =.51). Results showed that physical work limitations increased as the number of chronic illnesses reported (including CHD) increased. Interestingly, cognitive work limitations also increased but reliability was adequate (α =.76). [35] Unfortunately, the authors do not provide any substantial information about their instrument. The low Cronbach’s alpha may indicate the scale had too few items or lack of interrelatedness among the items to be reliable [38]. Or, it may be that the physical work limitations scale had not been sufficiently tested in the study’s population of predominately sedentary workers.
Alternatively, Rohrbacker et al. [26] used an objective measurement of presenteeism, defined as decreased productivity, to describe the burden of atrial fibrillation and other heart arrhythmias in an employed population. In a two cohort design, productivity was objectively measured in a subset of employees by electronic measurement of units of work performed per day and hours worked per day. These data were collected for each employee on a daily basis for a year following the index date of diagnosis. Annual productivity output levels were significantly lower (p=.0067) in the arrhythmia cohort groups and hourly productively differences trended towards significance level (p=.056), when other covariates were controlled. These objectively-measured data provide compelling evidence that symptoms commonly associated with heart arrhythmias such as fatigue may contribute to lower productivity, that is, presenteeism.

Similarly, methods used to assess CHD or identify persons as having CHD were varied. Medical claims, pharmacy data and/or disability data were often used to identify workers’ with a chronic illness for study, while some studies relied on self-report or health risk appraisal data. For example, to determine the prevalence and estimated cost, including presenteeism of chronic illness for a specific employer workgroup, Collins, et al. [29] used ICD-9 codes from medical and pharmacy data along with self-reported health conditions to categorize individuals into a primary “chronic illness” group. Among the 7797 individuals responding to that study, 7.1% reported a primary health condition of CHD; while 12% reported having CHD regardless of whether it was “identified” as the primary condition. Although results did not rank CHD as a top contributor to presenteeism; the results reported a 19.9% decrement in work performance, i.e., presenteeism among those with CHD. Further, as the number of conditions increased that included CHD, the degree of limitations also increased. Conversely, Burton, et al. [28] used self-reported HRA data and biometric screening results to examine the prevalence and impact of metabolic syndrome including hypertension and high cholesterol in a large financial services employer group (n=5512). They found no association of metabolic syndrome or cardiometabolic risk with presenteeism, which was measured by a short version of the WLQ. In addition to a lack of psychometrics for the measurements, limitations of that study include non-fasting biometric screening results, criteria for high blood pressure that did not consider pre-hypertension and self-reported health risks that may be subjectively biased. Therefore, cardiometabolic risk in that study may be underestimated and presenteeism may be underreported in the employer-sponsored study.

Only two studies specifically focused on CHD diagnoses. [25, 26] Other studies categorized CHD through self-report [31, 35, 37, 39, 40], biometric screening results [27, 28] or claims data [29, 32, 41] and ranked importance of CHD compared to other conditions. Symptoms commonly associated with CHD (e.g., fatigue, depression, stress) were frequently clustered separately into either a mental health condition or general category. These symptoms were most often reported as significant correlates of presenteeism. Since few studies considered the overlapping nature of many symptoms and conditions, the relationship of CHD and presenteeism may be underestimated.

Clearly the focus of the extant literature is on quantifying the economic impact of presenteeism among worker populations or single employer groups. Primary aims focused on the impact of presenteeism as an economic outcome or assigned a “cost” to a similar concept (e.g., work limitation, loss productivity). For example, Loeppke et al., [32] discussed presenteeism as an important component of a company’s business strategy to address health and productivity costs. Using the HPQ to assess self-reported presenteeism for health conditions across four companies, they matched...
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with workers who reported depression and anxiety, the cohort with CHD reported better psychological well-being, less health distress and less presenteeism but higher levels of illness disclosure (NS). In a second related study, Munir and colleagues [35] examined predictors of work adjustments and found disclosure of condition to employer was significant. This work suggests that the work environment when supportive can buffer psychological distress in workers with chronic illness. Workers with CHD may be more likely to disclose their illness whereas other conditions like depression may carry a stigma.

