Applicability of Micro Inoculation Culture (MIC) for Rapid Monitoring of Total Coliform Contaminants in the Food Industry
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Abstract: Unlike medical samples from clinics, samples associated with food products and the environment they come into contact with during their processing are characterized by low initial cell counts and large sample volumes. This calls for different strategies of handling, especially in low-resource settings and in less advanced food industrial laboratories. This paper compares three popular industrial methodologies; MPN method, Petrifilm™ by 3M, and the standard pour plate technique, relative to a modified surface spread technique using 96-well microtiter plates (MIC). The colony enumeration results obtained from each technique showed good agreement. The miniaturized rapid protocol efficiently managed a large number of samples using multichannel autopipettes and a high-throughput design utilizing 96-well microtiter plates. Useful colony counts were obtained within 12-16 h. The analytical efficacy of the miniaturized protocol surpassed those of the three conventional methods. The colony counts from ready-to-eat product samples showed comparable results to the pour plate technique, displaying good agreement with the universally-accepted standard. The feedback by QC staff from a local Thai food factory revealed good overall acceptance of the MIC method with respect to usability, protocol design and method efficiency. The proposed miniaturized technique gave highly consistent results of colony count numbers and good colony separation. This colony enumeration consistency suggests that the miniaturized rapid protocol can economically replace the slower more complex standard protocols as an in-house protocol for food processing environment swabs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sanitary assessment of water, workers, and processing environment, is of vital importance to the food industry. It could help prevent food products from pathogen contamination. The rapid and accurate detection of bacterial indicators for sanitation, Escherichia coli (E. coli) total coliforms (TC), is needed to provide emergency feedback for monitoring the processing line. There are several options available for the qualitative enumeration of E. coli and total coliform in foods. The most probable number (MPN) technique has been widely accepted and usually employed for routine investigation [1 - 4]. The MPN technique, however, has some obvious drawbacks. It is labor-intensive, expensive, and time-consuming [4, 5]. To obtain the final colony counts, this technique requires up to 10 days. Industry commonly replaces the conventional MPN with commercial available media and protocols, for example Chromocult® Coliform agar (CCA), Fluorocult® LMX broth, and Petrifilm™E. coli count plates. Industry is constantly seeking more efficient methods with shorter detection times and more prompt and useful microbial information to monitor the routine
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There have been attempts to develop rapid alternatives and shorten the detection time for *E. coli* in food and environmental samples [6-9]. Most rapid techniques based on enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and DNA hybridization are fast and sensitive, but are not yet practical for routine screening of large numbers of industrial samples. Pre-enrichment is often required to obtain high enough cell concentrations (more than 4 log CFU/ml) for accurate detection [10]. Although the identification time is reduced, long incubation time made them as unattractive as the conventional methods. Alternatively, miniaturization of microbiological assessment through reduction of media and culture volumes has shown potential to decrease the detection time and provided better efficacy of microbial enumeration [11].

Several authors have demonstrated that miniaturization methods facilitate detection and enumeration of target bacteria without altering sensitivity or specificity [12-14]. A rapid method utilizing 24-well microplates successfully detected *Listeria* spp. in food samples [15]. This technique was shown to be superior to the conventional streak plate or spread plate techniques when handling large volume industrial samples. Previous studies using multiple microwell techniques (both 24- and 96-well formats) for viable cell counts of various bacteria have proven practical for routine inspection of industrial food and environmental samples, because large numbers of samples can be handled effectively saving both money and analytical time [3, 16, 17]. In this paper, several common protocols to estimate coliforms and *E. coli* were evaluated. A novel miniaturization method was also proposed to reduce the cultivation volume of CCA and decrease the detection time on both pure culture and industrial samples.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Preparation of Test Microorganism

*Escherichia coli* DMST 4609 was obtained from the Thailand Institute of Scientific and Technological Research, Bangkok, Thailand. One loopful of the stock culture was inoculated into tryptic soy broth (TSB, Difco Laboratories, Sparks, MD) at 37 °C for 24 h to reach the initial cell stock density of approximately 10^7 CFU/ml. To validate the proposed miniaturized method against the MPN, pour plate and Petrifilm™ techniques, the initial cell stock was diluted to 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, 10^5, and 10^6 CFU/ml.

