Effect of Inhaled Corticosteroids on Glycemic Status
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Abstract: Although the dysglycemic effects of systemic glucocorticoid therapy are well known, the effect of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) on carbohydrate metabolism is still a subject of debate. The systemic bioavailability of ICS is claimed to be minimal and the side effects negligible. However, some large retrospective cohort studies showed a definite association between ICS use and incident diabetes or worsening glycemic control in pre-existing diabetes. There are no professional-body recommended guidelines on the diagnosis and management of steroid-induced diabetes for the general population. This review aims to evaluate the systemic dysglycemic effect of ICS treatment and to propose a management algorithm.
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INTRODUCTION

Systemic glucocorticoid therapy can lead to various metabolic complications in glucose homeostasis including insulin resistance, hyperglycemia and increased risk of diabetes [1]. In comparison to the oral or parenteral routes of administration, inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) are known to produce relatively less systemic adverse effects and their effect on carbohydrate metabolism is less well recognized.

ICS are considered an integral part of anti-inflammatory treatment in patients with asthma, although their effectiveness in COPD remains controversial [2]. In patients with COPD, the use of ICS is primarily recommended for severe disease and in those with frequent episodes of exacerbations [3]. Nevertheless they are increasingly being used even in patients with less severe disease [4].

Patients with COPD are known to have a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes [5]. Various underlying factors such as oxidative stress, systemic inflammation, insulin resistance, abnormal adipokine metabolism, and weight gain play major roles in the underlying pathophysiology [6]. In addition, people with diabetes are known to develop significantly more adverse COPD outcomes including increased frequency of exacerbations and recurrent chest infections compared to non-diabetics [6].

It is well-known that both COPD and diabetes are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality. Cardiovascular disease accounts for the most common cause of death in diabetics and the second most common cause in patients with COPD [7]. Diabetes and COPD as co-morbidities are on the rise, with an increasing prevalence of both these conditions globally, adding significant complexity to the therapeutic measures. The aim of this article is to explore the potential association between ICS treatment and its negative effects on glycemic status, and to discuss the management issues.

EFFECTS OF GLUCOCORTICOIDs ON GLYCEMIC STATUS

Exogenous administration of glucocorticoids can lead to altered glucose metabolism including hyperglycemia. This can result in either the development of new-onset diabetes or worsening of glycemic control in those who have pre-existing diabetes. Some important metabolic adverse effects of corticosteroids and the potential mechanisms are shown in Table 1. Glucocorticoids exert their dysglycemic actions through various mechanisms including decreased insulin production, increased insulin resistance, reduced insulin sensitivity and increased hepatic gluconeogenesis [1, 8].

Glucocorticoids cause pancreatic β-cell dysfunction which results in a marked reduction of insulin secretion [9]. The effects of steroid hormones in the β-cells are mediated through glucocorticoid receptors (GR) as in other body cells. Stimulation of GR result in alteration of β-cell metabolism and lead on to a cascade of events such as reduced glucose transport and increased glucose cycling due to increased glucose-6-phosphatase activity. The result is a decrease in insulin secretion [9]. Although insulin resistance is considered as a key factor for the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2 DM), the role of β-cell dysfunction in the development of T2 DM is increasingly being recognized [10]. Acute [11, 12] and chronic glucocorticoid administration [13] have been shown to reduce β-cell function and insulin secretion in human beings.

Insulin resistance (IR) is the key component of T2 DM. Glucocorticoids increase insulin resistance by various mechanisms. Skeletal muscles play a key role in glucose
metabolism. It utilizes insulin to remove more than 80% of the postprandial glucose upsurge in the circulation [13, 14]. The majority of glucose in the body is stored as glycogen in the skeletal muscles. Glucocorticoids impair insulin mediated glucose uptake in muscles and thereby, aggravate skeletal muscle IR [14-17]. Increased muscle catabolism related to chronic steroid administration is also shown to be associated with an increase in IR [14, 18]. Increases in lipolysis and circulating levels of triglycerides (TG) and free fatty acids (FFA) are seen in patients receiving steroids. Excess TG and FFA in circulation has been shown to increase the IR [19, 20].

