

Upregulation of Adhesion Molecules Sustains Matrix-Free Growth of Human Embryonic Stem Cells

Narmin Bigdeli^{1,*,#}, Giuseppe Maria de Peppo^{2,3,#}, Camilla Karlsson^{2,3}, Maria Lennerås^{2,3,4}, Raimund Strehl⁵, Johan Hyllner⁶ and Anders Lindahl^{1,2}

¹Department of Clinical Chemistry and Transfusion Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden

²Biomatcell VINN Excellence Center of Biomaterials and Cell Therapy, Gothenburg, Sweden

³Department of Biomaterials, Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

⁴*Tataa Biocenter AB, Gothenburg, Sweden*

⁵*Verigraft AB, Arvid Wallgrens Backe 20, 413 46 Gothenburg, Sweden*

⁶Division of Biotechnology/IFM, Linköping University, 581 83 Linköping, Sweden

Received: September 4, 2018

Revised: October 11, 2018

Accepted: October 16, 2018

Abstract:

Background:

Despite recent advances in culture techniques for undifferentiated human Embryonic Stem Cells (hESCs), further improvements are required to facilitate research and translation of these cells in clinical settings. We have previously derived hESC lines that can be cultured in their undifferentiated state on regular plastic culture dishes, without the need for feeder cells or other coating supports, denoted Matrix-Free Growth hESCs (MFG-hESCs).

Objective:

In this study, we further characterize and compare MFG-hESCs to hESCs in order to understand the molecular differences responsible for the unique ability of MFG-hESCs.

Results:

Microarray analysis demonstrated that MFG-hESCs highly resemble feeder-cultured hESCs in global gene expression profile. Two identified groups of genes with differential expression were those encoding for ribosomal proteins and attachment proteins, such as the RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp)-associated proteins. Real-time PCR and flow cytometry corroborated the microarray results. Culture of MFG-hESCs in the presence of RGD peptides resulted in decreased attachment ability compared to cells cultured in the presence of RGES (Arg-Gly-Asp-Ser) peptides.

Conclusion:

This study demonstrates that MFG-hESC lines overexpress cell attachment proteins but retain the typical characteristics of undifferentiated feeder-cultured hESCs. The ability to culture high-quality pluripotent stem cells in feeder- and matrix-free conditions creates a new opportunities for their large-scale manufacturing for experimental research and translational applications.

Keywords: Attachment proteins, Cell therapy, Human embryonic stem cells, Integrins, Matrix-free culture, Microarray analysis, Regenerative medicine, RGD-associated proteins.

* Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Clinical Chemistry and Transfusion Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine, Sahlgrenska

University Hospital, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden, Tel: +46313430019; E-mails: narmin.bigdeli@medic.gu.se; narmin.bigdeli@gu.se [#] Both authors contributed equally in this study.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human embryonic Stem Cells (hESCs) self-renew and can differentiate into all cells constituting the human body [1, 2]. Owing to these properties, hESCs can be used to study development and disease and further to regenerate and restore functionality of damaged or malfunctioning tissues and organs. After successful derivation and culture of hESCs lines in the late 90's [1], the interest for pluripotent stem cells has grown exponentially, culminating in the derivation of human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) via reprogramming of somatic cells in 2006 [3, 4]. The ability to maintain the stemness properties of pluripotent stem cells during long-term culture, and yet induce differentiation into multiple lineages, is a prerequisite for disease modelling, drug screening and therapeutic cell replacements [5, 6]. However, substantial advances in cell manufacturing technologies are required before hESCs can be employed for the treatment of human medical conditions. Development of simple culture procedures, cost-effectiveness, phenotypic stability under defined xeno-free culture conditions, and compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) are some of the issues that must be addressed. Traditionally, hESCs were cultured on mouse embryonic fibroblast (mEF) feeder layers in serum containing media [1]. However, due to concerns on mouse-human transfer of viruses [7] and immunogenic epitopes, such as N-glycolylneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc) non-human sialic acid [8], a variety of human tissues have been tested in order to find suitable feeder layer replacements [9]. For instance, human foreskin fibroblasts have been used to isolate xeno-free and clinical-grade hESC lines [10]. However, batch-to-batch variability of isolated feeder cells still remains a concern for the consistent large-scale manufacturing of hESCs [11]. As an alternative to feeder cells, the ability of extracellular matrix (ECM) components to support hESC attachment and proliferation has been evaluated, such as Matrigel[™] [12, 13], Geltrex[®] [14], fibronectin, laminin, entactin, and vitronectin [7, 15, 16]. Unfortunately, these substrates are expensive, require cold storage and preparation prior to cell culture, lose their biological properties when dehydrated, and do not meet the requirements for clinical translation.

We have previously reported the derivation of hESCs capable to attach and grow directly on regular plastic culture dishes without the need for feeder cells or other supportive substrate materials. These cells, denoted matrix-free growth adapted hESCs (MFG-hESCs), highly resemble feeder-cultured hESCs in morphology, expression of pluripotency markers, ability to differentiate into tissues of all three germ layers and form teratoma *in vivo* while retaining a normal karyotype [17, 18].

However, no knowledge exists on the molecular changes that are responsible for the unique ability of MFG-hESCs to attach and grow on regular plastic culture dishes in their undifferentiated state. Therefore, in this study, we have further characterized and compared MFG-hESCs with feeder-cultured hESCs with the aim to unveil the mechanisms underlying the MFG-hESCs properties. MFG-hESCs and feeder-cultured hESCs were expanded in their respective culture conditions, and subjected to microarray analysis to compare their gene expression profile and identify differentially expressed genes that could account for the phenotypic characteristics of MFG-hESCs. Real-time PCR, flow cytometry, and functional assays were used to verify the microarray findings.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Establishment and Culture of hESCs

Three undifferentiated hESCs lines were used as a control and compared to two feeder-free MFG-hESC lines. The undifferentiated feeder-cultured hESC lines (SA167 at passage 30, SA461 at passage 50, and SA002 at passage 34) used in this study were derived and characterized at Cellartis AB (Gothenburg, Sweden) [19 - 21]. Detailed protocols are available at www.cellartis.com. The undifferentiated MFG-hESC lines (SA167MFG at passage 14 and AS034.1MFG at passage 53) used in this study were derived and characterized in our laboratory as previously described [17].

