14

Open Access

Pleural Cholesterol to the Diagnosis of Exudative Effusion

Rogério Rufino^{*}, Bruna L. Marques, Renato de Lima Azambuja, Thiago Mafort, José G. Pugliese and Cláudia Henrique da Costa



State University of Rio de Janeiro, Pulmonology Department, Rio de Janeiro, 20551-030, Brazil

Abstract: *Introduction*: Diagnostic approaches to patients with a pleural effusion must be precise because many procedures depend on the nature of the fluid in the effusion. To date, no biochemical test is considered an appropriate alternative to Light's criteria. This study compared the absolute pleural cholesterol (PC) level and the pleural cholesterol/serum cholesterol (PC/SC) ratio with Light's criteria to determine exudative pleural effusions.

Materials and Methods: This study was a case series of 100 consecutive patients with pleural effusions. The clinical parameters that were used to diagnosis an exudative effusion included the cholesterol level, a pleural cholesterol level \geq 50 mg/dL, a pleural/serum ratio \geq 0.4, and Light's criteria. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of each test for the diagnosis of an exudative effusion were assessed.

Results: A total of 79 patients were definitively diagnosed with an exudative effusion and were included in the trial and analyzed. The mean PC level in the exudates was 90.39 mg/dL. The PC levels demonstrated a sensitivity of 97.22%, a specificity of 85.71%, a positive predictive value of 98.59% and a negative predictive value of 75%. The PC/SC ratio demonstrated a sensitivity of 81.48%, a specificity of 57.14%, a positive predictive value of 93.61% and a negative predictive value of 28.57%.

Conclusions: The pleural cholesterol dosage level and the pleural/serum cholesterol ratio can be utilized as unique biomarkers to identify an exudative effusion and replace Light's criteria.

Keywords: Cholesterol, exudative effusion, pleural effusion.

INTRODUCTION

A pleural effusion (PE) is a frequent challenge in medical practice. A PE is an abnormal collection of fluid between the parietal and visceral pleurae. The following pathological mechanisms have been isolated or isolated in association, which are correlated with the development of a PE: a thoracic duct obstruction, a pleural permeability increase, a capillary pulmonary pressure increase, low oncotic pressure and low intrapleural pressure [1,2]. In such cases, pleural disease is present and is typically unilateral. A specific approach is needed to diagnose pleural disease, which is particularly important for infectious and neoplastic disease. Most PEs are a manifestation of a dysfunction or a distant clinical disease, such as hepatic, cardiac or renal failure. A PE diagnosis is established based on anamnesis and a physical exam, together with an imaging method that shows the pleural fluid collection [1,2]. Parameters, such as patient symptoms, clinical past diagnoses, and effusion volume, are essential in the medical decision to perform thoracentesis, which will categorize the effusion as a transudate or an exudate and help identify the etiology of the effusion [1-3].

Since 1972, a diagnosis of an exudative pleural effusion has been established when the fluid characteristics meet one of Light's criteria, which include a pleural/serum protein ratio (PP/SP) > 0.5, a pleural/serum LDH level > 0.6 and a pleural LDH level over 2/3 of the reference value (or 200 IU) [4]. Few alternative biomarkers have been proposed to determine an exudative PE. Pleural cholesterol (PC) and the pleural/serum cholesterol ratio (PC/SC) have been found in the literature as alternative biomarkers to Light's criteria but without consistent data [5-7].

OBJECTIVES

General: To study PC and the PC/SC ratio as markers of an exudative pleural effusion.

Specific: To determine the specificity, sensitivity, and predictive values of pleural cholesterol in the diagnosis of exudative pleural effusions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was a case series of 100 consecutive patients with pleural effusions who attended the pleural disease center of a university hospital from March 2009 to March 2012.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Over 18 years of age.
- 2. Signed informed consent regarding the procedure.
- 3. Blood cell analysis and coagulation (TAP, PTT and INR), serum LDH, protein, glucose, amylase, bilirubin, cholesterol, and triglyceride tests.

