Comparison of Reproductive Outcome of Falloposcopic Tuboplasty for the Proximal Tubal Obstruction with or without Chlamydia Trachomatis Infection
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Abstract: Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infection is a common cause of tubal factor infertility, and is considered a contraindication for tubal surgery. In vitro fertilization with embryo transfer (IVF/ET) is the most widely used treatment for tubal factor infertility, including that caused by CT infection. Falloposcopy to re-canalize occluded tubes may also be useful therapeutically. It is unclear, however, what effect CT infection has on its efficacy. We examined the relationship between the efficacy of Falloposcopic tuboplasty (FT) and CT infection, retrospectively. Of 38 patients with tubal obstruction in which the surgery was performed, with follow-up, 21 patients had CT infections while 17 patients were not infected. In the Chlamydia positive group (CT+), 38 tubes were treated with FT and 29 tubes were treated in the Chlamydia negative group (CT-). Re-canalization rates per tube was 60% in the CT+ group and 79% in the CT- group. The success rate per case was 90% in the CT+ group and 94% in the CT- group. Cases were followed-up using either hysterosalpingography or second look laparoscopy, and a post-operative patency rate of 56% in the CT+ group and 75% in the CT- group was observed. In patients in followed for two years or more, the CT+ group had 5 spontaneous pregnancies (36%) and the CT- group had 9 spontaneous pregnancies (60%). There was a trend to better outcome in the CT- group, but there was no significant statistical difference between the two groups. In Conclusion, CT infection decreases fertility after FT. Despite CT infection having a negative impact on FT, the CT+ group had a post-operative pregnancy rate of 35.7%, which was comparable to IVF-ET. Given this, we recommend FT for patients who have isolated tubal occlusion before beginning IVF/ET, even if the patients were previously infected with CT.
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INTRODUCTION

Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infection is a common cause of tubal factor infertility, and is considered a contraindication for tubal surgery [1]. In vitro fertilization with embryo transfer (IVF/ET) is the most widely used treatment for tubal factor infertility, including that caused by CT infection. Falloposcopy to re-canalize occluded tubes may also be useful therapeutically [2]. It is unclear, however, what effect CT infection has on its efficacy. In this report, we examined the relationship between fertility outcome and pre-operative CT infection.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Thirty eight patients were included in this study. All had bilateral proximal tubal obstruction diagnosed by hysterosalpingography (HSG). All underwent Falloposcopic tuboplasty (FT) between December 1995 and November 2002 in Tohoku University hospital.

CT infection status was ascertained in all patients either with serological testing for CT IgA antibody and/or the presence of cervical CT antigen prior to surgery. The patients were classified into two groups based on whether or not they had evidence of Chlamydia infection. One patient whose partner had a sperm factor was excluded from this report.

The CT positive patients were treated with antibiotics for at least 2 weeks prior to surgery. The FT procedure was performed with laparoscopy under general anesthesia. In all patients, tubal occlusion was confirmed with indigo carmine dye hydrotubation under laparoscopy. The FT procedure was not used for false occlusion cases.

The FT procedure was performed using the linear evertine (LE) catheter system (Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The LE catheter system consisted of an outer catheter sheath and an inner body attached to a tubular, balloon-like rolling membrane as described previously [3, 4]. A high resolution Fallooscope, having an image bundle of 2000 pixels and an outer diameter of 0.5mm, was then passed through the central canal of the LE catheter and connected to a video camera and light source.

The balloon of the LE catheter delivered the Falloscope into the tubal lumen to a maximum length of 10cm. The LE catheter containing the Fallooscope was advanced forward and into the Fallopian tube after balloon expansion and performance of the sliding procedure. Irrigation with a physiological saline solution was delivered through the catheter by an irrigation pump.

The entire procedure was observed under laparoscopy, and the successful re-canalization was judged by the outflow of dye from the tubal end. Re-canalization of at least one tube constituted a successful case.

The treated patients were evaluated by second look laparoscopy or HSG after surgery and the patency of the tube
checked. The pregnancy rate was calculated for patients who were followed up for two years or more.

We report the re-canalization rate per tube, rate of successful cases, post-operative patency rate per tubes, and post-operative pregnancy rate per cases.

This report was a retrospective study. Data was assembled from chart reviews, patient questionnaires and by a telephone interview. Data were compared with the chi-square exact test for categorical comparisons. A P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical program StatView® for Macintosh (Version 4.5 StatView, Abacus Concepts, Inc.).

RESULTS

Of the 38 patients who underwent FT surgery with follow-up, 21 cases had a pre-operative CT infection and 17 cases did not (Table 1). The total number of treated tubes was 67 tubes in 38 patients. In the CT positive group (CT+), 38 tubes in 21 patients were treated with FT. In the CT negative group (CT-), 29 tubes in 17 patients were treated with FT (Table 1). The re-canalization rates per tube were 60% (23/38) in the CT+ group, and 79% (23/29) in the CT- group.

Successful cases were defined as those in which the tube was re-canalized on at least one side. The Success rate per case was 90% (19/21) in the CT+ group and 94% (16/17) in the CT- group.

Of those tubes evaluated postoperatively with HSG or second look laparoscopy, the postoperative patency rates were 56% (10/18) per tube in the CT+ group and 75% (12/16) per tube in the CT- group (Table 2).

