Cloning and Characterization of a Constitutive Lysophosphatidic Acid Acyltransferase 2 (LPAT2) Gene from Tropaeolum majus L
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Abstract: The cloning and characterization of a lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAT2; EC 2.3.1.51) from Tropaeolum majus from a 20,000 EST collection is described. The 1358 bp TmLPAT2 gene encodes a 42.6 kD polypeptide; the primary sequence has a membrane bound O-acyltransferase, MBOAT, Box I motif, and Boxes II, III and IV typical of LPATs from various species. Unlike many LPAT2s, the gene was constitutively expressed in all tissues. The TmLPAT2 functionality was confirmed by expression in a yeast LPAT deletion (SLC1) mutant. The TmLPAT2 could use a range of acyl-CoAs as acyl donor, including 22:1-CoA and 20:1-CoA and either 18:1-LPA or 22:1-LPA as acyl acceptor. This new LPAT2 could enable the production of Brassica seed oils with enhanced levels of very long-chain fatty acids.
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INTRODUCTION

Many groups worldwide have a vested interest in inserting genes into Brassica napus in an effort to produce the industrial feedstock trierucin. Erucic acid (cis-13 docosenoic acid, 22:1) is the major very long-chain fatty acid (VLCFA) in the seed oil from HEAR (high erucic acid rapeseed) B. napus cultivars, accounting for 45-55% of the total fatty acids [1]. HEAR cultivars are of high interest for industrial purposes because 22:1 is a valuable feedstock with more than 1000 potential or patented industrial applications [2, 3]. Currently the major derivative of erucic acid is erucamide, which is used as a surface-active additive in coatings and in the production of plastic films as an anti-block or slip promoting agent. Many other applications are foreseen for erucic acid and its hydrogenated derivative behenic acid, e.g. in lubricants, detergents, film processing agents and coatings, as well as in cosmetics and pharmaceuticals [4-7]. For many of these industrial uses, the economics are limited by the proportion of 22:1 in the seed oil, approximately 45%. To compete with petroleum-based products, it is desirable to increase the 22:1 proportion as high as possible in order to reduce the cost of purification [3]. In addition, the engineering of HEAR Brassicaceae to produce seed oils containing substantial trierucin would lend the intact oil to a wide range of new applications [2]. In general, stereospecific analyses have shown that among most members of the Brassicaceae, 22:1 is virtually excluded from the sn-2 position of TAGs [8]; thus erucic acid is essentially found only in the sn-3 and the sn-1 positions, limiting the overall proportions of 22:1 to a maximum of about 66 mol %. The best HEAR B. napus spring cultivars have only about 55% erucic acid in the seed oil, but again, the proportion in the sn-2 position is negligible [7].

In the traditional Kennedy pathway for seed oil (triacylglycerol, TAG) biosynthesis, lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAT; EC 2.3.1.51) is the major enzyme responsible for acylating the sn-2 position of the glycerol backbone and therefore largely determines the sn-2 acyl composition of TAGs [9, 10]. This presumably ER-based LPAT is typically referred to as an LPAT2 in most oilseed species, distinguishing it from the plastidial LPAT1 which has enzyme characteristics more like the prokaryotic LPAT found in E. coli (See overview by Kim et al., [11]).

Several studies have suggested that in B. napus the limitation with respect to erucic acid proportions at the sn-2 position is at least partially due to the inability of the LPAT2 to utilize erucoyl-CoA [12-15]. Various groups worldwide have attempted or advocated the transformation of rapeseed with an LPAT2 gene which has the desired capacity to utilize erucoyl-CoA during TAG biosynthesis [14-19]. LPAT2s from Limnanthes spp appear to be capable of inserting erucoyl moieties into the sn-2 position. While the overall proportions of erucic acid in the seed oil of Limnanthes is only about 16%, of this, about 60% is found in the sn-2 position [14-19]. Accordingly, LPAT2s from L. douglasii and L. alba have been cloned and used to enhance sn-2 erucic proportions in B napus [20-22] but with this single genetic modification, the enhancement of overall proportions of erucic acid and accumulation of significant trierucin have not resulted [23]. Indeed, the erucic acid was merely redistributed from the sn-1 and sn-3 positions to the sn-2 position, with no significant improvement in the mol % erucic acid in seed TAGs. This suggested, that in part, erucic acid supply was a limiting factor. Recently it has been shown that by combin-
ing alleles of *B. napus* related to low polyunsaturated oils with the transgenic co-expression of the *L. douglasii* LPAT2 and over-expression of the *BnFAE1* (encoding 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase, catalyzing the first condensation reaction in the elongase complex which synthesizes erucic acid), a *B. napus* line with oil containing just over 70% erucic acid was obtained, clearly a breakthrough [24].