Glucocorticoids alter the hepatic glucose and lipid metabolism by multiple mechanisms. Glucocorticoids increase the endogenous glucose output from the liver [21] by enhancing glycogenolysis [13] and gluconeogenesis [22]. Induction of hepatic insulin resistance directly by decreasing insulin sensitivity [13] and indirectly by increasing FFA and TG supply to the liver [23] are the consequences of chronic glucocorticoid administration. An increase in hepatic glucose output is the net effect of these metabolic alterations related to glucocorticoid therapy that may result in development of frank T2 DM or worsening of pre-existing diabetes.

Chronic glucocorticoid administration has been found to be associated with alteration of body fat composition and distribution. High dose steroid therapy may result in iatrogenic Cushing syndrome (CS). Ectopic distribution of fat that results in central obesity is one of the pathological hallmarks of CS. Body fat redistribution with excess visceral and abdominal fat, and reduced peripheral fat is seen in patients on chronic corticosteroid therapy [24] even without the development of frank CS. Several hormones from the adipose tissues such as leptin, resistin and adiponectin control insulin signaling and IR in human beings. Alterations in these hormones induced by chronic steroid treatment can therefore aggravate dysglycemia in patients.

**CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF GLUCOCORTICOID-INDUCED DYSGLYCEMIA**

Glucocorticoid therapy is the most common cause of drug-induced diabetes [25]. Although, the exact figures are unknown, a prevalence of post-prandial hyperglycemia of up to 42% has been reported in non-diabetic patients on moderate doses of oral steroid treatment [25, 26]. Many patients on low to moderate doses of glucocorticoid treatment may only have hyperglycemia without symptoms of frank diabetes. However, frank T2 DM develops in a significant proportion of patients who are on large doses of steroids especially while on long-term treatment [25]. In patients with established diabetes (whether type 1 or type 2), the use of glucocorticoid therapy can result in worsening glycemic control even on short courses [25, 27]. Diabetic emergencies such as ketoacidosis [28] and hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state [29] are the other dysglycemic complications associated with glucocorticoid therapy.

Studies showed that the glycemic rise related to steroid therapy usually begins 4 hours after the dose and usually persists for up to 16 hours [25, 30]. Therefore, conventional testing methods to diagnose diabetes may not be appropriate in steroid-induced diabetes. Fasting hyperglycemia may not be evident in many cases and only postprandial hyperglycemia is seen in most patients. There are no formal guidelines on the diagnosis and management of steroid-induced diabetes and dysglycemic state from international bodies, (except for transplant-related diabetes, for which management is similar to T2 DM [31]) Hence, the diagnosis and management of these cases should be individualized according to the clinical situation.

A random blood glucose level of ≥200 mg/ dL (≥11.1 mmol/L) with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or a glucose level of ≥200 mg/ dL at 2 hours after a 75-g glucose tolerance test was proposed as reasonable diagnostic tests for glucocorticoid-induced diabetes [25]. The random blood glucose check is preferably done in the afternoon (as most patients on chronic steroid therapy receive the dose in the morning) or two hours post-meal. Although less sensitive, a fasting blood glucose ≥126 mg/ dL (≥7.0 mmol/L) or HbA1c ≥6.5% (≥48 mmol/ mol) in a symptomatic patient (or repeatedly elevated levels in an asymptomatic case) can also be used as a diagnostic test [25].

**ICS AND DYSGLYCEMIA**

ICS are usually prescribed for the management of obstructive airways diseases, asthma and COPD; the world health organization (WHO) estimates a global disease burden of 235 and 64 million respectively [32]. The systemic bio-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steroid-Related Metabolic Effect</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Adverse Consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altered carbohydrate metabolism</td>
<td>Increased gluconeogenesis, reduced glucose uptake and reduced utilization of glucose peripherally</td>
<td>Hyperglycemia. In diabetics with limited pancreatic function, this cannot be compensated by increasing circulating insulin levels and usually require pharmacological interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered protein metabolism</td>
<td>Breakdown of proteins</td>
<td>Muscle weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered lipid metabolism</td>
<td>Increased lipolysis and ectopic mobilization of fat</td>
<td>Redistribution of adipose tissues with central obesity and Cushingoid appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered calcium metabolism and bone turnover</td>
<td>Reduced calcium uptake in GI tract and adverse effects on skeletal cells</td>
<td>Osteopenia, osteoporosis and osteonecrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered fluid and electrolyte balance</td>
<td>Fluid and salt retention</td>
<td>Hypertension and edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered immune function</td>
<td>Suppression of inflammatory response including B cell and T cell function</td>
<td>Increased risk of infection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
availability of ICS is claimed to be minimal and therefore, the metabolic complications related to ICS use is expected to be negligible. However, the systemic bioavailability depends on the type of molecule, the mode of administration, daily dosage, and the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of ICS drug molecule.