We were able to follow 29 of the patients (14 cases of CT+ and 15 cases of CT-) for two years or more. Of these patients, 5 in the CT+ group (35%) became pregnant without IVF/ET and 9 in the CT- group (60%) became pregnant without IVF/ET (Table 2). Ectopic pregnancy occurred in one of the 5 cases (20%) in the CT- Group and two of the 9 cases (22%) in the CT- group. The difference in pregnancy rate between these groups was not, however, statistically significant. All of the non IVF/ET pregnancies in this study occurred within a two year period of the FT procedure. In the CT+ group, almost all pregnancies except one had occurred between one and two years from surgery (Table 2). There were no natural pregnancies two years after the FT procedure in either group. 80% of pregnancies occurred between one and two years after surgery.

DISCUSSION

Fallopian tubal occlusion is usually used diagnostically to assess the patency of the tubal lumen. It is a more reliable method than HSG to confirm proximal occlusion and to rule out the false positive cases [5-8]. Recently, FT has also proven to be a highly useful, minimally invasive novel treatment for tubal infertility [2].

CT infection has a well documented association with PID and subsequent infertility [1, 9-11]. CT may produce an asymptomatic infection in the female genital tract which is associated with silent tubal infection and tubal damage. Indeed, several studies suggest that serologic evidence of Chlamydia infection is related to an increased risk of asymptomatic tubal disease [9, 12]. Whether the diagnosis and treatment of asymptomatic Chlamydia positive patients improves fecundity remains unsolved [13]. Thus, many gynecologists are pressured to choose IVF/ET tubal surgery for treating patients with tubal occlusion and a history of CT infection [14].

In this report, we examined the efficacy of FT in the setting of CT infection. CT infection is generally accepted as a contraindication to tubal surgery. Indeed, the CT+ group had worse results that the CT- group in all areas studied. However, there were no significant differences between the two groups. The effect of CT infection on the pregnancy rate was also analyzed. Although the rate of successful FT re-canalization per case, and the follow-up patency and post

### Table 1. Background of Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT(-) Group</th>
<th>CT(+) Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>17 patients</td>
<td>21 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>32+1.0</td>
<td>20+0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary or Secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertility</td>
<td>Prim.12 cases, Sec. 5 cases</td>
<td>Prim.12 cases, Sec. 9 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of occluded tubes</td>
<td>29 tubes</td>
<td>38 tubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis(+).</td>
<td>3/17 (17.6%)</td>
<td>2/21 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRM Adhesion classification</td>
<td>6.8±2.3</td>
<td>16.6±5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Successful cases were defined as those in which the tube was re-canalized on at least one side. The Success rate per case was 90% (19/21) in the CT+ group and 94% (16/17) in the CT- group.

### Table 2. Post Surgical Results and Post Surgical Pregnancy Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT(-) Group</th>
<th>CT(+) Group</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Success rate(^1)</td>
<td>16/17 (94%)</td>
<td>19/21 (90%)</td>
<td>n.s (p=0.6789)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recanalization rate(^2)</td>
<td>23/29 (79%)</td>
<td>23/38 (60%)</td>
<td>n.s.(p=0.1005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post operative Patency Rate(^3)</td>
<td>12/16 (75%)</td>
<td>10/18 (56%)</td>
<td>n.s.(p=0.0122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post operative pregnancy rate(^4)</td>
<td>9/15 (60%)</td>
<td>5/14 (35.7%)</td>
<td>n.s.(p=0.1909)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post operative ectopic pregnancy rate(^5)</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>1/5 (20%)</td>
<td>n.s.(p=0.8351)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Success rate: The rate of cases in which recanalization was successful in one or both tubes.

\(^2\) Recanalization rate: successful recanalization per number of tubes attempted.

\(^3\) Post operative Patency Rate: Patency rate per recanalized tubes evaluated by follow up HSG or second look laparoscopy.

\(^4\) Post operative pregnancy rate: pregnancy rates of patients who were followed for six months or more among the cases in which recanalization was successful.

\(^5\) Post operative ectopic pregnancy rate: ectopic pregnancy cases among the post operative pregnancy group.
operative non-IVF/ET pregnancy rates of the CT negative group were higher than in the positive group, there was no significant difference between the two groups. In the CT+ patients, the post-operative pregnancy rate was 35% and was comparable to that achieved by IVF/ET. There were no spontaneously pregnant patients two years after the FT procedure in either group, and 80% (4/5) of the pregnancies were concentrated between one and two years after surgery in the CT+ group. The overall ectopic pregnancy rate was 22%. This rate was very high. However, the rate between these groups was not statistically significant. We have been analyzing the data, and there is considerable correlation between pelvic adhesion score and ectopic pregnancy (the data was not shown in this report). Based on this study, we propose that CT+ patients with proximal tubal occlusion should be treated with FT prior to undergoing IVF/ET for isolated tubal factor infertility. Ideally, the patient should then attempt become pregnant spontaneously for at least one to two years after surgery prior to moving to IVF.

CONCLUSION

This study shows that CT infection reduced the success rate of the FT procedure; however, this difference was not statistically significant. Therefore, we recommend FT as the first line treatment before IVF/ET, even in patients with tubal occlusion in the setting of CT infection.
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