The only species so far identified that naturally possesses erucic acid proportions of 75% or greater, mainly as trierucin, in its seed oil is garden nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus* L.). Nasturtium is not an oilseed per se, accumulating only about 10-20% oil, but of this, 80-85% is trierucin. Thus, we chose *T. majus* as a model system for studying trierucin bioassembly so that this capacity could be engineered in a *Brassica* oilseed. It was in this context that we undertook the task of creating an EST collection of *T. majus* sequences in an effort to isolate and define specific genes which can contribute to trierucin synthesis. These nasturtium genes may provide a means to engineer rapeseed and other *Brassica* oilseeds to produce ultra-high erucic acid (80% or greater) oils. In our analysis of our 20,000-EST collection, we found two overlapping contigs which, when re-assembled, gave us a clone encoding a putative *T. majus* LPAT2 (*TmLPAT2*). We describe herein, its isolation and characterization by expression in a yeast *LPAT* (*slcΔ*) deletion strain, and the implications for its utility in engineering new oilseed profiles.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

*Tropaeolum majus* seeds (cultivar Dwarf Cherry Rose) were obtained from Early’s Farm and Garden Centre, Saskatoon, SK, and were grown at the Kristjanson Biotechnology Complex greenhouses, Saskatoon, under natural light conditions supplemented with high-pressure sodium lamps with a 16 h photoperiod (16 h of light and 8 h of darkness) at 22°C and a relative humidity of 25 to 30%. Flowers were hand-pollinated and seeds at various stages of development were harvested, their seed coats were removed and embryos were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. The lipid composition of developing nasturtium embryos at various stages of development was determined. The following were the designated stages of embryo development in days post anthesis: Early: 8-12 d.p.a.; Early-mid: 13-20 d.p.a.; Mid: 22-27 d.p.a.; Mid-late: 27-30 d.p.a.; Mature: 35 d.p.a. Arabidopsis thaliana plants were grown and harvested as described previously [25].

**Analysis of Oil Accumulation in Developing *Tropaeolum majus* Embryos**

Freeze-dried *T. majus* embryos of early-, mid- and late-developmental stages, as well as mature seeds, were weighed and transferred to a cooled mortar and ground in 2 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:IPA (2:1). The mixture was transferred to a test tube to which was added the above solvent (1ml) and 0.9% NaCl (1ml) before vortexing; 2 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added, the mixture re-vortexed and centrifuged at 2500 r.p.m. for 3 min. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layer was removed, the extraction repeated and the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layers combined. CHCl<sub>3</sub>: Benzene: Methanol (1:1:1) (1ml) was added and then the sample evaporated to dryness. The dried sample was suspended in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1 ml) to give the total lipid extract (TLE). The developmental acyl composition of the TLE and the total oil content at each stage were determined by transesterification followed by GC using tri-15:0 as an internal standard and tri-17:0 as an external standard (to determine completeness of transmethylation) as described previously [25]. The *T. majus* TAG fraction was isolated by TLC and a stereospecific analysis performed as described by Taylor et al., [26].

**T. majus cDNA Library Construction and Normalization**

cDNA was synthesized from mRNA isolated from mid-developing nasturtium embryos using a cDNA synthesis kit (Stratagene). The cDNA was directionally cloned into the pBluescript SK II (+) vector (Stratagene) and transformed into DH10B electrocompetent cells. The primary library was amplified using semi-solid agar (SeaPrep agarose, Mandal). Normalization of the library was performed at C<sub>t</sub> 2.5 and C<sub>t</sub> 5 following the normalization method 4 of Bonaldo et al., [27]. Double-stranded phagemid DNA was converted to single stranded DNA using Gene II protein and Exonuclase III (Genetrapper cDNA Positive Selection System, Gibco BRL, cat. no. 10356-020). The single stranded DNA was purified from the double stranded DNA using HAP chromatography (type II Hydroxyapatite, BioRad, cat. no. 158-4200). 20,000 ESTs from this library were sequenced as described below.