2.2. Feeder-Free Culture of hESCs

We recently reported the derivation of two feeder- and matrix-free hESC lines [17]. In brief, cultured hESC lines were transferred from mEF layers to Matrigel[™] (Matrix Thin Layer; Becton Dickinson, Bedford, MA, USA) [20], and subsequently adapted to adhere and grow on regular plastic culture dishes by treating the cells with neonatal chondrocyte (NC)-conditioned hESC [17]. Thereafter, the MFG-hESC lines were cultured directly on plastic surfaces without any supportive coating in the presence of fibroblast-conditioned hES medium [17], composed of KnockOut[™] Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (KO-DMEM; Gibco-BRL/Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) containing 20%

Bigdeli et al.

KnockOutTM serum replacement (KO-SR; Gibco-BRL/Invitrogen), 2 mM L-Glutamine (Gibco-BRL/Invitrogen), 0.1 mM β -mercaptoethanol (Gibco-BRL/Invitrogen) and 1% nonessential amino acids (NEAA; Gibco-BRL/Invitrogen) on Primaria[®] dishes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA). Cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂ (Heraeus BBD6220). The medium was changed every two days.

2.3. RNA Isolation

For RNA isolation, the hESC lines SA167, SA461 and SA002 were mechanically dissociated using a "Stem Cell Tool" (Swemed Lab International AB, Billdal, Sweden), while MFG-hESC lines SA167MFG and AS034.1MFG were dissociated using collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich). Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy[®] Minikit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNAse treatment was performed in order to eliminate any contamination from genomic DNA according to Qiagen RNase Free DNase Set (QIAGEN GmbH) protocol.

2.4. Microarray Analysis

Global gene expression analysis was conducted using the Affymetrix oligonucleotide microarray HG-U133plus2.0 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 2 μ g of the total RNA were used to synthesize biotin-labeled cRNA. Ten μ g of fragmented cRNA were then hybridized to GeneChips for 16 h at 45°C. Washing, staining and scanning of the microarrays were performed using the Affymetrix GeneChip equipment. Raw expression data were normalized and subsequently analyzed with the GeneChip Operating Software 1.4 (GCOS, Affymetrix). Comparative and statistical analyses were performed with the BIORETIS web tool (http://www.bioretis-analysis.de). Only genes were selected for further analysis if more than 80% of the single comparisons per group comparison were detected as significantly changed by the GCOS, and the genes displayed a mean fold change (FC) \geq 3. Functional classification was conducted with annotations from the Gene Ontology Annotation Database (GOA). The expression of 40 genes specifically expressed in hESCs compared to differentiated cell types, and 48 genes over-expressed in hESCs compared to differentiated cell types, and 48 genes over-expressed in hESCs compared to differentiated cell types was investigated. Expression differences were given as FC. The significance level was determined by applying the Welch's t-test on log2-transformed signal values. To visualize the similarity in global gene expression across the investigated samples, scatter plots were generated between average signals of pairs of samples using the standard function in R.

2.5. Analysis of Protein Interaction Network

To investigate the possible interactions among proteins from differentially regulated genes (defined by having a mean FC \geq 3) between MFG-hESC and hESC lines, the search tool STRING was used to mine for recurring instances of neighbouring genes. STRING aims to collect, predict, and unify various types of protein-protein associations, including direct (physical) and indirect (functional) associations. A gene of interest was classified as a hub if it had \geq 5 interactions with other genes [22]. As a default, STRING uses four different sources (genomic context, high-throughput experiments, coexpression, and previous knowledge) to derive protein interaction maps. However, in order to increase the validity of the study, only experimentally determined protein interactions were included in the analysis.

2.6. Real-time PCR

Real-time PCR was used to verify the results obtained from the microarray analysis for the expression of *NANOG*, *OCT4*, *TDGF1*, *SOX2*, *GAL*, *IGFBP7*, *COL5A2* and *COL11A1*. Primers for these genes were designed using the Primer3 web-based software. Design parameters were adjusted to minimize the formation of artifact products and to be able to use an annealing temperature of 60°C. Primers were designed to yield short amplicons (preferably shorter than 200 bp) and to function well with SYBR Green I fluorescent dye for detection of the PCR products in real-time. Assays and detailed protocols are available from TATAA Biocenter AB (Göteborg, Sweden; http://www.tataa.com). Real-time PCR was performed in duplicates using the Mastercycler ep realplex (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 min followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s. The fluorescence was read at the end of the 72°C step. Melting curves were presented as normalized to the number of cells using the expression of the 18S ribosomal subunit. Normalized relative quantities were calculated using the delta Cq method and 90% PCR efficiency (k*1.9^{Δcq}). Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test. P-values <0.05 (*) were considered statistically significant differences.

2.7. Flow Cytometry Analysis

Flow cytometry was used to verify the microarray results obtained for the expression of ITGB3, ITGB6, CD58, CD47, and CD44. Briefly, cells were resuspended in 100 µl of PBS (0,1% bovine serum albumin; Sigma-Aldrich), stained with primary antibodies (all from R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK), and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 15 min. For all analysis, cells were detached using trypsin, washed twice with PBS, and then treated with the proper fluorochrome-labeled antibodies. After incubation for 15 min at room temperature in the dark, samples were analyzed by flow cytometry within 1 h. All samples were analyzed using the FACS Aria flow cytometer equipped with the FACS Diva software. A 488 nm argon ion laser was used to excite samples, with emission being measured using appropriate bandpass filters. A threshold was set on the scattered light to exclude events associated with debris and cell aggregates. As a negative control, isotype-specific antibodies conjugated to the fluorochromes were used in order to exclude events associated with non-specific fluorescence. Cells displaying fluorescence higher than 99% of the cells stained with isotype antibodies were considered positively stained.

2.8. RGD peptide Cultures

To functionally demonstrate the role of adhesion molecules on the MFG-hESCs ability to grow on regular plastic culture dishes, cells were detached to single cell suspensions using trypsin, and cultured with conditioned hESC medium (described above) containing 500 μ g/ml of RGD peptide (Sigma-Aldrich, Stockholm, Sweden). Conditioned hESC medium (described above) containing 500 μ g/ml of RGES peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a control. The cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂ (Heraeus BBD6220). The number of attached cells was counted manually in both culture conditions using a contrast microscope (Nikon, Japan) 24 h after seeding. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test. P-values <0.05 (*) were considered statistically significant differences.

3. RESULTS

Following expansion on regular plastic culture dishes, MFG-hESCs look morphologically equivalent to hESCs cultured on mEFs (Fig. 1).