2.2. Detection of *E. coli* and TC From Commercial Foods and Its Production Line Facilities

2.2.1. Most Probable Number Method

In the MPN method [18], 1 ml of a sample suspension at a proper dilution was pipetted into triple tubes containing lactose broth (Oxoid CM451) and these tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Tubes with gas formation were gently agitated and a loopful of each suspension then transferred to tubes of EC medium (DIFCO 0314-01-0) that were subsequently incubated at 44.5 °C for 48 h to confirm test for *E. coli*. And then a loopful of suspension from each gassing EC medium was streaked onto eosin methylene blue agar (EMB) (Oxoid CM63) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h to complete test for *E. coli*. Their numbers per 1 ml of sample were calculated from the MPN table.

2.2.2. Pour Plate Method

The pour plate cell count was performed using CCA. The sample suspension at 1 ml was pipetted onto an empty Petri dish and homogeneously mixed with melting CCA. The inoculated plate was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Each dilution was tested using duplicates of CCA plates. Coliform colonies appear pink red and *E. coli* colonies purple.

2.2.3. Petrifilm™ *E. coli/Coliform Method*

Again, 1 ml of the same sample suspension was inoculated onto the surface of a Petrifilm™ *E. coli/coliform count* (EC) plate. The cover film was reinstalled slowly on the plate which was then incubated at 35 °C for 24 h according to the manufacturer’s manual [19]. Red colonies surrounded by trapped gas were coliforms and purple colonies with trapped gas were *E. coli*. Duplicate trials were performed per dilution.

2.2.4. Miniaturized Technique

Micro inoculation culture (MIC) plates were fabricated using CCA in a 96-well microtiter plate format (Nunc, Rochester, NY, USA). Each microwell contained up to 0.5 ml of CCA and the inoculation volume was fixed at 10 μl
applied onto solidified CCA. The miniaturized microwells were incubated at 37 ºC and it took normally 12–15 h to
detect bacterial colonies. Total coliforms and *E. coli* from all methods were reconfirmed by reisolation on EMB agar
and IMViC testing [20]. Real samples from a local food exporter, including green papaya salad, fried rice, and cake
topping as well as environmental swab samples from its production lines, were collected to evaluate coliforms and *E.
coli* enumeration by each technique described above.

### 2.2.5. Preference Testing

Preference surveys evaluated the panelist’s likes and dislikes of the proposed techniques. All ten staff members
from the quality control department who had experienced using the conventional agar culture protocol were participated
in the survey. The questionnaires were formulated to determine the intrinsic characteristics of employee satisfaction
using a nine-point hedonic scale from 1 to 9. The score meaning can be interpreted as follows: 9 = like extremely, 8 =
like very much, 7 = like moderately, 6 = like slightly, 5 = neither like nor dislike, 4 = borderline of acceptability, 3 =
dislike moderately, 2 = dislike very much, and 1 dislike extremely [21, 22]. The scores were the average value from the
ten panelists and the standard deviations were included in the report to show the range of variability of responses.

### 2.2.6. Financial Comparison

The cost of material and operating time from the two techniques were compared. The volume of media (CCA) and
the amount of disposable materials (glass Petri dishes and 96 micro-deep wells) were monitored. Pavic *et al.* [3] and
Chenu *et al.* [16] recommended the method for calculating the operating cost with slight modification. The times to
analyze 36 samples by ISO 4833-1:2013 and the microscale technique were measured.

### 2.2.7. Statistical Analysis

TC counts obtained were transformed to log CFU/ml. All data were analyzed at *p*<0.05 for significant values by
ANOVA. Paired *t*-test was used to test the significant differences between the means of two sets of data and to assess
the agreement.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Comparison of MIC to Other Commercial and Standard *E. coli* Enumeration Techniques

The use of the MIC technique has several advantages over other commercial and standard protocols in terms of
compactness, short detection time and less medium usage (Table 1). The miniaturized microwells allowed multiple
dilutions of food and environment samples to adequately cover the normally encountered range of industrial
contamination. In industrial situations, there are often cases of under-diluted samples since most factories are cost-
conscious and CCA is rather costly for many environmental swab samples. The compactness of the 96-well microtiter
plate (5 mm width, 10 mm length and 1.5 mm thickness) accommodates 96 samples, equivalent to 96 Petri dishes using
the pour plate protocol. Also the 96-well format facilitates the use of commercial multi-channel auto pipettes, either 8-
or 12-channel, and diminishes the sample dilution and liquid transferring loads of lab workers. Our interviews with
QC&QA personnel carrying out the MIC protocol in a food factory setting have generally been positive since our first
MIC implementations. This is particularly true, if these factory microbiologists had been previously following mostly
ISO protocols using the pour plate technique as their regular routine.