**Sequencing and Analysis**

Sequencing was performed on an ABI3730xl DNA Analyzer using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequence analyses were performed using Lasergene software (DNAStar, Madison, WI, USA). Sequence similarity searches and other analyses were performed using BLASTN, BLASTX [28] and PSORT [29] programs. The *T. majus* LPAT2 clone was represented by 2 overlapping contig members among 20,000 ESTs isolated and analyzed from a normalized cDNA library prepared from mid-developing nasturtium embryos.

**Cloning of Full Length *T. majus* (*Tm*) and *A. thaliana* (*At*) LPAT2 cDNAs and Assembly of Yeast Transformation Constructs**

**TmLPAT2**

Primers were designed to amplify the *TnlLPAT2* gene with adaptor restriction sites (in italics): 5’ primer amplifying *TnlLPAT2* sequence with a Kpn I restriction site (GAGTTACCGAATGTCAGTCGAC); 3’ primer amplifying *TmLPAT2* sequence (+ stop codon) with an Xho I site (CCGCTCGAGTTTACTGATTTGTTGTC). cDNA was synthesized from total RNA extracted from *T. majus* mid-developing embryos using Superscript II (Invitrogen). The *TmLPAT2* gene was amplified from the cDNA using Turbo Pfu polymerase (Stratagene) on a RoboCycler thermocycler (Stratagene) using the following program: 95°C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 45 sec, 72°C for 2 min, an additional extension of 72°C for 10 min was included. The amplified PCR product was digested using restriction enzymes KpnI (NEB) and XhoI (NEB). The PCR-amplified *TmLPAT2* was directionally cloned into the
The RNA was transferred onto development stages) tissues. 20 g of each sample were total RNA was extracted using either Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) and following the alkaline transfer procedure (0.4 N NaOH). The Southern probe was amplified by PCR with Denhardt’s buffer at 65 °C (2X 15 min with 2X SSC/0.1 % SDS) before exposing to X-rays at -80 °C. DNA probe using a Random Primers labeling kit (Invitrogen). High stringency washings were performed at 60 °C, 2X 15 min with 40 mM NaPO4/1 mM Na EDTA/0.5 % SDS and 2X 15 min with 40 mM NaPO4/1 mM Na EDTA/0.1 % SDS before exposing to X-rays at -80 °C. transformation was confirmed as follows: DNA from putative positive clones was extracted using a quick plasmid extraction method. A single colony was picked into 200 µl lysis buffer (100mM NaCl, 1mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS). An equal volume of acid-washed beads (0.5mm diameter) was added along with 200 µl phenol/chloroform (2:1), the sample vortexed for 30 seconds, followed by slow rotation for 5 minutes to complete the extraction. The mixture was then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 13000 rpm. The aqueous phase was recovered and the DNA precipitated using 2 volumes of ethanol and 0.1 x volume of 3M sodium acetate. The sample was incubated at -80°C for 30 minutes then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 15 min. The DNA pellet was washed with 80% ethanol, re-centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min, the DNA pellet air dried and resuspended in 50 µl TE buffer. DNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer. Top10 Chemically Competent cells (Invitrogen) were transformed with the purified DNA as per a standard protocol. Colonies were screened by PCR and restriction digests.

Transformation of Y03749 Yeast LPAT Deletion Mutant Strain with TmLPAT2 or AtLPAT2

Yeast strain Y03749 (slcΔ MATα his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 YDL052w::KanMX4) was transformed with the pYES2 NT-B-AtLPAT2 or with pYES2 NT-B-TmLPAT2 or with empty pYES2 NT B vector using the small scale yeast transformation method from the pYES2 NT B manual. Clones were selected on plates containing (SC –Uracil + 2% Glucose).