Fig. (1). Morphology of hESCs (SA167) cultured on mouse feeder cells and SA167MFG cultured on tissue culture plastic. Abbreviations: hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells.

3.1. Global gene expression comparison shows a significantly higher expression of several RDG-associated genes in MFG-hESCs

Global analysis of microarray data demonstrates that MFG-hESCs and hESCs display a similar gene expression

profile, with 74% of the genes exhibiting a FC \leq 3 (Fig. 2a) and a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.94. Protein-protein interaction analysis of differentially expressed genes (FC \geq 3) identifies 11 hub genes with increased expression in MFG-hESCs compared to hESCs, including the ribosomal genes *RPLP2*, *RPL38*, *RPL37A*, *RPL27A*, *RPS11*, *RPS15*, *IF2*, *nPL14* and *EIF3S5*, and two genes belonging to the family of integrins, such as *ITGB5* and *CAV1* (Fig. 2b). For genes with a decreased expression in MFG-hESCs, five clusters are identified, of which one contains the *NUP133* and *NUP100* genes and the other the *JUN*, *MXI2*, *NS1*, *FUS*, *DHX9*, *HNRPU*, *HNRPH1*, *SF3B1*, *PRPF6*, and *SFRS11* hub genes (Fig. 2c).



Fig. (2). Scatter plot (a) where genes within the red lines indicate a FC of less than ± 3 and blue dots indicate genes characteristic of feeder-cultured hESCs (also shown in Table 1 and MFG-hESCs. Hub protein network of genes overexpressed (b) and underexpressed (c) in MFG-hESCs compared to feeder-cultured hESCs with a FC ≥ 3 . Proteins are identified as hubs if they have at least five experimentally determined protein interactions among the products of the up-regulated genes. Red circle = hub protein. Abbreviations: FC, fold change; hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells.

Genes specifically expressed in hESCs, including *NANOG*, *OCT4*, and *TDGF1* display a similar level of expression in both MFG-hESCs and hESCs (Table **1a**). *RBM14* is the only gene differentially regulated, showing significantly lower expression in MFG-hESCs compared to hESCs. When studying the genes that are known for their low expression in hESCs compared to differentiated cells, only 5 out of 30 genes appear to be differentially regulated, with a higher expression in MFG-hESCs. These genes include *BMP4*, *COL11A1*, *COL5A2*, *COL6A3*, and *IGFBP7* (Table **1b**). When analyzing genes overexpressed in hESCs compared to differentiated cells, the results show that 4 out of 47 genes are

differentially expressed in MFG-hESCs and hESCs, such as *PHF17*, *PSIP1*, *SNRPN*, and *USP9X*, which all display an FC<4 (Table 1c). The most differentially expressed genes comparing MFG-hESCs and hESCs are also investigated and summarized in Table 2.

Table 1. Microarray results of genes specifically expressed in hESCs (a), or underexpressed (b) and overexpressed (c) in hESCs compared to differentiated cell types for feeder-cultured hESCs and MFG-hESCs. A positive FC represents genes with increased expression in MFG-hESCs compared to feeder-cultured hESCs.

la.			
Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
ABHD9	Abhydrolase domain containing 9	7.7E-01	-1.09
C14orf115	Chromosome 14 open reading frame 115	1.0E-03	1.30
CDC25A	Cell division cycle 25 homolog A	3.6E-02	-1.92
CHEK2	CHK2 checkpoint homolog	9.5E-01	-1.01
CLDN6	Claudin 6	1.1E-02	-1.53
CXorf15	Chromosome X open reading frame 15	7.7E-02	0.52
CYP26A1	Cytochrome P450, family 26, subfamily A1	1.8E-01	-3.59
DNMT3A	DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 alpha	7.0E-02	0.41
DTYMK	Deoxythymidylate kinase	3.0E-01	-0.90
EPHA1	EPH receptor A1	1.1E-01	-0.12
ETV4	Ets variant gene	2.6E-01	-1.56
GDF3	Growth differentiation factor 3	2.9E-01	-1.32
GPR19	G protein-coupled receptor 19	4.6E-01	-1.30
HELLS	Helicase	3.1E-01	0.18
HESX1	HESX homeobox 1	2.0E-04	-2.42
LIN28	Lin-28 homolog	3.3E-01	-1.06
MCM10	Minichromosome maintenance complex 10	3.2E-02	-0.57
MYBL2	V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene-like 2	1.8E-01	-1.50
NANOG	Nanog homeobox	5.9E-01	-1.14
ORCL1	Origin recognition complex, subunit 1-like	1.0E-21	-2.30
ORCL2	Origin recognition complex, subunit 2-like	1.0E-21	-1.46
OCT-4	Octamer-binding transcription factor 4	3.1E-01	-1.13
PRDM14	PR domain containing 14	3.1E-01	1.27
RBM14	RNA binding motif protein 14	1.0E-21	-4.54
SLC5A6	Solute carrier family 5 member 6	1.0E-21	-2.28
TDGF1	Teratocarcinoma-derived growth factor 1	2.9E-01	1.29
TMEM137	Transmembrane protein 137	1.0E-21	2.76
ZIC3	Zic family member 3	4.4E-03	-1.55

Abbreviations: FC, fold change. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells. MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells.

Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
ACTA2	Actin, alpha 2, smooth muscle, aorta	3.3E-01	2.29
BMP1	Bone morphogenetic protein 1	3.7E-01	-0.16
BMP4	Bone morphogenetic protein 4	4.3E-03	10.24
CD47	CD47 molecule	2.0E-02	3.23
CDKN1A	Cyclin-dependent	4.3E-01	1.70
COL11A1	Collagen, type XI, alpha 1	5.0E-04	4.86
COLIAI	Collagen, type I, alpha 1	1.9E-01	3.77
COL1A2	Collagen, type I, alpha 2	3.1E-02	2.43
COL2A1	Collagen, type II, alpha 1	1.4E-01	-4.51
COL3A1	Collagen, type III, alpha 1	4.6E-01	-0.51
COL5A1	Collagen, type V, alpha 1	5.8E-02	1.94
COL5A2	Collagen, type V, alpha 2	1.0E-04	3.99
COL6A3	Collagen, type VI, alpha 2	1.0E-21	3.25
CST3	Cystanic C	2.1E-01	-1.64

(Table 1) contd.....

Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
CXCL14	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14	7.3E-02	2.99
DCN	Decorin	5.1E-02	2.35
HAND1	Heart and neural crest derivatives 1	2.0E-01	1.82
KRT8	Keratin 8	9.3E-02	1.53
IGF2	Insulin-like growth factor 2	1.8E-01	2.08
IGFBP3	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3	8.0E-04	2.85
IGFBP7	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7	3.2E-03	27.68
IL6ST	Interleukin 6 signal transducer	3.3E-01	1.19
KRT18	Keratin 18	1.9E-02	1.69
KRT19	Keratin 19	2.9E-01	1.39
KRT7	Keratin 7	1.9E-01	2.45
LUM	Lumican	8.9E-01	1.25
NDRG1	N-myc downstream regulated gene 1	1.9E-03	1.74
P4HA2	Procollagen-proline	1.0E-04	2.19
RHOBTB3	Rho-related BTB domain containing 3	2.5E-01	-0.42
SPARC	Osteonectin	7.1E-02	1.46

Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	F
AASS	Aminoadipate-semialdehyde synthase	4.0E-04	3.0
ALPL	Alkaline phosphatase, live/bone/kidney	8.6E-02	-1.
BMPR1A	Bone morphogenetic protein receptor, type IA	1.2E-01	-1.
BUB1	BUB1 budding uninhibited by benzimidazoles 1	1.0E-04	1.:
CEBPZ	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein zeta	8.0E-01	-1.
CRMP1	Collapsin response mediator protein 1	3.1E-01	-1.
CYP26A1	Cytochrome P450, family 26, subfamily A1	1.8E-01	-3.
DNMT3B	DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 3 beta	1.8E-01	1.4
DPPA4	Developmental pluripotency associated	4.4E-02	1.4
GABRB3	GABA A receptor, beta 3	2.6E-01	1.
GAL	Galanin prepropeptide	3.2E-03	1.
GDF3	Growth differentiation factor 3	2.9E-01	-1.
GPC4	Glypican 4	1.0E-04	2.
HELLS	Helicase	3.1E-01	0.
HRASLS3	HRAS-like suppressor 3	2.3E-01	1.
HSPA4	Heat shock 70kDa protein 4	4.0E-04	1.
INDO	Indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3 dioxygenase	3.2E-01	1.
ITGB1BP3	Integrin beta 1 binding protein 3	2.1E-01	1.
LECT1	Leukocyte cell derived chemotaxin 1	2.6E-01	1.
LEFTYI	Left-right determination factor	1.3E-01	3.
LIN28	Lin28 homolog	3.3E-01	1.
LOC730017		3.9E-01	1.
M6PR	Mannose-6-phosphate receptor	1.0E-21	-1
MCM5	Minichromosome maintenance complex 5	1.0E-04	-1.
MGST1	Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 1	1.5E-01	1.
MSH2	MutS Homolog 2	1.0E-21	-1
MTHFD1	Methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase	2.7E-01	-1
NANOG	Nanog homeobox	5.9E-01	-1.
NASP	Nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein	6.0E-01	1.
ORC1L	Origin recognition complex, subunit 1-like	1.0E-21	-2
PHF17	PHD finger protein	1.0E-21	3.
PIM2	Pim-2 oncogene	3.0E-04	3.
OCT-4	Octamer-binding transcription factor 4	3.1E-01	-1.
PPAT	Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate amidotransferase	1.0E-21	1.
PSIP1	PC4 and SFRS1 interacting protein 1	1.0E-21	3.

The Integrin-Mediated Matrix-Free Growth of Human Embryonic Stem Cells

The Open Stem Cell Journal, 2018, Volume 5 21

(Table 1) contd	
-----------------	--

Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
SEMA6A	Sema domanin 6A	2.0E-01	1.41
SEPHS1	Selenophosphate synthetase 1	1.4E-01	1.29
SLC16A1	Solute carrier family 16, member 1	2.6E-03	1.81
SNRPN	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N	1.0E-21	3.56
SNURF	SNRPN upstream reading frame	1.3E-01	-1.18
SOX2	SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 2	3.3E-01	2.02
TFGF1	Teratocarcinoma-derived growth factor 1	2.9E-01	1.29
TERF1	Telomeric repeat binding factor 1	2.8E-01	1.34
UGP2	UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase 2	7.3E-03	1.62
UNG	Uracil-DNA glycosylase	1.3E-01	1.17
USP9X	Ubiquitin specific peptidase 9. X-linked	1.0E-21	3.94
ZIC3	Zic family member 3	4.4E-03	1.55

Table 2. Microarray results displaying the most differentially expressed genes between feeder-cultured hESCs and MFG-hESCs (FC>10). A positive FC represents genes with increased expression in MFG-hESCs compared to feeder-cultured hESCs.

Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
OPN/SPP1	Osteopontin / Secreted phosphoprotein 1	1.4E-04	54.03
TMEFF2	Transmembrane protein with EGF-like domains 2	1.9E-11	45.25
IGFBP7	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7	3.2E-05	39.40
NRK	Nik related kinase	1.8E-03	30.79
CD58 / LFA-3	Lymphocyte function-associated antigen3	6.3E-12	25.99
CTHRC1	Collagen triple helix repeat containing 1	2.7E-04	25.40
ANXA1	Annexin A1	2.9E-05	23.34
EIF5B	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5B	3.1E-14	20.79
CDC2	Cell division cycle 2	4.6E-09	19.40
ANKRD12	ankyrin repeat domain-containing protein 12	1.1E-12	18.24
COL12A1	Collagen, type XII, alpha 1	1.8E-08	14.93
FAM76B	Family with sequence similarity 76, member B	5.6E-20	14.93
HPGD	Hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase 15-(NAD)	1.6E-03	14.15
NUDCD2	NudC domain containing 2	1.7E-19	13.40
DNAJA5	DnaJ homology subfamily A member 5	1.2E-04	13.30
TWIST1	Twist-related protein 1	2.5E-07	12.41
OR5T2	Olfactory receptor, family 5, subfamily T, member 2	1.6E-11	12.31
FANCB	Fanconi anemia, complementation group B	6.4E-10	11.31
MRPS15	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein S15	3.4E-13	11.06
TXN	Thioredoxin	1.9E-13	11.06
FKBP5	FK506 binding protein 5	4.3E-11	-17.55
PTPN11	Protein tyrosine phosphatase	6.2E-07	-17.68
ZMYND11	Zinc finger, MYND domain containing 11	1.6E-11	-18.10
TP53BP1	Tumor protein p53 binding protein, 1	5.4E-08	-18.38
PLK4	Polo-like kinase 4 (Drosophila)	3.4E-07	-19.70
LMANI	Lectin, mannose-binding, 1	2.2E-05	-20.63
ERBB2	Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2	7.4E-16	-23.52
NUMA1	Nuclear mitotic apparatus protein 1	5.6E-15	-23.88
NUP188	Nucleoporin 188kDa	2.5E-10	-25.79
FOS	Cellular proto-oncogene	4.1E-10	-28.73
EXOC4	Exocyst complex component 4	3.9E-08	-29.18
MLLT4	Myeloid/lymphoid or mixed-lineage leukemia	3.4E-15	-34.03
EWSR1	Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1	1.9E-07	-37.33
SFRS11	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 11	2.3E-10	-37.33
DUSP6	Dual specificity phosphatase 6	1.7E-08	-43.88
TFRC/ CD71	Transferrin receptor (p90, CD71)	5.5E-09	-49.26

