Validation of In Vivo Patellar Tracking with a Custom-Made Clamp: A Fluoroscopy Study
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Abstract: Evaluation of patellar tracking has been suggested as an increasingly relevant diagnostic tool in patients with patellofemoral disorders. This study aimed to assess the accuracy of measuring in vivo patellar tracking with the use of a custom-made clamp. Nine healthy female college students participated. Sagittal-view fluoroscopy was used to track the movement of the clamp and the underlying patella of each subject’s dominant leg during a lunge. The movement patterns (tilt angle and displacement) as well as the absolute angle/distance between the patella and clamp were investigated at 7 knee flexion angles (0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, and 90°). The patella and clamp showed similar movement patterns (tilt angle: r= 0.94, P= 0.002; displacement: r= 0.90, P= 0.007). The root mean squared error of less than 3.5° and 0.6 cm were identified below knee flexion 60°. The absolute angle significantly changed at knee flexion 90° compared to 0° (P= 0.016). The absolute distance between the upper edge of the patella and clamp significantly changed at knee flexion 60° to 90° as compared to 0° (all P< 0.05). The angle/distance change may represent an influence of a skin motion artifact manifesting beyond knee flexion 60°. With careful consideration, the custom-made clamp may offer reasonably accurate representations of patellar motion that can be used to further study patella pathologies and develop computational models.
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INTRODUCTION

Patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS) is a very common knee disorder that occurs in young and physically active people. It affects about 25% of the total population [1]. Among them, females are more frequent pain suffers [2]. Patients often complain of anterior or retropatellar pain typically produced in squatting, kneeling, ascending or descending stairs, running, and jumping, or after prolonged sitting. The mechanism of PFPS is considered to be multifactorial [3]. One of the possible, and most commonly accepted, causes in the clinical community is lateral malalignment or maltracking (defined as abnormality of static position or dynamic orientation) of the patella on the femur during knee flexion and extension [4]. This lateral patellar migration potentially puts uneven stresses on both the patella itself and the peripatellar tissues, leading to PFPS [4, 5].

Study of patellofemoral kinematics is gaining much attention in the research field of PFPS. To study the patellar tracking patterns in vivo quantitatively and noninvasively, several imaging techniques, such as X-ray, computerized tomography (CT), and both dynamic and static magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are frequently used clinically [6-10]. However, these researchers typically focused on 2D alignment, and only one study, to our knowledge, has quantified 3D patellofemoral and tibiofemoral kinematics using MRI [10]. Recent studies have also investigated patellar tracking in weight-bearing knee flexion using dynamic fluoroscopes and MRI [11, 12]. This technique required 3D models to reproduce the in vivo knee motion, which might present a technical challenge for general clinical application. Investigation with a motion system, on the other hand, can obtain the patellar tracking data in weight-bearing knee function [13, 14], which is more closely related to daily activity. Nevertheless, the skin motion artifact, which is the displacement between the marker and underlying bone, is a serious source of error that would interfere with measurement accuracy in the motion analysis. As the patella is the biggest sesamoid bone in the human body, several research methods, such as the custom-made clamp method, have been developed as consequence for in vivo and noninvasive patellar tracking measurement to track patella movement during functional activities.

Three studies have used patellar clamps for real-time evaluation of patellar tracking with a motion system [13-15]. Lin et al. [15] corroborated the patellar tracking based on the patellar clamp using anterior and medial views of fluoroscopic imaging with subjects’ knee flexion-extension in the range of 20°. The authors recorded the imaging with videotape, and only the visual inspection method was used to compare the patella and patellar clamp movements. They concluded that the patellar clamp closely followed the patellar movement during voluntary knee motion within 20° of knee motion. Laprade and Lee [13] later examined the patella and patellar clamp during knee flexion (squat) up to 90°. They found that the displacement of the patellar mould...
was able to truly reflect that of the patella up to 60° of knee flexion, where the difference in the displacement of the patella and mould along the long axis of femur was found to be less than 3mm. Wilson et al. [14] calculated the general displacement of the patella and clamp, and reported that the mean difference was 0.97mm (range: 0-2.43 mm) during knee flexion up to approximately 105° from the sagittal-plane fluoroscopic image. Although these authors used fluoroscopic imaging to qualitatively or quantitatively validate the in-vivo patellar tracking method with the use of a custom clamp, these reports were collateral results accompanying their main research. The number of subjects was limited, and only the displacement data were generated [13, 14].