Transformation was confirmed as follows: DNA from putative positive clones was extracted using a quick plasmid extraction method. A single colony was picked into 200 µl lysis buffer (100mM NaCl, 1mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS). An equal volume of acid-washed beads (0.5mm diameter) was added along with 200 µl phenol/chloroform (2:1), the sample vortexed for 30 seconds, followed by slow rotation for 5 minutes to complete the extraction. The mixture was then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 13000 rpm. The aqueous phase was recovered and the DNA precipitated using 2 volumes of ethanol and 0.1 x volume of 3M sodium acetate. The sample was incubated at -80°C for 30 minutes then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 15 min. The DNA pellet was washed with 80% ethanol, re-centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min, the DNA pellet air dried and resuspended in 50 µl TE buffer. DNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer. Top10 Chemically Competent cells (Invitrogen) were transformed with the purified DNA as per a standard protocol. Colonies were screened by PCR and restriction digests.

At LPAT2

Primers were designed to amplify the A. thal LPAT2 gene (Accession No. At3g57650) with adaptor restrictions sites (in italics): 5′ primer amplifying AtLPAT2 sequence with a Kpn1 restriction site (CCCGTACCAAGAGTTGATGC- TGCAAGCT); 3′ primer amplifying AtLPAT2 sequence (with stop codon) with an XhoI site (CTCGAG); AtLPAT2 recombinant protein was overexpressed and the protein was used immediately for the assay of LPAT activity.

In studies of TmLPAT2 expression by northern analysis, total RNA was extracted using either Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) for root, stem and leaf tissues, or using the method of Wang and Vodkin [31] for flower and embryonic (three developmental stages) tissues. 20 µg of each sample were loaded onto a 1.2 % denaturing formaldehyde agarose gel. The RNA was transferred onto a Nytran SuperCharge membrane in 10 X SSC using a Turbo Blotter (Whatman) and hybridized as above using a full length TmLPAT cDNA as a probe. High stringency washings were performed at 60 °C.
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LPCAT assays of the recombinant protein fraction from each transformant were conducted using $^{14}$C 18:1-CoA or $^{14}$C 22:1-CoA as the acyl donor and 18:1-LPC as the acyl acceptor according to the method described by Stahl et al. [38].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Oil and Erucic Acid Accumulation in Developing T. majus Embryos

We assumed that, like other Kennedy pathway enzymes (e.g. T. majus DGAT1 which we cloned and characterized previously [32]), the LPAT2 would also be at its highest expression and activity at the mid-point of the exponential phase of embryo growth. For nasturtium, the peak of oil deposition (about 16% oil as % of DW) and the maximal erucic acid proportion therein (about 78% wt/wt), occurred in the mid-late developmental stage, typically 20–26 days post-anthesis (Fig. 1). This was the embryo stage harvested and stored at -80°C for molecular and analytical work.

A stereospecific analysis of the T. majus TAG fraction revealed that the erucic acid was distributed about equally among the three sn-positions on the glycerol backbone (Table 1). This suggested a strong capacity to esterify erucic acid at the sn-2 position and implied that enzymes like LPAT or LPCAT may be involved.

Analysis of the TmLPAT2 Gene

Upon sequencing and analysis of our 20,000 T. majus ESTs, we found 2 overlapping contigs, which when assembled, gave a sequence which encoded a putative TmLPAT2 gene. The TmLPAT2 gene and protein sequences were deposited in GenBank (Accession No. FJ984563). The consensus TmLPAT2 nucleotide sequence of 1358 bp encodes a protein of 380 amino acids and has a predicted molecular mass of 42.585 kD, and a pI of 9.60. Based on a Kyte-Doolittle analysis using the Protean suite of DNAStar, there appear to be at least 8 transmembrane regions and a hydrophilicity pattern which is globally shared among the LPAT2s from B. napus, B. oleracea, A. thaliana, Crambe, Prunus and Limnanthes (data not shown).