Despite developing various inhalation devices to improve locally targeted delivery of drugs in the airways, significant proportion of ICS can still reach the systemic circulation especially at high doses. ICS may enter the systemic circulation either through lungs or gastrointestinal tract. It is estimated around 10 to 40% of inhaled medications actually make it to the desired location in the airways. The rest is swallowed and reaches gastrointestinal tract [33]. However, only a small amount of this actually reaches systemic circulation since majority of the swallowed dose undergoes first pass metabolism in the liver. In addition, after exerting its desired effect in the airways the ICS eventually get absorbed systemically resulting in further extra-pulmonary effects [34].

It is well established that long-term ICS use causes a number of dose-dependent side effects including adrenal suppression, reduction in bone density, ocular hypertension, posterior sub-capsular cataracts and reduction of growth velocity [35, 36]. In view of the overwhelming evidence of systemic side effects with ICS therapy, various studies have attempted to explore its dysglycemic effects and to date there have been mixed results. As early as in 1998, it was reported that a patient with T2 DM and asthma developed persistent glycosuria and a significant (1 percent) increase in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) with higher doses of inhaled fluticasone (2000 mcg/day) [37].

In a prospective cohort study involving 1698 subjects [38], it was observed that the use of ICS was associated with a dose-dependent increase in serum glucose levels in patients known to have diabetes. This study showed that for every additional 100 μg of inhaled Triamcinolone used (or equivalent), there was a 1.82 mg/ dL rise in plasma glucose concentration in patients with COPD and pre-existing diabetes. However, such effects with glycaemic control were not observed among patients without a history of diabetes.

A small prospective randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study [39], reported a statistically significant difference in self-measured blood glucose in diabetics with either COPD or asthma following ICS therapy with fluticasone 440 mcg twice daily. However, the study failed to demonstrate a statistically significant change in HbA1c levels. It is possible that the short study duration (42 days) could have lead to an underestimation of the effect of ICS on HbA1c. O’Byrne and colleagues, in a retrospective analysis of various clinical trials, failed to demonstrate any increased risk of new onset diabetes or hyperglycemia with the use of ICS in patients with asthma or COPD [40]. The short duration of the follow up and the lack of biochemical validation of diabetes are notable limitations of this study. In addition, patients enrolled in this analysis were largely without any other significant co-morbidities. Therefore, it will be difficult to extrapolate the results of this study to determine the long-term dysglycemic effects of ICS therapy in diabetics with multiple co-morbidities.

In another study among uncontrolled asthmatics, high dose ICS therapy was noted to improve glucose tolerance and decrease the IR during the early phase of the follow up [41]. It is well-known that unstable asthma is associated with increased endogenous catecholamine production due to the physical stress which can have an effect on the glycemic parameters. Therefore, the favorable glycemic effect noted during the early phase of treatment could be due to the reduction of endogenous catecholamines resulting from the resolution of unstable asthma rather than the ICS therapy.

A large retrospective cohort study identified that the patients treated with ICS for COPD or asthma over a period of 5.5 years were associated with a statistically significant 34% increase in the rate of developing diabetes and diabetes progression compared with patients not treated with ICS [42]. In this study, of the 388,584 patients, 30,167 were diagnosed with new onset diabetes during the follow up period (an incidence of 14.2/1000/ year) and 2099 subsequently progressed to insulin from oral anti-diabetic treatment (an incidence of 19.8/1000/ year). It is also noted that the risk of developing diabetes is more pronounced at the higher dose of ICS therapy. However, it should be borne in mind that any chronic systemic disease like COPD is associated with increased IR which can result in worsening dysglycemia [43]. Patients on ICS are more likely to have had more severe disease that may heavily bias the results of this study. Use of rescue systemic steroids for exacerbations of the disease is also higher among patients with poorly controlled asthma or COPD. This may further complicate the interpretation of such retrospective studies.