(Table 2) contd			
Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
EGR1	Early growth response Protein 1	1.1E-12	-50.02
HEATR1	HEAT repeat containing 1	6.5E-06	-58.35
FOSB	FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog B	1.9E-08	-59.26
DHX9	DEAH (Asp-Glu-Ala-His) box polypeptide 9	9.8E-07	-60.18
ALL S. C. DO G LL		1	11

Abbreviations: FC, fold change. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells. MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells.

Due to protein-protein interaction analysis which has revealed that several of the hub genes identified encode for RGD-associated proteins, a specific search for the expression of differentially regulated RDG-associated genes was performed. Among these, 11 genes show a significantly higher expression in MFG-hESCs compared to hESCs (Table **3**). Identified markers belonging to this family of genes with the largest differential expression are *ITGB6* and *OPN*, displaying respectively an FC of 19 and 54.

 Table 3. Microarray results of differentially expressed genes involved in cellular attachment for feeder-cultured hESCs and MFG-hESCs. A positive FC represents genes with increased expression in MFG-hESCs compared to feeder-cultured hESCs.

Gene Symbol	Gene	P-value	FC
OPN	Osteopontin	1.0E-04	54.03
ITGB6	Integrin beta 6	3.7E-02	19.10
ITGB1	Integrin beta 1	1.0E-21	16.89
CD47	CD47	7.6E-03	8.38
COL4A3	Collagen IV alpha 3	1.0E-04	5.83
ITGB5	Integrin beta 5	8.0E-04	4.52
ITGB3	Integrin beta 3	1.0E-03	4.25
THBS1	Thrombospondin	6.0E-04	3.82
CTSZ	Cathepsin Z	1.0E-21	3.68
FBN1	Fibrillin 1	1.2E-02	3.43
FNI	Fibronectin 1	1.3E-02	3.43
MADCAMI	Mucosal addressin cell adhesion	4.1E-03	2.81
NAPSA	Napsin A	1.0E-21	2.39
ITGA2B	Integrin alpha 2 beta	4.9E-02	2.33
NID1	Nidogen 1	1.0E-21	2.26
VWF	Von Willebrand factor	2.5E-02	2.13
TNR	Tenascin R	4.0E-02	2.06
ITGA9	Integrin alha 9	4.8E-02	2.05
LAMA1	Laminin alpha 1	1.0E-21	-2.03

Abbreviations: FC, fold change. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells. MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cell.

3.2. Real-Time PCR Shows High Expression of Pluripotency-Associated Genes in MFG-hESCs

Real-time PCR was used to confirm the expression of some of the genes specifically expressed in hESCs, or underand over-expressed in hESC compared to differentiated cells, such as *NANOG*, *OCT4*, *TDGF1*, *GAL*, *SOX2*, *IGFBP7*, *COL5A2*, and *COL11A1*. The results in Fig. (**3**) show that the pluripotency-associated *NANOG*, *OCT4*, *TDGF1*, and *SOX2* genes are more highly expressed in MFG-hESCs than in hESCs. No significant differences are observed when comparing the expression of the other investigated genes.

3.3. Flow cytometry analysis shows high expression of the integrins and the cell adhesion markers in MFGhESCs

The increased expression of surface adhesion molecules in MGF-hESCs compared to hESCs was verified by flow cytometry. The results confirm that MGF-hESCs display higher expression of the integrins ITGB3 and ITGB6, and the cell adhesion markers CD58, CD47, and CD44 compared to hESCs (Fig. 4).



Fig. (3). Verification of microarray results using quantitative real-time PCR analysis for *NANOG*, *OCT4*, *TDGF1*, *SOX2*, *GAL*, *IGFB7*, *COL5A2*, and *COL11A1* expression in feeder-cultured hESCs and MFG-hESCs. Data represent averages \pm SD (* indicates P < 0.05). Abbreviations: hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells.



Fig. (4). Flow cytometry analysis of ITGB3, CD58, ITGB6, CD47, and CD44 for feeder-cultured hESCs and MFG-hESCs. Abbreviations: hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells.

3.4. Higher expression of Integrins is Responsible for the MFG-hESCs Ability to Adhere and Grow on Regular Plastic Culture Dishes

In order to determine whether the higher expression of integrins on the MFG-hESCs was responsible for the ability of these cells to attach and grow on regular plastic culture dishes, the cells were cultured in the presence of RGD or RGES peptides. The results in Fig. (5) show significantly less cell attachment when MFG-hESCs are cultured in the presence of the RGD peptides compared to the RGES peptide, with approximately 65% less cell attached in the presence of RGD peptides.



Fig. (5). MFG-hESCs cultured on tissue culture plastic in the presence of RGD or RGES peptides. Data represent averages \pm SD (*indicates P < 0.05). Abbreviations: MFG-hESCs, matrix-free growth adapted human embryonic stem cells; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp. RGES, Arg-Gly-Glu-Ser.

4.DISCUSSION

Stem cells have generated great enthusiasm over the last decades in relation to their potential in basic and applied research, and in the clinics. Under proper culture conditions, hESCs can be expanded to large numbers and still retain the potential to differentiate into tissues of all three germ layers [23 - 26]. However, despite recent improvements in culture conditions [7, 12] the expensive, labor-intensive and inconsistent *in vitro* expansion still constitute an obstacle for the bulk production of pluripotent stem cells, and MFG-hESCs could represent a suitable alternative for both research and translational applications.