Alignment and phylogenetic tree assessment of the TmLPAT2 and its most homologous relatives are shown in Fig. (2) and Fig. (3), respectively. Key motifs of the TmLPAT2 primary amino acid sequence as shown in Fig. (2) include: Box I-IV motifs are conserved among related LPAT2s in various plant species [11]. Box I is a conserved MBOAT motif (NHXXXXD): NHRSDID in position 91–97 [33],
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Fig. (1). (A) TAG accumulation in developing T. majus seed. (B) Fatty acid composition of developing T. majus seed. The following were the designated stages of embryo development in days post anthesis: Early: 8-15 d.p.a.; Early-mid: 16-20 d.p.a.; Mid: 22-27 d.p.a.; Mid-late: 27-30 d.p.a.; Mature: 38 d.p.a. Fatty acid composition and oil content were measured as described in the MATERIALS and METHODS and represent the average of three determinations ± SD.
Table 1. Stereospecific Distribution of Acyl Moieties on TAGs of *T. majus*. Stereospecific analyses were performed as described by Taylor et al (8). Total TAG reports the acyl composition of the TAG fraction isolated from a total lipid extract. In set A, the distribution of all acyl moieties at each sn-position is reported (read left to right). In set B, the distribution of each acyl moiety over all three sn-positions is reported (read top to bottom). The distribution of the VLCMFA 20:1 and 22:1 are bolded and shaded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>16:0</th>
<th>18:0</th>
<th>18:1</th>
<th>18:2</th>
<th>18:3</th>
<th>20:0</th>
<th>20:1</th>
<th>22:0</th>
<th>22:1</th>
<th>24:0</th>
<th>24:1</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total TAG</strong></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Set A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sn-1</em></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sn-2</em></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sn-3</em></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Set B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sn-1</em></td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sn-2</em></td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sn-3</em></td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* >95% of total GC FAME peaks accounted for in all cases. *tr = < 0.05% wt/wt; minor traces of other fatty acyl moieties not shown.

Fig. (2). Comparison of TnLPAT2 predicted amino acid sequence with that of other LPATs: *Arabidopsis thaliana* (NM_115625.1); *Brassica napus* (Q9XFW4.4); *Brassica oleracea* (Q6FWY1.1); *Crambe hispanica* (ABN09946.1); *Limnanthes douglasii* (CA88620.1); *Prunus mume* (BAE48660.1). Box I-IV motifs are conserved among related LPATs in various plant species [11]: Box I (*) = a conserved MBOAT motif (NHXXXXX); NHRSDD in position 91 - 97 [33]; Box II (***) = LPVIGW; Box III (***) = the*EGT* box; Box IV (****) = NVLIPRTKGF. There is also a putative tyrosine phosphorylation site R\(^{215}\)-Y\(^{222}\)-R\(^{227}\) (a characteristic motif consisting of an arginine at position -7, tyrosine at position 0, and glycine/alanine at position +5 [34]. The arrow designates the critical tyrosine residue.
Box II is a LPV1GW motif, Box III is a “EGT” box, Box IV is a NVLIPRTKGF motif, and the final box with an arrow is a putative tyrosine phosphorylation site R\(^{315}\)-(X)\(_k\)-Y\(^{222}\)-(X)\(_l\)-A\(^{327}\), which is a characteristic motif consisting of an arginine at position -7, tyrosine at position 0, and glycine/alanine at position +5 [34]. The sequence FVEGTR(F/S) is conserved among these plant LPAT2s as well as the E. coli LPAT (plsC; [35, 36] and the Saccharomyces cerevisiae LPAT (SLC1; [37]). Among more than half the dozen plant LPAT2s depicted in the phenogram in Fig. (3), the TmLPAT2 showed high homologies (74%-79%). The TmLPAT2’s closest homolog was to a LPAT from Prunus mume (79.5%, GenBank accession number BAE48660). High identity was observed with LPAT2s from Limnanthes douglasii (75.6%, GenBank accession number CAA88620), Crambe hispanica (75.3%, GenBank accession number ABN09946), B. napus (75.3%, GenBank accession number Q9XFW4), A. thaliana (74.7%, GenBank accession number NM 115625), and B. oleracea (74.8%, GenBank accession number Q6IWH1). It is interesting that the closest homolog, P. mume, has kernel oil containing only oleic (58-74%), linoleic (20-34%) and palmitic (4-7%) acids, but virtually no VLCFAs (http://www.woodlandnut.com/apricot.html).

Southern analyses, using restriction enzymes that do not cut within the region recognized by the probe and high stringency washings, resulted in a simple banding pattern with one single band of high intensity suggesting that there is only one copy of the LPAT2 gene in the nasturtium genome (Fig. 4A).