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at Avenida 28 de Setembro, 77 – Vila Isabel – Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; Fax: 55.21.2868-8248; E-mail: rrufino.uerj@gmail.com

- 4. A chest radiograph with upright posteroanterior and lateral views and decubitus chest radiographs with a horizontal ray.
- 5. A diagnosis with the etiology of an exudative pleural effusion.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Hemodynamic instability.
- 2. Coagulopathies.
- 3. A bilateral pleural effusion with an established diagnosis of cardiac, hepatic or renal failure.
- 4. A unilateral, small-volume effusion, which would have complicated thoracentesis/pleural biopsy.

Biochemical Markers Used to Diagnose an Exudative Pleural Effusion

- 1. The effusion was considered to be exudative when the fluid properties met at least one of Light's criteria: a PP/SP ratio > 0.5; a pleural/serum LDH level > 0.6 or a pleural LDH level over 2/3 of the reference value (or 200 IU).
- 2. The effusion was considered to be exudative according to the following cholesterol criteria: $PC \ge 50 \text{ mg/dL}$ or a PC/SC ratio ≥ 0.4 .

Etiological Diagnosis

In 62 cases, we performed pleural biopsy using a Cope's needle. The effusion was considered malignant when there was positive cytopathology or a positive histopathology analysis. A tuberculosis diagnosis was made when the adenosine deaminase (ADA) level was over 40 U/L, acid-fast bacilli were found, a positive *Mycobacterium* sp. culture was detected in the fluid or tissue, or caseous granulomas were present according to the histopathological analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Only exudative pleural effusions with a final diagnosis of the etiology (gold standard) were included in the statistical analysis. Undetermined pleural effusions were not included in the analysis. The specificity, sensitivity and positive and negative predictive values of PC and the PC/SC ratio for the diagnosis of an exudative pleural effusion were determined. The agreement between Light's criteria and PC and the PC/SC ratio was analyzed, and each of the parameters in Light's criteria were analyzed. A ROC curve was generated using GraphPad Prism 6.0.

ETHICS

This study adhered to the current laws of Brazil and ethical practice in research. All of the patients consented to the procedure, and this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Pedro Ernesto University Hospital, Brazil, number 2612.

RESULTS

Of 100 patients who were screened, 79 met the inclusion criteria and were definitively diagnosed with an exudative pleural effusion when the effusion was analyzed based on Light's criteria. There were 34 females (43%) and 45 males

(57%). The median age was 54 years (range = 18-84 years). Overall, 48 patients were diagnosed with tuberculosis (61%), 25 patients with cancer (32%), 2 patients with empyema (3%), 1 patient with chylothorax (1%), 1 patient with amyloidosis (1%), 1 patient with a pancreatic pleural effusion (1%) and 1 patient with non-tuberculosis mycobacteriosis (1%) (Table 1). The mean pleural cholesterol level in the exudates was 90.39 mg/dL. Overall, 18 patients had a serum cholesterol level > 200 mg/dL. When each parameter was individually compared to Light's criteria, the following percent errors occurred in the diagnosis of the exudates: 5.97% for the LDH ratio, 7.04% for the protein ratio, 2.7% for PC, and 18.51% for the cholesterol ratio. PC had a sensitivity of 97.22%, a specificity of 85.71%, a positive predictive value of 98.59% and a negative predictive value of 75%. The PC/SC ratio indicated a sensitivity of 81.48%, a specificity of 57.14%, a positive predictive value of 93.61% and a negative predictive value of 28.57% (Table 2).