**Table 1. Comparison of the key analytical characteristics for *E. coli* detection among different techniques.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MIC</th>
<th>MPN</th>
<th>pour plate</th>
<th>Petrifilm® EC plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dimension</strong></td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="MIC" /> 8 × 8 mm.</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="MPN" /> N.A.</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="pour plate" /> 90 × 90 mm.</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Petrifilm" /> 47 × 47 mm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Detection time</strong></td>
<td>12 - 18 h</td>
<td>3 – 4 days</td>
<td>2 – 3 days</td>
<td>1 – 2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium usage</strong></td>
<td>0.5 ml/well</td>
<td>9 ml/tube</td>
<td>25 ml/plate</td>
<td>5 ml/plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inoculum size</strong></td>
<td>0.01 ml</td>
<td>1 ml</td>
<td>1 ml</td>
<td>1 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The only obvious disadvantage of the MIC protocol was the smaller inoculum volume. The 50.28 mm² agar surface was able to accept optimally 10-20 μl of sample inoculums. Higher inoculum volume resulted in smearing on the agar surface or less well-defined colonies after incubation. The two orders of magnitude smaller sample volume compared to the other protocols results in a minimum detection limit of 2 log CFU/ml.

Considering the sample residue in transferring glass pipettes, the inherent analytical errors using the standard methods never permit the colony enumeration accuracy to single digit CFU counts. There is a counting guideline between 30 and 300 CFU per plate, which is never practical in industrial applications, since it is rare that under normal circumstances lab personnel have any real idea of the degree of contamination a priori. Hence, there is always some inherent error of at least 1 log CFU/ml for all other routine methods included in this study. To validate the use of MIC compared to the standard techniques, pure culture was first used to prepare the standards of known cell densities. Many authors have performed the same validation procedure in search of a rapid, easy and accurate way to assess industrial viable cell counts and replace the current microbial analytical routines shown in Fig. (1).

To validate the MIC method against other standard protocols, an evaluation of different methods was conducted to contrast the performance of the pour plate technique to MPN, Petrifilm™ EC plate and MIC techniques. Other investigators have done similar evaluations to test newly-developed methodology [16, 23]. In Fig. (1), all regression lines showed slopes close to 1. The correlation coefficient between any of these methods ranging from 0.985 to 0.9902 suggested good agreement between the data and linear approximation from 0 to 6 log CFU/ml. Multiple analysis of variance showed that there were no differences between the methods at the 5% confidence level. However, the log CFU readings from the MIC technique were less reliable due to its higher lower bound limitation (2 log CFU/ml). At this low cell concentration, other techniques allowing more sample volume were more accurate. Only MPN returned slightly lower cell counts on average. Essentially all techniques resulted in practically the same readings providing the sample inoculums were prepared with proper dilution for colony evaluation. The short detection time, user-friendliness, and high throughput nature by MIC technique made this protocol practical for cell enumeration in food industry, especially with resource-poor settings. Our previous studies also demonstrated the versatility and practicality of the similar MIC format in enumerating other types of bacterial colonies (i.e., Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella spp.) [24, 25]. Therefore, the miniaturized colony counting technique is certainly an economical and adequate replacement for the standard plating technique for industrial colony enumeration of E. coli.

### 3.2. Application of Cell Enumeration For Food Samples and Environmental Swabs

A statistical comparison of colony counts generated by the MIC and ISO-approved pour plate techniques was performed to compare the techniques in an industrial setting. The colony counts of the two E. coli/coliiform enumeration strategies were the same as would be utilized by QC staffs and were applied to real samples, including samples of some ready-to-eat products (Table 2) and swabs of food preparation environments from production lines (Table 3) previously rejected using Petrifilm™ kits. The use of the pour plate technique was to confirm the results with the Petrifilm™ and validate the use of the MIC techniques to that ISO standard protocol. The resulting number of colony forming units (CFU) generated in each sample by the two methods were compared using t-tests. There were no statistical differences between the MIC and the pour plate technique. For most samples, the cell counts of MIC and pour plate results agreed. All colonies found were pink in color suggesting all contaminated samples were mostly contaminated by coliforms with a few possibilities of atypical E. coli strains [26].