Northern analyses (Fig. 4B) showed that the gene was expressed in all tissues tested, including roots, stems, leaves, flowers, and at all three developmental embryo stages tested (with the mid-developing stage having the strongest embryo expression). While unusual, this ubiquitous, constitutive expression pattern is similar to that reported previously [11] for an ER-based LPAT2 essential for female but not male, gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. However, the current pattern is distinct from Limnanthes which is known to have both an embryo-specific LPAT2 gene and a constitutively-expressed LPAT1 [20]. Indeed, most LPAT2s utilizing unusual fatty acids are seed-specific [10]. The current finding represents, to our knowledge, the first oilseed LPAT2 utilizing unusual fatty acids that is expressed ubiquitously, with expression levels even higher in vegetative tissues, than in developing seed. The vegetative tissues do not synthesize or accumulate erucic acid, so this suggests that the TmLPAT2 enzyme is promiscuous and accepts a wide range of acyl groups.

Fig. (3). Phylogenetic tree comparing the LPAT2s cited in Fig. (2).

Fig. (4). (A) Southern blot analysis of TmLPAT2. A \(^{32}\)P-labeled probe from the 5’ end region of the TmLPAT2 gene was hybridized to 15 ug of total genomic DNA from leaves of T. majus digested with restriction enzymes Asel (A), EcoRI (E), HindIII (H) and Xhol (X) and run along a 1Kb ladder (L). (B) Northern blot of the TmLPAT2 expression in various tissues of garden nasturtium. Top panel: Total RNA was isolated from root (Rt), stem (St), leaf (Lf), flower (Fl) and early (Es), mid- (Ms) and late (Ls) developing seed tissues, blotted and probed with \(^{32}\)P-labeled TmLPAT2 cDNA. Bottom panel: The amount of RNA loaded per line was calibrated by the relative ethidium bromide staining of the ribosomal RNA bands.
Study of *TmLPAT2* and *AtLPAT2* Heterologously-Expressed in the Yeast *LPAT* (slcΔ) Deletion Mutant Y03749

The *TmLPAT2* heterologously expressed in the yeast *SLCI* mutant was able to incorporate 22:1 into the sn-2 position of 18:1-LPA from 22:1-CoA (Fig. 5). In following the induction of gene expression (8, 16, 24, 48 hr; data not shown), the strongest expression was observed after 24 hours of induction. In fact, in the presence of 18:1-LPA as an acceptor, the heterologously-expressed *TmLPAT2* was able to utilize a range of acyl-CoA substrates (Fig. 6A) including 16:0-CoA, 18:1-CoA or 20:1-CoA, with about equal efficiency. Erucoyl-CoA was also a capable acyl donor, with the activity being about 55% of that observed with oleoyl-CoA. This relative acyl preference profile for the recombinant *TmLPAT2* is similar to that reported by Brough et al., [20] using recombinant *L. douglasii* LPAT2 expressed in an *E. coli* LPAT mutant strain JC201; in that study, the *L. douglasii* LPAT2 22:1-CoA activity was approx 30% of that observed with oleoyl-CoA. The *TmLPAT2* was also able to use 22:1-LPA as an acceptor in the presence of either 18:1-CoA or 22:1-CoA as the acyl donor; the 22:1-CoA LPAT activity with 22:1-LPA was about 20% of that observed with the 18:1-CoA donor (Fig. 6B). *In vivo* upon biosynthesis of oil in developing nasturtium seeds, trierucin is the major product. Thus the latter *in vitro* result with the *TmLPAT2* may underestimate what is possible with this enzyme *in vivo*: Indeed, since 22:1-CoA is the dominant acyl-CoA species in the developing nasturtium embryo, the resultant TAGs should have erucic at all three positions even if the activity with 22:1-CoA LPA as acceptor was lower compared to the 18:1-CoA LPAT activity with 22:1-LPA as the acceptor *in vitro*.

In contrast, while the heterologously-expressed *AtLPAT2* was able to use oleoyl-CoA, it was unable to use erucoyl-CoA significantly, a trend identical to that observed with a *B. napus* Topas LPAT2 positive control system wherein erucoyl-LPAT activity was less than 2% of oleoyl-LPAT activity. This trend also agrees with our past biochemical studies [26]. In comparing the *TmLPAT2* and *AtLPAT2* activities at maximal heterologous expression in yeast, the specific activity of the expressed *TmLPAT2* with oleoyl-CoA + 18:1-LPA as substrates was approximately 4-fold higher than the corresponding activity with *AtLPAT2* (Fig. 6C). The reason for this markedly higher activity of the *TmLPAT2* is currently unclear, but may point to the preference for genetically over-expressing the *TmLPAT2* to enhance flux through the Kennedy pathway and into oil. Such experiments have been successful with the yeast *SLCI-1* mutated LPAT gene [44].