Job satisfaction was the most frequently measured job-level factor in this literature and a significant predictor of presenteeism. In a study of 631 airline and healthcare system employees [27], job satisfaction and self-reported job performance were both significantly associated with presenteeism. That is, high job satisfaction and heightened job performance were associated with highly productive employees but analyses by age, job category and chronic illness were not explored. The authors cautioned that in their sample, the healthcare worker cohort may have underreported chronic illness and productivity, which they attributed to an “inflated self-image” [27]. This acknowledged limitation in their work highlights the overarching weakness in this body of literature. That is, much of the data are self-reported without corroboration; and additional subgroup analysis that might investigate potential mediation or moderation relationships (e.g., age, health condition, etc.) is lacking.

Although sociodemographic results were typically reported in describing the samples, other individual-level factors like work attitudes and personality were not measured. Subjective perceived health status was, however, reported as significantly associated with presenteeism in several studies. [27, 28] For example, Terry et al. [27] reported that higher perceived health status was correlated to absolute presenteeism (high performance). Interestingly, among individuals with high blood pressure, 68.7% reported high performance compared to 55% of other groups (p<.01). This finding supports the hypothesized relationship of subjective ratings of health and presenteeism. Perceived health status may reflect an individual’s tendency to adopt a sick role and influence whether someone will attend work even when feeling ill [13].

DISCUSSION

The results of this literature review reveal several gaps in the current literature about presenteeism and older workers with CHD. Importantly, the literature has not adequately investigated the older worker population which according to the US Bureau of Labor Statistics is age 50 years or older. This is an important gap in the literature because by 2015, the number of workers age 55 years and older will reach 31.2 million; a 72% increase from 2000 [1]. As part of the aging process, older adults are also likely to experience multiple comorbid conditions. Therefore, the finding that the number of conditions is predictive of presenteeism [29, 35] highlights the need for future research to examine the work patterns of the older worker cohort.

Understanding presenteeism in older workers with CHD will also entail examining individual level factors like work

medical and pharmacy claims to the self-reported health conditions to identify top drivers of health and productivity costs that included presenteeism. Coronary heart disease (CHD) and heart failure along with other cardiovascular conditions (hypertension and high cholesterol) were among the top 10 drivers of medical and pharmacy costs with estimated costs greater than $120,000/1000 employees. However, only hypertension and high cholesterol were noted as significant productivity costs ($50,000 to 100,000/1000 employees). Notably, depression, fatigue, and sleeping problems, which are common comorbid conditions among individuals with CHD were ranked second, third and fifth respectively. This highlights the potential underestimation of individuals with CHD were ranked second, third and fifth respectively. This highlights the potential underestimation of

significant associations between workplace support and presenteeism. Munir et al. [39] examined the association of workplace support, work limitations and “spells of presenteeism” among workers categorized into six health conditions (n=1029) including 96 workers with CHD (mean age 51.4 years). There were no significant associations between workplace support and presenteeism in any of the chronic condition groups. Compared

A third and related key finding was that few studies considered how job-level factors or individual-level factors influence presenteeism. Studies described job-level factors such as job stress [28], workplace support [39], work adjustments [35], job satisfaction [27], and shift work [31] in order to describe the sample but few analyzed the relationships between these factors and presenteeism. Munir et al. [39] examined the association of workplace support, work limitations and “spells of presenteeism” among workers categorized into six health conditions (n=1029) including 96 workers with CHD (mean age 51.4 years). There were no significant associations between workplace support and presenteeism in any of the chronic condition groups. Compared

subjective perceived health status was, however, reported as significantly associated with presenteeism in several studies. [27, 28] For example, Terry et al. [27] reported that higher perceived health status was correlated to absolute presenteeism (high performance). Interestingly, among individuals with high blood pressure, 68.7% reported high performance compared to 55% of other groups (p<.01). This finding supports the hypothesized relationship of subjective ratings of health and presenteeism. Perceived health status may reflect an individual’s tendency to adopt a sick role and influence whether someone will attend work even when feeling ill [13].

Subjective perceived health status was, however, reported as significantly associated with presenteeism in several studies. [27, 28] For example, Terry et al. [27] reported that higher perceived health status was correlated to absolute presenteeism (high performance). Interestingly, among individuals with high blood pressure, 68.7% reported high performance compared to 55% of other groups (p<.01). This finding supports the hypothesized relationship of subjective ratings of health and presenteeism. Perceived health status may reflect an individual’s tendency to adopt a sick role and influence whether someone will attend work even when feeling ill [13].