To understand the mechanisms behind the unique ability of MFG-hESCs to adhere and grow in feeder- and matrixfree conditions, in this study we performed a global gene expression analysis of these cells, and compared the results with those generated from feeder-cultured hESCs. Interestingly, the majority of genes specifically expressed in undifferentiated hESCs [27] were also expressed in MFG-hESCs, with a corresponding level of expression in the two cell types. Real-time PCR data revealed that MFG-hESCs display an increased expression of genes involved in the establishment and maintenance of pluripotency compared to the feeder-cultured hESCs, such as *NANOG*, *OCT4*, and the transcription factor *SOX2*, which is known to form a complex with *OCT4* and to bind to the *NANOG* promoter [28, 29]. These results are in accordance with previous results reported by our group, showing that MFG-hESCs grow as colonies with a morphology equivalent to cells cultured on MatrigelTM, express the pluripotency markers OCT4, SSEA3, SSEA4, TRA-1-60, TRA-1-81, and SSEA1, differentiate into derivatives of all three germ layers *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and maintain a normal karyotype [17, 18].

Likewise, the majority of the genes overexpressed in undifferentiated hESCs compared to differentiated cells were found to be similarly expressed in both cell types investigated, demonstrating that the derivation process of MFG-hESCs does not induce molecular changes toward a differentiated cell phenotype [27]. Identified hub genes induced upon MFG-hESC derivation, include several genes encoding for ribosomal proteins, such as *RPLP2*, *RPL38*, *RPL37A*, *RPL27A*, and *RPS11*, as well as the translation initiator *IF2*. Another ribosomal gene significantly upregulated in MFG-hESCs was *MRPS15*, encoding for the mitochondrial ribosomal protein S15. High expression of ribosomal genes have

been reported in tissues containing a high percentage of proliferating cells [30, 31] Richards *et al.* previously demonstrated a significant increase in the expression of ribosomal genes in hESCs compared to cells from adult tissues [31], which is indicative of the high proliferation rate of pluripotent stem cells. The higher expression of these genes in MFG-hESCs reflects their high proliferation ability, which is beneficial for the large-scale manufacturing for experimental and translational applications. The active proliferation state of hESCs is also associated with an increased expression of genes involved in mRNA processing and RNA transport from the nucleus [32]. We found that while MFG-hESCs displayed higher expression of the mRNA processor genes *RBM16, PSIP1*, and *SNRPN*, feeder-cultured hESCs displayed higher expression of the hub genes *HNRNPH1*, *HNRNPU*, *SFRS11*, *SF3B1*, *PRPF6*, *DHX9*, *NUP133*, and *NUP100*, which are recognized to play a role in pre-mRNA processing and other aspects of mRNA metabolism and transport [33 - 37]. Taken together, the data revealed that both MFG-hESCs and feeder-cultured hESCs highly express several genes involved in cellular processes such as chromatin remodelling, gene transcription, RNA processing and export, as well as protein synthesis [38].

Other genes showing higher expression in hESCs than MFG-hESCs were FRS2, Mxi2, BMK1, SYD1, FOS, FOSB, GOS3, JUN, JUND, and EWSR1, which are involved in hESC proliferation, survival and cancerogenesis [39 - 41]. As opposite, MFG-hESCs displayed increased expression of several tumor suppressor genes, including CAV1 and its accessory protein CAV2, and TMEFF2, whose downregulation is associated with oncogenic cell transformation, tumorigenesis and metastasis [42, 43]. Moreover, MFG-hESCs displayed a significantly higher expression of FANCB compared to hESCs, which is involved in DNA repair during replication [44], suggesting that MFG-hESC display a rigid control of the cell cycle, a characteristic that could facilitate future clinical applications of these cells. Most cells derived from solid tissues are adherent. Tissue culture polystyrene supports cell attachment and growth following deposition of serum proteins, such as fibronectin and vitronectin to the plastic surface [45]. It is established that the strength of cell attachment is proportional to the amount of deposited proteins [46], and the number of bindings between the cell and the material surface [47]. After deposition, the protein layer functions as a primary ECM-like scaffold for the cells to attach. Different cell types display different ability to attach to regular plastic culture dishes, but no successful culturing of pluripotent stem cells in similar conditions has been reported to date. As opposite, we recently demonstrated that MFG-hESCs attach and grow on regular plastic culture dishes [17]. The results of this study show that MFG-hESCs display a significantly increased expression of genes encoding for integrins, RGD-binding proteins and other adhesion molecules. The high expression of integrins observed on undifferentiated MFG-hESCs in contrast to feeder-cultured hESCs, prompted us to study the importance of these proteins as a possible explanation for the attachment and growth of MFG-hESCs. Functional assays confirmed our assumption, resulting in decreased cell attachment as evidenced by a 65% loss in cell attachment in presence of RGD peptides.

Integrins are a large family of cell adhesion receptor proteins that interact with fibronectin and other ECM proteins, provide transmembrane links between the ECM and the cytoskeleton and play a crucial role in the regulation of cell adhesion, survival and proliferation [48 - 52]. Therefore, the significantly increased expression of *ITGB1*, *ITGB3*, *ITGB5*, *ITGB6*, and *FN1*, and other adhesion markers and ECM proteins including CD44, CD47, and CD58 likely play an important role in the unique ability of MFG-hESCS to firmly attach to regular plastic culture dishes. In addition, microarray data revealed that MFG-hESCs display increased expression of the *COL4A3* gene, encoding for a major structural protein of basement membranes, which is highly present in MatrigelTM and recognized to enhance the attachment and growth of several different cell types [53]. Again, the increased expression of *COL4A3* may play a major role in the adhesion properties of these cells. It remains to be seen whether the controlled up-regulation of these genes, or a combination thereof, in pluripotent stem cells (both hESCs and hiPSCs) results in the ability of these cells to grow on regular plastic culture dishes and yet maintain an undifferentiated phenotype.

A similar technology would allow the generation and mass production of next-generation pluripotent stem cell lines for basic and translational research, in a reproducible, GMP-compliant and economically affordable fashion. Moreover, the feeder- and matrix-free properties of MFG-hESCs present the opportunity to design long-term differentiation studies in a monolayer culture system, which has not been possible due to loss of feeder or degradation of coating material over time.