Causes of Exudate Effusion (n)	Absolute (n)	(%)
Tuberculosis	48	61
Neoplasia	25	32
Empyema	2	3
Mycobacterium avium	1	<1
Chylothorax	1	<1
Amyloidosis	1	<1
Pancreas pleural effusion	1	<1
Total	79	100

Fable 1.	Causes	of Lung	Effusion
----------	--------	---------	----------

Table 2. Comparative Analysis for Exudate Criteria

	Sensibility	Specificity	False Positive	False Negative	
PC	97.22%	85.71%	98.59%	75%	
PC/SC	81.48%	57.14%	93.61%	28.57%	

Legend: PC = pleural cholesterol; SC = serum cholesterol.

The cut-off for the diagnosis of the exudates using PC and the PC/SC ratio was determined (Table 3). Using Light's criteria (the LDH ratio) as a reference and the PC values, an ROC curve was generated (Fig. 1). In an ROC curve, the true positive rate (sensitivity) is plotted as a function of the falsepositive rate (specificity) for different cut-off points. Each point on the ROC curve represents a sensitivity/specificity pair that corresponds to a particular decision threshold. A test with perfect discrimination (no overlap between the two distributions) has an ROC curve that passes through the upper left corner (100% sensitivity and 100% specificity). The bias using the Bland-Altman plot was as follows: for PC/SC and pLDH/sLDH, 1.93 (SD 5.24), with limits of agreement (LA) from -8.36 to 12.19; for PC/SC and PP/SP, 0.11 (SD 0.17), with LA from -0.23 to 0.46; for PC and pLDH, -1097 (SD 4138), with LA from -9207 to 7012 (Fig. 2).

Table 3.Different Cut Off Values for Determining Exudative
Effusion

PC	Sensibility	Specificity	PC/SC	Sensibility	Specificity
35	98.21%	85.71%	0.2	98.14%	42.85%
40	98.21%	85.71%	0.25	96.29%	42.85%
45	97.22%	85.71%	0.3	88.88%	42.85%
50	97.22%	85.71%	0.35	88.88%	57.14%
55	94.44%	100%	0.4	81.48%	57.14%
60	93.05%	100%	0.45	77.77%	57.14%
65	88.88%	100%	0.5	66.66%	71.42%
70	87.50%	100%	0.55	59.25%	85.71%

Legend: PC = pleural cholesterol; SC = serum cholesterol.



Fig. (1). Curve of the sensitivity and specificity of pleural cholesterol. In a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve, the true positive rate (sensitivity) is plotted as a function of the false positive rate (specificity) for the different cut-off points. Each point on the ROC curve represents a sensitivity/specificity pair that corresponds to a particular decision threshold. A test with perfect discrimination (no overlap between the two distributions) has a ROC curve that passes through the upper left corner (100% sensitivity and 100% specificity). Therefore, the closer the ROC curve is to the upper left corner, the higher the overall accuracy of the test. This ROC curve has an area of 0.871 (SD 0.027), a confidence interval of 95% (0.819-0.923), and p < 0.0001.



Fig. (2). Bland-Altman plot for PC/SC and PP/SP. PC/SC could substitute PP/SP in the determination of the exudates.

Rufino et al.

DISCUSSION

Since 1881, physicians have proposed that an etiological diagnosis of a pleural effusion can be made by thoracentesis and that the characterization of the effusion is essential to therapeutic decision making. In addition, the importance of the pleural protein level (1931) and the LDH level (1957) has been recognized. In 1972, Light *et al.* published a study in which exudative effusions could be distinguished from transudative effusions using both parameters with a high sensitivity and an acceptable specificity [4].

The sensitivity of Light's criteria is approximately 100% for exudates. However, up to 30% of transudates are misclassified as exudates according to these criteria. This misclassification has severe consequences for the patient and can lead to additional diagnostic approaches, such as subsequent thoracentesis with biopsy, surgery. or thoracoscopy [8-11]. False exudative effusions are associated with previous diuretic use, which alters serum and biochemistry, including pleural the cholesterol concentration. In addition, a high number of thoracentesis procedures leads to an increase in pleural LDH and contributes to a false exudative diagnosis.

Other parameters that have been studied as possible alternatives to Light's criteria include the serum-pleural albumin gradient and the NT-ProBNP [12].