The validity of a measurement tool is important for pathology documentation and outcome assessments in both the clinic and research. Since evidence regarding the accuracy of patellar tracking with the use of custom clamps is still unclear, the purpose of this study was to validate an in vivo patellar tracking method with the use of a custom clamp. We hypothesized that (1) the skin motion artifact would be augmented with knee flexion, thereby decreasing the consistency of the movement pattern (tilt angle and displacement) between the patella and clamp; and (2) the absolute angle/distance difference between the patella and clamp would change with degree of knee flexion. In addition, the reliability of the fluoroscopic imaging measurements was also investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Subjects

Nine healthy female college students (mean age: 21.2 (SD 1.6) yr, mean height: 161.9 (SD 8.0) cm, mean weight: 53.2 (SD 7.8) kg) participated. All of them were right-leg dominant. Subjects were excluded if they had: (1) previous significant knee, hip, or ankle pathology, traumatic history or surgery; or (2) current or past symptoms of patellofemoral pain. All participants signed an informed consent statement approved by the ethics committee of the National Taiwan University Hospital prior to taking part in this study.

Measurement of Patellar Tracking by Fluoroscopy

A custom-made patellar clamp was created using Thermoplast™ material and the methods described by Laprade and Lee [13]. The Thermoplast was first heated to make it malleable enough to conform closely to the patella. The subject was seated with the knee slightly flexed. The edges of the clamp were pressed firmly around the perimeter of the patella, and in particular, the medial and lateral sides were curved inward on the edges of the patella. The clamp was then affixed to the skin overlying the center of the patella using double-sided tape. A radio-opaque marker was attached onto the center of the clamp (Fig. 1). Sagittal-view fluoroscopy (BV Endura; Philips Inc., Eindhoven, Netherlands) was used to examine the movement of the marker and the underlying patella of each subject’s dominant leg during a lunge of up to 90° (Fig. 1). During the lunge, the subjects were positioned with their knee straight while data were collected for a neutral position (0° of knee flexion).

This data was used as the reference position for determining the relative positional change of the clamp (marker) and patella. Subjects then performed 6 lunges with their testing knee bent to 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, and 90° of knee flexion, monitored by goniometry, in a random sequence. Subjects were instructed to support their weight on the leg being studied, and they were also allowed to use the contralateral leg for weight support and balance. At each joint angle, subjects held the position for a brief moment, and the fluoroscopic image was recorded.

Data Analysis

The fluoroscopic images were analyzed by 2 blinded assessors. The movement patterns (tilt angle and displacement) as well as the absolute angle/distance between the patella and clamp (marker) were investigated at 7 knee flexion angles (0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, and 90°). The tilt angle of patella (Tp)/clamp (Tc) was defined as the angle subtended by the horizontal line and the diagonal line (connection of upper and lower edges of the patella/marker). The displacement of patella (Dp)/clamp (Dc) was defined as the distance between the tibial tuberosity to the lower edge of the patella/marker. The absolute angle was defined as the angle between the diagonal lines of the patella and marker. The absolute distance was between the upper or lower edge of the patella and marker. These parameters were digitized and measured using Sante...
The patella and clamp showed similarities in movement pattern. Both tilt and displacement patterns were highly correlated (tilt angle: \( r = 0.94, P = 0.002 \); displacement: \( r = 0.90, P = 0.007 \)) (Fig. 3A, B). The RMSE for tilt angle was found to be less than 3.5° (1.0° to 3.5°) at knee flexion of less than 60°, and became large (6.3° to 7.6°) beyond knee flexion of 60°. The RMSE for displacement became gradually larger from 0.24 cm at knee flexion of 15° to 0.83 cm at knee flexion of 90° (a RMSE of 0.53 cm on average).

The absolute angle significantly changed at knee flexion of 90° compared to that at knee flexion of 0° \( (P = 0.016) \) (Fig. 4A). The absolute distance between upper edge of the patella and marker significantly changed at knee flexion of 60° \( (P = 0.041) \), 75° \( (P = 0.022) \) and 90° \( (P = 0.004) \) compared to that at knee flexion of 0° \( (P = 0.002) \). The RMSE for displacement became less than 60°, and became large (6.3° to 7.6°) beyond knee flexion of 60°. The angle/distance change between the patella and clamp was less than 3mm (range: 0-2.43 mm) within a 90° lunge, which was similar with a previous report [13, 14]. As we transformed our data into a comparable form, the mean difference between the patella and clamp was less than 3mm (range: 1.0-3.0mm) during knee flexion of up to approximately 105° [14]. Our findings are also in agreement with, and to some degree better than, those of Laprade and Lee [13], who found that

**DISCUSSION**

Good reliability was shown in all fluoroscopic imaging measurements. The mean ICC for the patellar tilt was 0.92 (range: 0.87-0.99 across all knee flexion angles), and the corresponding value for the clamp tilt was 0.92 (range: 0.86-0.98). For displacement pattern measurement, the mean ICC values were 0.85 (range: 0.82-0.89) and 0.91 (range: 0.88-0.93) for the patella and clamp, respectively. The mean ICC was 0.85 (range: 0.76-0.93) for the absolute angle, 0.86 (range: 0.80-0.91) and 0.96 (range: 0.93-0.99) for the absolute distance between upper and lower edges of the patella and clamp.