CONCLUSION

Eliminating the need for feeder cells and coating products improves the purity of pluripotent stem cell culture and simplifies the culture procedures, thus allowing the manufacturing of cells for basic and translational research, in a reproducible, GMP-compliant and economically affordable fashion. The unique ability to attach and grow on regular

plastic culture dishes makes MFG-hESC an appealing alternative to conventionally cultured hESCs for bulk production of high-quality pluripotent stem cells for a large set of biomedical applications.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Narmin Bigdeli: conception and design, collection and/or assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, final approval of manuscript

Giuseppe Maria de Peppo: conception and design, collection and/or assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, final approval of manuscript

Camilla Karlsson: conception and design, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, final approval of manuscript

Maria Lennerås: data analysis and interpretation, final approval of manuscript

Raimund Strehl: provision of study material, final approval of manuscript

Johan Hyllner: provision of study material, final approval of manuscript

Anders Lindahl: conception and design, financial support, final approval of manuscript

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No Animals/Humans were used for studies that are base of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Katarina Junevik for expert technical assistance with flow cytometry analysis at the flow cytometry laboratory at the department of clinical chemistry and transfusion medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

REFERENCES

[1] Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, *et al.* Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science 1998; 282(5391): 1145-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5391.1145] [PMID: 9804556]

- Tachibana M, Amato P, Sparman M, et al. Human embryonic stem cells derived by somatic cell nuclear transfer. Cell 2013; 153(6): 1228-38.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.006] [PMID: 23683578]
- [3] Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell 2006; 126(4): 663-76.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024] [PMID: 16904174]

[4] Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, *et al.* Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell 2007; 131(5): 861-72.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.019] [PMID: 18035408]

- [5] Cohen S, Leshanski L, Itskovitz-Eldor J. Tissue engineering using human embryonic stem cells. Methods Enzymol 2006; 420: 303-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(06)20014-4] [PMID: 17161703]
- Pouton CW, Haynes JM. Pharmaceutical applications of embryonic stem cells. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2005; 57(13): 1918-34. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2005.08.003] [PMID: 16290153]
- [7] Amit M, Shariki C, Margulets V, Itskovitz-Eldor J. Feeder layer- and serum-free culture of human embryonic stem cells. Biol Reprod 2004; 70(3): 837-45.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.103.021147] [PMID: 14627547]

- [8] Martin MJ, Muotri A, Gage F, Varki A. Human embryonic stem cells express an immunogenic nonhuman sialic acid. Nat Med 2005; 11(2): 228-32.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm1181] [PMID: 15685172]
- [9] Richards M, Tan S, Fong CY, Biswas A, Chan WK, Bongso A. Comparative evaluation of various human feeders for prolonged undifferentiated growth of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2003; 21(5): 546-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.21-5-546] [PMID: 12968109]
- [10] Crook JM, Peura TT, Kravets L, et al. The generation of six clinical-grade human embryonic stem cell lines. Cell Stem Cell 2007; 1(5): 490-4.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2007.10.004] [PMID: 18938745]

- [11] Mallon BS, Park KY, Chen KG, Hamilton RS, McKay RD. Toward xeno-free culture of human embryonic stem cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2006; 38(7): 1063-75.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2005.12.014] [PMID: 16469522]
- Xu C, Inokuma MS, Denham J, et al. Feeder-free growth of undifferentiated human embryonic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol 2001; 19(10): 971-4.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt1001-971] [PMID: 11581665]
- [13] Greenlee AR, Kronenwetter-Koepel TA, Kaiser SJ, Ellis TM, Liu K. Combined effects of Matrigel and growth factors on maintaining undifferentiated murine embryonic stem cells for embryotoxicity testing. Toxicol In Vitro 2004; 18(4): 543-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2004.01.013] [PMID: 15130612]
- de Peppo GM, Marcos-Campos I, Kahler DJ, et al. Engineering bone tissue substitutes from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013; 110(21): 8680-5.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1301190110] [PMID: 23653480]
- [15] Braam SR, Zeinstra L, Litjens S, et al. Recombinant vitronectin is a functionally defined substrate that supports human embryonic stem cell self-renewal via alphavbeta5 integrin. Stem Cells 2008; 26(9): 2257-65. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2008-0291] [PMID: 18599809]
- [16] Ludwig TE, Levenstein ME, Jones JM, *et al.* Derivation of human embryonic stem cells in defined conditions. Nat Biotechnol 2006; 24(2): 185-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt1177] [PMID: 16388305]
- Bigdeli N, Andersson M, Strehl R, *et al.* Adaptation of human embryonic stem cells to feeder-free and matrix-free culture conditions directly on plastic surfaces. J Biotechnol 2008; 133(1): 146-53.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2007.08.045] [PMID: 17935814]
- [18] Bigdeli N, de Peppo GM, Lennerås M, et al. Superior osteogenic capacity of human embryonic stem cells adapted to matrix-free growth compared to human mesenchymal stem cells. Tissue Eng Part A 2010; 16(11): 3427-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2010.0112] [PMID: 20653416]
- [19] Heins N, Englund MC, Sjöblom C, et al. Derivation, characterization, and differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2004; 22(3): 367-76.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.22-3-367] [PMID: 15153613]
- [20] Sjögren-Jansson E, Zetterström M, Moya K, Lindqvist J, Strehl R, Eriksson PS. Large-scale propagation of four undifferentiated human embryonic stem cell lines in a feeder-free culture system. Dev Dyn 2005; 233(4): 1304-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20459] [PMID: 15965986]
- [21] Darnfors C, Flodin A, Andersson K, *et al.* High-resolution analysis of the subtelomeric regions of human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2005; 23(4): 483-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2004-0254] [PMID: 15790769]
- [22] Han JD, Bertin N, Hao T, et al. Evidence for dynamically organized modularity in the yeast protein-protein interaction network. Nature 2004; 430(6995): 88-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02555] [PMID: 15190252]
- [23] Ying QL, Wray J, Nichols J, et al. The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nature 2008; 453(7194): 519-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06968] [PMID: 18497825]
- [24] Davila JC, Cezar GG, Thiede M, Strom S, Miki T, Trosko J. Use and application of stem cells in toxicology. Toxicol Sci 2004; 79(2): 214-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfh100] [PMID: 15014205]
- [25] Vats A, Tolley NS, Bishop AE, Polak JM. Embryonic stem cells and tissue engineering: Delivering stem cells to the clinic. J R Soc Med 2005; 98(8): 346-50.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/014107680509800804] [PMID: 16055897]
- [26] Laustriat D, Gide J, Héchard C, Peschanski M. Embryonic stem cells in pharmacology. Med Sci (Paris) 2009; 25(Spec No 2): 32-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/medsci/2009252s32] [PMID: 19848191]
- [27] Assou S, Le Carrour T, Tondeur S, *et al.* A meta-analysis of human embryonic stem cells transcriptome integrated into a web-based expression atlas. Stem Cells 2007; 25(4): 961-73.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2006-0352] [PMID: 17204602]

