Giant Adrenal Pseudocyst Treated Laparoscopically; Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Abstract: We report a case of a giant adrenal pseudocyst, found incidentally in a 45 year old female. Her CT scan showed a 7.5cm x 7.3cm suprarenal mass and she underwent a laparoscopic transperitoneal adrenalectomy. We report this case and review the literature regarding the diagnosis and management of adrenal pseudocysts.

CASE REPORT

A 45 year old housewife with multiple sclerosis was discovered to have a large right suprarenal mass as an incidental finding on a computed tomography (CT) scan of her lumbo-sacral spine. On close questioning, she reported a vague history of episodes of right flank pain for the previous 2 years. She also described episodes of flushing and lethargy which raised a suspicion of a possible diagnosis of phaeochromocytoma. There was no prior history of trauma or malignancy.

The examination was normal other than a palpable mass in the right upper quadrant.

An adrenal protocol CT scan was performed revealing a 7.5cm x 7.3cm suprarenal cystic lesion with a calcified rim (Figs. 1, 2). A renal or adrenal origin of the mass was difficult to distinguish. Otherwise, no metastatic disease was seen. The possibility of a phaeochromocytoma was considered, although these tumors normally display enhancement.

Subsequently, functional investigations of the adrenal mass were performed including urinary catecholamines, metanephrines, and 5-HIAA along with serum cortisol, aldosterone and calcium; all were within normal limits. Therefore if it was an adrenal mass, it was hormonally inactive. Given its large size, its potential malignancy and the hazards involved in biopsying a cystic lesion of unknown aetiology, a decision was made to excise the mass surgically.

We proceeded to perform a laparoscopic transperitoneal right adrenalectomy. The patient was placed in the lateral decubitus position. Following camera insertion (verres technique) in the anterior axillary line, three 5mm trocars were positioned along the right subcostal margin (Fig. 3). The large supra-renal cyst was intimately adherent to and arising from the right adrenal gland. A clean tissue plane existed between the mass and the right kidney. Careful dissection of that plane and medial retraction of the IVC allowed the identification of the right adrenal vein, which was clipped with titanium clips and divided. Further haemostatic dissection was achieved with a harmonic scalpel (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, USA). The adrenal gland and the mass were then mobilized, excised en-bloc and sent for histology for further evaluation.
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The cystic structure measured 8cm x 8cm x 1.5cm (Fig. 4). Opening of the cyst revealed a cream, smooth walled inner surface filled with soft creamy material. There was also a firm calcified area measuring 3cm x 2.5cm x 1cm.

Fig. (4). The gross adrenal specimen; the cystic structure measuring 8cm x 8 cm.

Microscopically, the wall of the cyst was composed of fibrous tissue and calcification without epithelial or endothelial lining (Figs. 5,6). The lumen of the cyst contained blood. Attenuated adrenal gland was present in the wall. No evidence of malignancy was found.

Figs. (5,6). Microscopy showing absence of epithelial or endothelial lining and the presents of fibrous tissue and calcification.

DISCUSSION

An adrenal pseudocyst was first described at autopsy by Greiseluis in 1670 [2]. It was described to be large enough to ‘fill the left side of the abdomen displacing the diaphragm and spleen superiorly’ and included a “rupture big enough to admit the fist”.

The incidence of adrenal cysts at autopsy varies from 0.064%- 0.18% [3] and in one study adrenal cysts were discovered incidentally in 0.02% of 12,000 CT scans [4]. Adrenal pseudocysts account for approximately 5.7% of all newly discovered incidentalomas [5]. An adrenal pseudocyst can be defined as a cystic lesions arising within the adrenal cortex or medulla, enclosed by a fibrous wall that is devoid of a recognisable lining [6]. Cysts are usually solitary lesions but are bilateral in about 10% of cases. Size varies widely and may correlate with the degree of malignancy. Asymptomatic, non-functional tumors smaller than 3 cm are less likely to be malignant than cysts larger than 6cm [7].

Several types of adrenal cysts are recognized; pseudocysts, parasitic (hydatid) cysts, epithelial cysts, and endothelial cysts. 80% of adrenal cysts are thought to be either endothelial cysts or pseudocysts [8]. Endothelial cysts are most frequently lymphangiomatous in origin, whereas pseudocysts are believed to result from haemorrhage into or around a pathological or normal adrenal gland [9]. Adrenal pseudocysts have been reported in association with birth trauma and hemorrhagic disease as well as a wide variety of pathologic conditions such as acute trauma, crush injuries, burns, shock, toxæmia of pregnancy, syphilis, leukaemia, and incompatible blood transfusions [10].

Cysts occur from birth to 80 years, with a peak in the third to sixth decades. The female; male ratio is 2: 1. The majority of adrenal cysts are asymptomatic but larger cysts can give rise to lumbar pain, vomiting, gastrointestinal discomfort or an abdominal mass [6]. Some may also present as an acute abdomen, usually due to intracystic haemorrhage, rupture, or infection [11].