Data were analyzed using SPSS 13 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). For the movement pattern, the tilt angle and displacement of the patella/clamp were compared by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient \( (r) \) and root mean squared error (RMSE) between the two curves (patella vs clamp). The RMSE can be thought of as a global estimator of the similarity relation, and this parameter is optimal when it is equal to 0 [16].

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\text{patella} - \text{clamp})^2}{n - 1}}
\]

For the absolute angle/distance between the patella and clamp, the one-way repeated ANOVA at 7 knee flexion angles were calculated twice at a significant alpha level of 0.05, with Bonferroni follow-up analyses for multiple pairwise comparisons where appropriate. The intraclass correlation coefficient \( (ICC) \) was used to determine the intertester reliability of the fluoroscopic imaging measurements. An ICC of greater than 0.75 is considered good reproducibility [17].

**RESULTS**

The current study obtained a good intertester reliability on the fluoroscopic imaging measurements, since precise landmark criteria were used in all quantitative measures. Previous studies reported that the general difference in the displacement of the patella and clamp was less than 3mm [13, 14]. As we transformed our data into a comparable form, the mean difference between the patella and clamp relative to tibial tuberosity was 2.2mm (range: 1.0-3.0mm) within a 90° lunge, which was similar with a previous report of the mean difference of 0.97mm (range: 0-2.43 mm) during knee flexion of up to approximately 105° [14]. Our findings are also in agreement with, and to some degree better than, those of Laprade and Lee [13], who found that
the difference in the displacement of the patella and clamp along the long axis of the femur was less than 3mm for knee flexion of less than 60°, and became unacceptably large beyond that angle. We suggest that the mastery and technique of the skilled individual who makes such a customized clamp may influence the results. Developing standardized procedures for making the clamp and training users to meet a criterion-based level of competence would likely improve the reliability and validity of the customized clamp approach.

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to investigate the angular relationship between the patella and clamp. The mean difference between the patella and clamp angle relative to horizontal was 2.0° (range: 0.1-6.8°) within a 90° lunge. The maximal difference (6.8°) occurred at 75° of knee flexion. The absolute angle change and displacement between the patella and clamp, manifested beyond knee flexion of 60°, would decrease the measurement accuracy of in vivo patellar tracking. Since evaluation of patellar tracking has been suggested as an increasingly relevant diagnostic tool in patients with patellofemoral disorders, the custom-
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**Fig. (4).** A. Absolute angle between the patella and clamp; B. Absolute distance between upper and lower edge of the patella and clamp (marker) during lunge movement. *P< 0.05.

made clamp, even if not optimal, may offer reasonably accurate representations of patellar motion. For clinical application, the current study results could serve as a validity basis for further study of patella pathologies and the development of computational models. Better knowledge of patellar tracking will provide appropriate guidelines for planning physiotherapeutic programs thereafter.

The limitations of our study should be noted. Only sagittal-view fluoroscopy was used in this study. The alterations of patellar tracking associated with patellofemoral pain were not limited to the axial plane (lateral displacement and tilt) [10]. Given that the patellar flexion/extension and superior/inferior displacement have larger movements than the other two components of patellar motion (spin, tilt, anterior/posterior, and medial/lateral displacement) during knee movement [10, 14], the patella and clamp motion artifact on the other planes is expected to be less than what we discovered in this study. However, future study is needed to address this speculation. Additional work is also needed to solve the problem associated with motion artifacts using the patellar clamp method or other methods to track patellar motion, especially with a large range of knee motion.
CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a comprehensive analysis of the accuracy of custom made clamp method for collecting patella tracking in vivo. With careful consideration, the custom-made clamp may offer reasonably accurate representations of patellar motion that can be used to further study patella pathologies and develop computational models. Although the movement pattern consistency and absolute angle/distance errors were found to be reasonable, the usefulness of this method may depend on specific experimental conditions. This method has applications in tracking patella motions with patellofemoral pathology, as well as assessing changes in patellar tracking after treatment intervention.
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