While there has recently been another *lyso*-phospholipid acyltransferase discovered in yeast (*ScLPAT*), it differs from the specific *lyso*-phosphatidic acid acyltransferase that is encoded by *SLCI* in that it cannot efficiently use *lyso*-phosphatidic acid produced by acylation of glycerol-3-phosphate *in vitro*; rather, it prefers to acylate *lyso*-phosphatidylcholine (LPC) [38]. Under our assay conditions, in the control Y03749 LPAT mutant transformed with empty plasmid, wherein the *ScLPAT* activity is still present, there was low but significant LPLAT activity with

![Fig. (5). Radiogram of TLC plate of the total lipid extract from LPAT assay of protein fraction from 24 or 48-hour induced cultures of yeast mutant (slcΔ) Y03749 expressing recombinant TmLPAT2. Assays were conducted in the presence of 18:1-LPA + [1-14C] 22:1-CoA. The radiolabelled lipid bands, especially the phosphatidic acid (PA) band (shown by an arrow) were isolated by TLC on silica G plates developed in ethylacetate: iso-octane: acetic acid (45:10:10 v/v/v) in 1 dimension and then scanned on a Bioscan AR-2000 radio-TLC scanner using Win-Scan 2D© software (Bioscan Inc., Washington DC, USA). To quantify the radioactivity and calculate enzyme activity, the individual silica bands were scraped, 5 mL of Aquasol-2 (DuPont) scintillation solvent added to the silica, and the level of 14C measured on a scintillation counter. The arrow shows the radiolabeled sn-1 18:1/sn-2 [1-14C] 22:1 PA product. 14C-NL= neutral lipid (primarily FFA); residual 14C erucoyl-CoA is at the origin. The expression of the recombinant TmLPAT2 enzyme reached a maximum at 24 hr of Gal induction in the transformed yeast host.](image-url)
18:1-LPA + 18:1-CoA; the results shown in Fig (6) are corrected for this background activity. In addition, in the Y03749 LPAT mutant transformed with empty plasmid, significant LPCAT activity was observed as expected, with 18:1-CoA + 18:1-LPC, but not with 22:1-CoA + 18:1-LPC (Fig. 6D). Neither was there acylating activity observed when LPE, LPS or LPI were provided as acyl acceptors (data not shown). This clearly confirms that the T. majus erucyl-CoA:LPAT activity expressed in the Y03749 LPAT mutant background is not due to the ScLPAT.

Our findings indicate that the cloned and ectopically-expressed TmLPAT2 gene encodes a product which can utilize a number of acyl-CoA donors to acylate the sn-2 position of LPA, including erucyl-CoA, at a rate of the same order of magnitude as that observed with oleoyl-CoA. The TmLPAT2 is not highly acyl-CoA-specific.

Predictions of acyl specificity of LPATs have arisen primarily from biochemical studies involving LPAT enzyme assays of microsomal fractions from developing seeds. Previous studies of the LPATs from a number of oilseeds had suggested that the TmLPAT was not involved in synthesis of trierucin because microsomal preparations from developing T. majus embryos exhibited little or no LPAT activity with erucyl-CoA [16, 39]. In contrast, our in vitro study showed that activity of erucyl-CoA LPAT was present in developing nasturtium embryos [26]. However, short of cloning and expressing a candidate gene, biochemical evidence can be misleading as to how it will perform in vivo: Case in point, we ourselves reported, based on microsomal LPAT enzyme assays of a high erucic B. oleracea landrace, that the LPAT could utilize erucyl-CoA in vitro, [26]. However, its capacity to do so in vivo proved negative once we cloned the gene and manipulated the gene in 2004 [Mietkiewska et al, 2004; Brassica oleracea acyl-CoA:1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase mRNA, complete cds. GenBank Accession No. AY616009]; Upon expression in Arabidopsis, the actual capacity of the B. oleracea LPAT to insert erucic acid
Fig. (6). LPAT activities measured in 10K pellet fraction prepared from lysates of yeast LPAT- (SLCI) mutant (slcΔ) Y03749 transformed with the TmLPAT2: (A) Comparison of LPAT2 activities in the presence of 18:1-LPA and either 14C 16:0- 18:1- or 22:1-CoA. (B) Comparison of TmLPAT2 activities in the presence of 18:1-LPA, and either 14C 18:1-CoA or 14C 22:1-CoA; and 22:1 LPA and either 14C 18:1-CoA or 14C 22:1-CoA (C) Comparison of recombinant TmLPAT2 (Tm) and AtLPAT2 (At) activities with 18:1-LPA and either 14C 18:1-CoA or 14C 22:1-CoA; (D) LPLAT (LPCAT) activities in the TmLPAT2-transformed Y03749 lysate in the presence of 18:1-LPC and either 14C 18:1-CoA or 14C 22:1-CoA. All activities are corrected for the low background activity of labeled PA displayed in pYES plasmid only transformant lysates (ca 1 pmol/min/mg pr; presumably due to the low but measureable activity of the yeast LPLAT with an LPA substrate).