A precise and fast analysis is necessary to treat patients with pleural effusions. Exudative effusions were correctly identified in this study. Light's criteria are superior to pleural cholesterol despite the high sensitivity and positive predictive value of both cholesterol parameters. The specificity of pleural cholesterol was lower than that in previous studies [13-16]. The use of a single pleural biochemical marker to diagnose a pleural effusion is important because additional tests may not be needed, which would improve the benefit/cost ratio. However, the pleural LDH and protein values can be used as prognostic markers in pleurodesis surgery for patients with a chronic symptomatic pleural effusion [2,8]. PC has not been evaluated for these patients. In this study, there were 13 patients with hypercholesterolemia. In these cases, the PC/SC ratio can be used to offset the PC higher values.

CONCLUSION

The pleural cholesterol level can be used as an independent variable to diagnose an exudative effusion and can replace Light's criteria.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors did not have any conflict of interest to perform this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Sahn SA. The pleura: state of the art. Am Rev Resp Dis 1988; 138: 184-234.
- [2] Light RW. Pleural effusions. Med Clin North 2011; 95: 1055-70.
- [3] Light RW. Clinical practice: pleural effusion. N Engl J Med 2002; 346: 1971-7.

- [4] Light RW, MacGregor MI, Luchsinger PC, et al. Pleural effusions: the diagnostic separation of transudates and exudates. Ann Intern Med 1972; 77: 507-13.
- [5] Guleria R, Argarwal SR, Sinha S, *et al.* Role of pleural fluid cholesterol in differentiating transudative from exsudative pleural effusion. Nat Med India 2003; 16: 64-9.
- [6] Ortega L, Heredia JL, Armengol R, et al. The differential diagnosis between pleural exudates and transudates: the value of cholesterol. Med Clin 1991; 96: 367-70.
- [7] Costa M, Quiroga T, Cruz E. Measurement of pleura fluid cholesterol and lactate dehydrogenase: a simple and accurate test of indicators for separating exudates from transudates. Chest 1995; 108: 1260-3.
- [8] Hooper C, Lee YC, Maskell N, BTS Pleural, Guideline Group. Investigation of a unilateral pleural effusion in adults: British Thoracic Society Pleural Disease Guideline 2010. Thorax 2010; 65: ii4-17.
- [9] Gásquez I, Porcel JM, Vives M. Comparative analyses of Light's criteria and other biochemical parameters for distinguishing transudates from exudates. Respir Med 1998; 92: 762-5.

Received: January 30, 2014

Revised: March 11, 2014

Accepted: March 11, 2014

© Rufino et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [10] Romero-Candeira S, Fernández C, Martin C, Sanchez-Paya J, Hernandez L. Influence of diuretics on the concentration of proteins and other components of pleural transudates in patients with heart failure. Am J Med 2001; 110: 681-6.
- [11] Romero- Candeira S, Hernandes L. The separation of transudate and transudate with particular reference to the protein gradient. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2004; 10: 294-8.
- [12] Yorgancioglu A, Alpaydin AO, Yaman N, et al. Serum and pleural fluid N-Terminal-Pro-B-Type natriuretic peptide concentrations in the differential diagnosis of pleural effusions. Tüberküloz ve Toraks Dergisi 2011; 59: 1-7.
- [13] Heffner JE, Highland K, Brown LK. A meta-analysis derivation of continuous likehood ratios for diagnosis pleural fluid exudates. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003; 167: 1591-9.
- [14] Abramowitz Y, Simanovsky N, Goldstein MS, et al. Pleural effusion: characterization with CT attenuation values and CT appearance. AJR 2009; 192: 618-23.
- [15] Porcel G, Light RW. Diagnostic approach to pleural effusion in adults. Am Fam Physician 2006; 73: 1211-20.
- [16] Huggins JT. Chylothorax and cholesterol pleural effusion. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 31: 743-50.