#### Table 2. Cell count results by MIC and Pour plate techniques applying to 6 samples of actual frozen food products rejected by Petrifilm™ kits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Coliforms (log CFU/ml) MIC</th>
<th>Pour plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papaya green salad</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.27±0.02</td>
<td>3.12±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.55±0.02</td>
<td>2.18±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.55±0.04</td>
<td>3.15±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.47±0.07</td>
<td>3.35±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.80±0.01</td>
<td>2.57±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.69±0.04</td>
<td>3.52±0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The proposed MIC technique facilitates routine measurement of processing and equipment cleanliness and accommodates frequent and large numbers of swab samples. The samples shown in Table 3 were a small fraction of the total number of samples actually tested. Most of the swab results were “not-detected” (ND) samples and only few samples were contaminated by coliforms. Generally the MIC technique produced higher CFU counts than did the pour plate technique Tables 2 and 3. A practical cleanliness evaluation of a processing environment such as provided by the MIC technique, calls for fast and accurate estimation of E. coli/coliform numbers. The pour plate counterpart is slower and less efficient in evaluating production facility hygiene and often increased evaluation time can cause serious monetary loss to food manufacturers. In theory, the frequency of production line swabs must be adequate to ensure good hygienic practice. However, at the present most food factories avoid more frequent swab sampling merely because it increases the production cost.

To decrease the cost associated with increased sampling frequency the cultivation time of E. coli/coliform was minimized to streamline the protocol and, hence, reduce the analytical cost. Also the feedback from industrial lab personnel trained to use the MIC was collected following their first experience using this technique. Table 4 provides an overview of how QC personnel from a local food factory perceived the MIC method compared with the pour plate technique. From all of the questions we asked, easy cleaning, less labor-intensity and rapid time to detection were among the highlighted perceived benefits of the MIC. It should be emphasized that the rapid detection significantly reduced the overall assay time compared to the conventional method. The high throughput provided quick screening of large industrial sample volumes and facilitated industrial batch release of product yielding negative contamination samples.
Table 4. Assessment of analytical methods between MIC and standard pour plate technique on hedonic scale from 1 to 9 points (dislike extremely to like extremely).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors influencing method adoption</th>
<th>Average score (total of 9 points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- comfortable usage</td>
<td>8.8±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- simple analytical method</td>
<td>7.9±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- easy cleaning</td>
<td>8.7±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- less labor-intensity</td>
<td>8.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- high throughput</td>
<td>8.8±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- time to detection</td>
<td>8.7±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- effective use of utility</td>
<td>8.0±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- total acceptance</td>
<td>8.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average score</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.5±0.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The average scores with standard deviation were calculated from the 10 QC staff members at Buono factory.

Although there is no significant statistical difference between the MIC technique and the standard pour plate technique in terms of detecting contamination, the authors feel there are several advantages to be gained from using the MIC technique. Monetary comparison of the consumption of all disposables, utilities and media by the MIC technique and pour plate method for E.coli/colliform detection in 36 food samples is presented in Table 5. The total cost of supplies including preparation, media, disposable material, and utility was US$6.12 for the MIC method and US$36 for the pour plate technique. Total saving for material cost was US$29.88/36 samples or 83%.

![Fig. (1) Scatter plots comparing different methods in counting colony to the ISO pour plate technique with 95% predictive (-) and confidence (-) interval using various concentrations of pure E. coli cultures at low (10^5 CFU/ml), mid (10^6 CFU/ml), and high (10^7 CFU/ml).](image-url)
Table 5. Estimated analytical cost (US$) for *E. coli*/coliform detection of 36 food samples comparing between the MIC technique and pour plate method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors influencing method adoption</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total analytical cost (e.g., preparation, media, disposable material, utility, etc.)</td>
<td>$6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>$29.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

An alternative protocol (*i.e.*, MIC technique) was proposed and validated with the common industrial practices (MPN, pour plate, and Petrifilm™ EC protocols) to detect and enumerate *E. coli*/coliform contamination. The MIC enabled rapid and accurate readings of *E. coli*/coliform colonies to those of conventional methods tested using the pure cultures as well as food and environmental samples commonly found in resource-poor industrial settings. This new less costly technique will enable a producer to sample product more frequently without increasing the quality control budget. Together with the integration of already existing technology for handling liquid samples, like multichannel autopipettes and 96-well microtiter plates, the use of MIC technique not only helps reduce the expense of analytical cost and expensive CCA, but also it can shorten incubation time to 12-15 h when comparing with the conventional method and enable the analysis of high volume microbial enumeration samples. This MIC technique was well received by lab operators and technicians from a local medium-size food factory, especially in comparison with their past experiences using the pour plate routines. Hence, the MIC technique is a more practical protocol to enumerate the *E. coli* and coliforms counts for finished food samples and production lines samples in industrial settings. Moreover this method can be applied for detecting other foodborne pathogens from clinical samples.
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