An association between chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes has been proposed by some investigators [7, 43-45]. Chronic illnesses result in a systemic inflammatory state associated with increase in IR. This invariably causes a dysglycemic state in prone individuals and worsening of glycemic control in patients with diabetes. Poor control of asthma was found to be associated with worse glycemic control in the young type 1 diabetes patients [46]. The overall benefits and risks associated with ICS use definitely favors the use in patients with persistent asthma, and all professional bodies agree on this treatment at optimal dosage necessary for proper control of the disease. However, there is still uncertainty about the benefits in patients with COPD.

**MANAGEMENT OF DIABETES IN ICS USERS**

There are no formal guidelines specific to the management of diabetes in ICS users especially with the lack of robust evidence on the definite association. However, it will pose a therapeutic challenge to manage the potential dysglycemic effect of ICS in clinical practice, considering the high prevalence of diabetes and chronic respiratory illnesses in the general population.

A diagnostic and management algorithm for steroid-induced diabetes has been proposed by Lansang and Hustak of the Cleveland Clinic in 2011 [25]. In the absence of formal professional body guidelines, it would be appropriate to rely upon these recommendations to manage patients with ICS-induced dysglycemia. Life style measures like regular exercise may not always be practical for glycemic control in patients with asthma and COPD as their respiratory disease...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Random or 1- to 2-Hour Post-Meal Glucose</th>
<th>Medication/Medication Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;220 mg/ dL (12.2 mmol/ L)</td>
<td>Metformin or DPP4–inhibitor (different glitins) or meglitinides (e.g., repaglinide, nateglinide) or GLP-1 agonists (e.g., exenatide, liraglutide) or sulphonylureas (e.g., gliclazide, glipizide, glyburide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220–300 mg/ dL (12.2–16.7 mmol/ L)</td>
<td>Start with single agent and if not controlled within 2–3 months add one of the second group of medication mentioned above or insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;300 mg/ dL (&gt;16.7 mmol/ L)</td>
<td>Insulin is mostly required especially in those with hyperglycemic symptoms. Addition of an appropriate oral agent may reduce the insulin dose requirement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

may impose restrictions on such modalities of treatment. They propose a stepwise algorithm for glycemic control in steroid-induced diabetes with consideration of random or 1- to 2-hour post-meal plasma glucose readings [25]. The management algorithm is shown in the Table 2.

Insulin when required for the control of hyperglycemia, can be started as a pre-mixed formulation (long acting to short acting molar ratio of 70:30 or 50:50) according to the glycemic levels and follow up with capillary glucose checking. A starting insulin dose of 0.15–0.3 units/ kg body weight is reasonable [25]. Although post-meal capillary glucose checking is ideal, it may not always be practical. Pre-meal glucose checks shall give the overall glycemic fluctuations throughout the day that is helpful for adjusting the insulin doses. Glycemic targets of 70–130 mg/ dL (3.9–7.2 mmol/ L) before meals and <180 mg/ dL (<10 mmol/ L) post-meal are reasonable [25]. Patients with T1 DM on continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) pumps while on short course steroid therapy were found to benefit from data recorded by continuous glucose monitors (CGMS) for insulin dose titrations [47]. CGMS data may be also useful in T1 DM patients on CSII pumps for titration of the insulin regime if the glycemic control becomes erratic while of ICS treatment.

CONCLUSION

There are mixed data as to the effect of ICS on glucose metabolism in both diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. Some studies have clearly demonstrated that ICS treatment can produce systemic side effects including derangement of glucose metabolism. However, other studies failed to demonstrate clinically significant adverse dysglycemic effects of ICS treatment. Failure to demonstrate adverse metabolic outcomes in some of these studies could be due to the relatively small number of participants and short durations of follow up. The largest population-based study showed an increased risk of development and progression of diabetes among patients on ICS therapy. Therefore it is prudent to assess any possible interaction between ICS use and deterioration in glycemic control by careful monitoring. Large-scale prospective controlled studies are necessary to address this issue.

Steroid-induced dysglycemia often presents with post-meal and daytime hyperglycemia, although fasting hyperglycemia is also observed in a significant proportion of cases. In the absence of formal guidelines for diagnostic evaluation and management of steroid-induced dysglycemia, the algorithm proposed in this paper could be used for the management of ICS-related dysglycemic state.
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