into the sn-2 position of TAGs was nil (Taylor et al., 2005, unpublished).

Highly homologous predicted protein sequences can encode members of the same family of enzymes which nonetheless have widely varying catalytic substrate specificities not apparent from their primary sequences or even based on in vitro biochemical analyses. Indeed, of the LPAT2s listed in Fig. 3 using homology algorithms, until the present study, only those from Limnanthes spp have been shown to possess erucyl-CoA LPAT activity [21]. Yet the homology scores are very close: For example, the L. douglasii sequence is known to be an erucyl-utilizing LPAT2 while the albeit homologous B. napus and Arabidopsis LPAT2 sequences at 75% identity, do not encode enzymes with any capacity to utilize erucyl moieties; the T. majus LPAT2 is negligibly more homologous to the Limnanthes LPAT2 at 75.6% identity than it is to the B. napus LPAT2 at 75.3% identity. Thus, the selective characteristics of the T. majus and Limnanthes LPAT protein sequences that lead to erucyl-CoA-utilizing capability are not currently understood. However, now having a second LPAT (T. majus) with this capability will provide an opportunity for more detailed comparative studies of
motifs and secondary or tertiary structure which may define acyl donor and acceptor preferences.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our findings would suggest that TmLPAT2 does indeed possess a strong capacity to utilize 22:1-CoA. Combined with other studies of the biosynthesis of TAGs containing sn-2 22:1 in T. majus [40-42], it appears that such TAGs are probably synthesized via the Kennedy pathway and not via acyl exchange at the sn-2 position of DAGs and TAGs as suggested previously [39]. Thus, in all oilseeds studied thus far that accumulate TAGs containing VLCMFAs, the data are consistent with VLCMFA biosynthesis by elongation of oleoyl moieties to give VLCMFA, the data

We propose that the capacity to acylate the glycerol backbone with erucoyl moieties at the sn-2 position, is a very valuable property for molecular breeding of high erucic acid plants. For example, the expression of the TmLPAT2 clone in high erucic Brassicaceae (e.g. the high erucic B. carinata lines co-expressing the Crambe KCS and an RNAi-silenced B. carinata FAD 2 [43] or the Cardamine graeca KCS [45], will be capable of enhancing the overall proportions of VLCFAs in the sn-2 position of TAGs and increase the probability of producing trierucin or trinervonin, respectively. In addition, the transgenic over-expression of the TmLPAT2 in the Brassicaceae may afford improvements in oil content in a manner not dissimilar to that already shown for ectopic expression of the yeast SLC1-1 gene [44]). Accordingly, transformation experiments are currently underway in our lab to test these hypotheses.
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ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A thal</td>
<td>(Arabidopsis thaliana)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoA</td>
<td>Co-enzyme A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>Complementary DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST</td>
<td>Expressed sequence tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>Lysophosphatidic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCAT</td>
<td>Lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPAT 2</td>
<td>Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase 2 (E.C. EC 2.3.1.51), sn-2 acyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBOAT</td>
<td>Membrane bound O-acyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Phosphatidic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC1-1'</td>
<td>Yeast lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase deletion mutant, slcΔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. majus</td>
<td>Tropaeolum majus (garden nasturtium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>Triacylglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLCFA</td>
<td>Very long-chain fatty acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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