DISCUSSION

The results of this literature review reveal several gaps in the current literature about presenteeism and older workers with CHD. Importantly, the literature has not adequately investigated the older worker population which according to the US Bureau of Labor Statistics is age 50 years or older. This is an important gap in the literature because by 2015, the number of workers age 55 years and older will reach 31.2 million; a 72% increase from 2000 [1]. As part of the aging process, older adults are also likely to experience multiple comorbid conditions. Therefore, the finding that the number of conditions is predictive of presenteeism [29, 35] highlights the need for future research to examine the work patterns of the older worker cohort.

Understanding presenteeism in older workers with CHD will also entail examining individual level factors like work
attitudes, personality and sick role. According to Society of Health and Human Resources [19] older workers have greater organizational commitment and loyalty; attributes that employers value. However, for older workers with CHD, these characteristics may negatively impact health. For example, working while ill may produce a cumulative psychological burden consistent with the pathophysiology, i.e., allostatic load hypothesis of CHD [43]. In addition, acute stressors may act on preexisting vascular disease precipitating an acute event [44].

Further, none of the studies in this review used a theoretical framework or conceptual model. In fact, presenteeism research has been called “markedly atheoretical” [13]. John’s dynamic model of presenteeism and absenteeism illustrates the multi-contextual process by which productivity is interrupted by a health event [13]. According to this model, the degree of presenteeism and/or absenteeism is influenced by organizational and job-level factors including job demands, job security, workplace support and individual attributes like attitudes. Cumulative consequences of presenteeism or absenteeism are defined as productivity, attendance, and tenure (i.e., length of employment). Another proposition is that presenteeism and absenteeism in turn influence the health event. This is particularly important in older workers with CHD who may experience deteriorating health if symptoms are ignored or exposure to stress is unalleviated. An alternative conceptual model that could be adapted for use to support research in the older worker population is the holistic model of stress [45], since presenteeism is shown as an outcome of that model. Research study designs guided by a conceptual framework, notably absent in this literature review, may help delineate and explain hypothesized relationships among variables and outcomes; and ensure all of the appropriate factors are included.

Finally, in most cases, studies reviewed handled CHD as a discrete diagnosis and differentiated symptoms of fatigue, depression and sleepiness. Therefore, results may underestimate how clusters of symptoms commonly associated with CHD influence presenteeism. This is an important gap in the current literature because there is clear evidence that some symptoms like depression, found in 10-20% of patients with CHD [46], may be particularly stigmatizing in employed populations. According to Munir [35], disclosure is necessary for work adjustments that can facilitate work productivity and ameliorate presenteeism. Furthermore, CHD symptoms may be episodic, or occur in clusters. Examining how CHD and related symptoms influence presenteeism or work limitations over a period of time may help address the gap in the literature.

LIMITATIONS

It is important to note several limitations of this review. First, not all studies reported sample demographics or job categories for subsets of data. Therefore, differences in presenteeism among workers with CHD by demographics including age, gender and marital status were not able to be determined. Additional exploration of these relationships among workers in physically demanding jobs is also indicated. Similarly, few studies reported race and those that did were predominately Caucasian. Since ethnic minority populations with CHD experience poorer outcomes than Caucasians, it would be interesting to see if presenteeism issues differ in ethnic minority workers with CHD.

CONCLUSIONS

Changing workforce demographics that include a growing proportion of older workers and an increasing trend towards postponed retirement require that researchers expand their methods to investigate presenteeism among older workers with CHD. For example, longitudinal research that examines the multi-level dimensions of the work environment including organizational policies, job-level factors and individual-level variables are indicated. In addition, in order to understand presenteeism in older workers with CHD, researchers may need to move outside the focused single workforce or similar employer groups that limit generalizability of findings. In addition, use of the occupational and psychosocial epidemiology framework [47] or a similar conceptual model [13, 45] has potential to guide research that examines the multi-dimensional factors including psychosocial work exposures that likely contribute to presenteeism among older workers with CHD.
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