The diagnosis of ‘incidentalomas’ is increasing in tandem with the increased utilization of abdominal ultrasonography and CT scans [4]. Imagining shows the position of the adrenal mass and either confirms or excludes multifocal lesions. CT is the gold standard imaging technique for adrenal masses. It can identify tumors as small as 1 cm with 100% sensitivity [3]. Calcifications may be seen in adrenal cysts, as in our case. In addition, the lack of enhancement of solid elements of a mass may help in the differential diagnosis of pseudocyst versus adrenal neoplasm [12]. Other modalities that have been used to evaluate cysts include ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Abdominal ultrasonography can detect adrenal masses larger than 2 cm in diameter and is inexpensive and low risk but it does not accurately define the size of an adrenal mass or its morphologic characteristics [13]. In contrast, MRI provides anatomic details and allows tissue characterization [13].

The above newly developed imaging techniques can detect and localize these lesions with high accuracy, but it remains difficult to differentiate malignancy, cortical adenoma, hyperplasia, or pheochromocytoma from benign cysts, such as in our case [14].

On CT, pseudocysts tend to be small, heterogeneously solid lesions with multiple internal fluid levels. Peripheral nodular calcification is more common in pseudocysts than in true cysts, and most pseudocysts have mural calcifications [15]. Benign lesions usually have a wall thickness of 3mm or less whereas lesions with a wall thickness greater than 8mm raise concern of malignancy [16]. The estimated risk of an adrenal cyst being malignant is 7% [17].

Tissue diagnosis is usually favored preoperatively. Some authors recommend fine-needle aspiration (FNA) of adrenal cysts larger than 3.5cm but whilst a normal tissue aspirate may exclude malignancy, a hemorrhagic aspirate may indicate malignancy or haemorrhage into a benign cyst [17]. Furthermore, several complications have been reported in association with FNA, the most important being seeding of a malignant tumor [18]. The most frequently seen complication is bleeding incorporating adrenal bleeds and haematomas. Other complications included pneumothorax, haemothorax, pancreatitis, and post-FNA pain [19]. In general, FNA is a sensitive and highly specific procedure for the evaluation of primary and metastatic malignancies involving
the adrenal gland but is less useful in the workup of benign processes [20].

There is very sparse data regarding the management of incidentally discovered adrenal masses [19]. Surgical excision of these incidentalomas is usually the ultimate management and is usually indicated based on the following criteria: assessment of CT appearance, presence or absence of malignant disease elsewhere, endocrine functionality, and tumor size. The size (greatest diameter) at which an adrenal mass requires surgical excision has been variously described as 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0 cm [21-27]. In a retrospective study, it is recommended that excision of all lesions 4.0 cm in size or greater, all hormonally active tumors, and those demonstrating interval growth, provided the patient is an acceptable surgical candidate [28]. If the criteria for a simple non-functional cyst are satisfied, the patient may be treated conservatively by monitoring with serial imaging or aspiration alone [6].

Initially, all adrenalectomies were performed via the transabdominal route. In the 1980s the posterior approach was adopted by many surgeons at first for small tumors, and later for large tumors, pheochromocytomas and metastases, because of a perceived decrease in surgical morbidity [19]. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy was first described by Gagner et al. in 1992 [29]. Initial indications were limited due to concerns about bleeding, the safety of removing pheochromocytomas, especially under carbon dioxide insufflation which theoretically might trigger a hypertensive crisis, the inability to do en bloc resections of invasive tumors, and the fear that removing cancers laparoscopically could result in metastatic seeding along the trocar port. As surgeons gained experience, indications for laparoscopic adrenalectomy expanded to include larger tumors, pheochromocytomas, and metastases [19]. Five laparoscopic approaches are described; anterior and lateral transperitoneal, lateral and posterior retroperitoneal, and thoracoscopic transdiaphragmatic [30-35]. Choosing between these approaches varies according to the location of the lesion, its size, patient morphology and most importantly the surgeon’s experience. Anatomical knowledge, delicate tissue handling and meticulous haemostasis are the cornerstones of successful adrenal surgery.

Much controversy exists regarding indications for laparoscopic versus open adrenalectomy. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy is less invasive, has equivalent or better complication rates and, in terms of overall hospital expenses, is more cost-effective [36-39] than open adrenalectomy. Laparoscopic surgery has been favored over the years as it’s associated with less pain, better functional status, and earlier return to full activity [40]. Conversion rates of less than 3% are reported and prior abdominal surgery is not an absolute contraindication to the laparoscopic approach [41]. It is suggested however that in patients with adrenal masses over 6 cm in size with stigmata of malignant disease, open adrenalectomy may reduce manipulation of the tumor whilst increasing the chances of an incomplete resection [42].

Laparoscopic conservative management of small adrenal cysts is safe and feasible. Laparoscopic decortication and marsupialization should be the preferred treatment option for symptomatic adrenal cysts. Laparoscopic partial adrenalectomy or a total adrenalectomy can be performed in cases of larger cysts, which compromise most of the adrenal gland [43].

REFERENCES