- [28] Chambers I, Silva J, Colby D, et al. Nanog safeguards pluripotency and mediates germline development. Nature 2007; 450(7173): 1230-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06403] [PMID: 18097409]
- [29] Rodda DJ, Chew JL, Lim LH, et al. Transcriptional regulation of nanog by OCT4 and SOX2. J Biol Chem 2005; 280(26): 24731-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M502573200] [PMID: 15860457]
- [30] Thorrez L, Van Deun K, Tranchevent LC, et al. Using ribosomal protein genes as reference: A tale of caution. PLoS One 2008; 3(3): e1854. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001854] [PMID: 18365009]
- [31] Richards M, Tan SP, Tan JH, Chan WK, Bongso A. The transcriptome profile of human embryonic stem cells as defined by SAGE. Stem Cells 2004; 22(1): 51-64.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.22-1-51] [PMID: 14688391]
- [32] Patturajan M, Wei X, Berezney R, Corden JL. A nuclear matrix protein interacts with the phosphorylated C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II. Mol Cell Biol 1998; 18(4): 2406-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MCB.18.4.2406] [PMID: 9528809]
- [33] Fisette J-F, Toutant J, Dugré-Brisson S, Desgroseillers L, Chabot B. hnRNP A1 and hnRNP H can collaborate to modulate 5' splice site selection. RNA 2010; 16(1): 228-38. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1261/rna.1890310] [PMID: 19926721]
- [34] Yugami M, Kabe Y, Yamaguchi Y, Wada T, Handa H. hnRNP-U enhances the expression of specific genes by stabilizing mRNA. FEBS Lett 2007; 581(1): 1-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.11.062] [PMID: 17174306]
- [35] Chaudhary N, McMahon C, Blobel G. Primary structure of a human arginine-rich nuclear protein that colocalizes with spliceosome components. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991; 88(18): 8189-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.18.8189] [PMID: 1896467]
- [36] Cass DM, Berglund JA. The SF3b155 N-terminal domain is a scaffold important for splicing. Biochemistry 2006; 45(33): 10092-101. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi0604290] [PMID: 16906767]
- [37] Makarov EM, Makarova OV, Achsel T, Lührmann R. The human homologue of the yeast splicing factor prp6p contains multiple TPR elements and is stably associated with the U5 snRNP via protein-protein interactions. J Mol Biol 2000; 298(4): 567-75. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.3685] [PMID: 10788320]
- [38] Turner BM. Open chromatin and hypertranscription in embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2008; 2(5): 408-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2008.04.006] [PMID: 18462689]
- [39] Katz M, Amit I, Yarden Y. Regulation of MAPKs by growth factors and receptor tyrosine kinases. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007; 1773(8): 1161-76.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2007.01.002] [PMID: 17306385]

- [40] Arvand A, Denny CT. Biology of EWS/ETS fusions in Ewing's family tumors. Oncogene 2001; 20(40): 5747-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1204598] [PMID: 11607824]
- Platanias LC. Map kinase signaling pathways and hematologic malignancies. Blood 2003; 101(12): 4667-79.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-12-3647] [PMID: 12623839]
- [42] Williams TM, Lisanti MP. Caveolin-1 in oncogenic transformation, cancer, and metastasis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2005; 288(3): C494-506.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00458.2004] [PMID: 15692148]
- [43] Elsheikh SE, Green AR, Rakha EA, et al. Caveolin 1 and Caveolin 2 are associated with breast cancer basal-like and triple-negative immunophenotype. Br J Cancer 2008; 99(2): 327-34. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6604463] [PMID: 18612310]
- [44] Nomura Y, Adachi N, Koyama H. Human Mus81 and FANCB independently contribute to repair of DNA damage during replication. Genes Cells 2007; 12(10): 1111-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2443.2007.01124.x] [PMID: 17903171]
- [45] Underwood PA, Bennett FA. A comparison of the biological activities of the cell-adhesive proteins vitronectin and fibronectin. J Cell Sci 1989; 93(Pt 4): 641-9.
 [PMID: 2481683]
- [46] Steele JG, Dalton BA, Johnson G, Underwood PA. Polystyrene chemistry affects vitronectin activity: An explanation for cell attachment to tissue culture polystyrene but not to unmodified polystyrene. J Biomed Mater Res 1993; 27(7): 927-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbm.820270712] [PMID: 7689568]
- [47] Yamamoto A, Mishima S, Maruyama N, Sumita M. Quantitative evaluation of cell attachment to glass, polystyrene, and fibronectin- or collagen-coated polystyrene by measurement of cell adhesive shear force and cell detachment energy. J Biomed Mater Res 2000; 50(2): 114-24.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4636(200005)50:2<114::AID-JBM4>3.0.CO;2-6] [PMID: 10679674]

[48] Hynes RO. Integrins: Bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell 2002; 110(6): 673-87.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00971-6] [PMID: 12297042]

- [49] Huhtala M, Heino J, Casciari D, de Luise A, Johnson MS. Integrin evolution: Insights from ascidian and teleost fish genomes. Matrix Biol 2005; 24(2): 83-95.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2005.01.003] [PMID: 15890260]
- [50] Lyon M, Rushton G, Askari JA, Humphries MJ, Gallagher JT. Elucidation of the structural features of heparan sulfate important for interaction with the Hep-2 domain of fibronectin. J Biol Chem 2000; 275(7): 4599-606. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.7.4599] [PMID: 10671486]
- [51] Murray JC, Stingl G, Kleinman HK, Martin GR, Katz SI. Epidermal cells adhere preferentially to type IV (basement membrane) collagen. J Cell Biol 1979; 80(1): 197-202. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.80.1.197] [PMID: 422650]
- [52] Berrier AL, Yamada KM. Cell-matrix adhesion. J Cell Physiol 2007; 213(3): 565-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21237] [PMID: 17680633]
- [53] Klebe RJ. Isolation of a collagen-dependent cell attachment factor. Nature 1974; 250(463): 248-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/250248a0] [PMID: 4859375]

© 2018 Bigdeli et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.