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Abstract: Pregnancy is associated with profound changes in sleep. Up to 75% of pregnant women experience some form of sleep disruption during pregnancy. The emerging data from recent years suggests that sleep disturbances may represent a novel contributor to adverse pregnancy outcomes and that sleep disorders during pregnancy may affect both maternal and fetal outcomes. In spite of the increased awareness, information specifically addressing sleep disturbances and fetal outcomes is limited. The current review summarizes the existing published literature on the effect of short sleep duration, poor quality sleep and sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) on the developing fetus.
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INTRODUCTION

The importance of good quality sleep and the negative impact of sleep disturbances are well established in the general population and many publications exist on the associations between sleep and a wide spectrum of medical conditions. In particular, sleep disordered breathing (SDB), poor sleep quality and short sleep duration have all been linked to the development of the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [1-7].

Pregnancy is a time of great change in a woman's life and is associated with profound changes in sleep. Up to 75% of pregnant women experience some form of sleep disruption during pregnancy [8-10]. The emerging data from recent years suggests that sleep disturbances may represent a novel contributor to adverse pregnancy outcomes and that sleep disorders during pregnancy may affect both maternal and fetal outcomes. In spite of the increased awareness, information specifically addressing sleep disturbances and fetal outcomes is limited. The current review evaluates/examines the existing published literature on the effect of short sleep duration, poor quality sleep and SDB on the developing fetus.

POOR SLEEP AND SHORT SLEEP DURATION DURING PREGNANCY AND FETAL OUTCOME

Short sleep duration has become a major public health concern in the past several decades in western countries. Self reported sleep duration of Americans has decreased by 1.5-2 hours over the past 40 years and more than 30% of adults between the ages of 30 and 64 years report sleeping less than 6 hours per night [11]. Accumulating data indicate that chronic sleep restriction is associated with decreased learning and cognitive functioning, accidents and errors in workplace, alterations in fat and glucose metabolism, increased risk of obesity and increased mortality [1-3, 12]. During pregnancy and postpartum period, women are at particular risk for sleep restriction. The optimal duration of sleep in pregnancy is unknown. However, descriptive studies of sleep duration throughout pregnancy have found an increase in total sleep time and daytime sleepiness during the first trimester and a decrease in sleep time in the second and third trimesters [9, 13, 14]. The changes in sleep needs and sleep duration during pregnancy are most likely due to the hormonal, physical and emotional changes of pregnancy. These changes are also responsible for the increase in sleep complaints such as backaches, urinary frequency, restless legs, leg cramps, fetal movements, heartburn, and general discomfort throughout the pregnancy period [15]. All of the above result in profound changes in sleep quality even in the absence of sleep curtailment.

To date, there is only a small number of studies that examined the effect of short sleep duration and poor sleep on fetal outcome.

Prematurity is a major public health issue and a leading cause of infant morbidity and mortality. Although some maternal and fetal risk factors for preterm deliveries have been identified, nearly 50% of preterm births are due to unknown causes. In a recent study from Greece, using a large mother-child cohort, Micheli and colleagues have found that women with sleep deprivation (< 5 hours of sleep at the third trimester) were at high risk for preterm births (RR 1.7; 1.1-2.8), with highest risk observed for medically indicated preterm births; i.e programmed cesarean section or induced vaginal birth (RR 2.4; 1.0-6.4) after adjusting for maternal age, education, parity, smoking during pregnancy , and pre-pregnancy BMI [16]. In a longitudinal study on 166 women, using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, Okun and colleagues have shown that poor sleep quality was a predictor of preterm birth, with the largest effects in early pregnancy (14-16 weeks) and more modest effects in later pregnancy (30-32 weeks). With every one-point increase on the PSQI, the odds of preterm birth increase 25% in early pregnancy and 18% in later pregnancy [17]. Currently, the mechanisms underlying these associations remain unclear and need to be elucidated/clarified. However, poor sleep may contribute to increased risk for preterm birth both.
produced mixed findings. The limited literature examining such association has shown that maternal SDB and fetal outcomes and OSA in pregnant women. There is a paucity of data regarding the precise incidence and prevalence of snoring during pregnancy [23], there is a paucity of data regarding the precise incidence and prevalence of SDB is a common sleep disorder among pregnant women. The term SDB refers to the spectrum of breathing disorders during sleep and can result in disruption of normal ventilation, intermittent hypoxia, and arousals from sleep [21]. Physiologic and hormonal changes that occur during pregnancy including gestational weight gain, pregnancy-associated nasopharyngeal edema and decreased functional residual capacity predispose women to upper airway narrowing and the development of SDB [22]. Indeed, habitual snoring has been reported in up to 46% of pregnant women [23].

Repetitive episodes of obstructive respiratory events during sleep may contribute to sleep disordered breathing (SDB) and fetal outcomes. SDB is a common sleep disorder among pregnant women. The term SDB refers to the spectrum of breathing disorders during sleep, ranging from uncomplicated snoring to the most severe form of SDB, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). These breathing disorders are characterized by repeated episodes of partial or complete upper airway obstruction during sleep and can result in disruption of normal ventilation, intermittent hypoxia, and arousals from sleep [21]. Physiologic and hormonal changes that occur during pregnancy including gestational weight gain, pregnancy-associated nasopharyngeal edema and decreased functional residual capacity predispose women to upper airway narrowing and the development of SDB [22]. Indeed, habitual snoring has been reported in up to 46% of pregnant women [23].

In animal models, exposure to intermittent hypoxia during pregnancy has been shown to cause adverse fetal outcomes [24-26]. In two separate studies, Gozal and colleagues have shown that exposure of pregnant rats to brief repetitive episodes of intermittent hypoxia led to fetal growth restriction and impaired ventilatory and resuscitative responses of their offspring to hypoxic and anoxic conditions [25, 26].

Several human studies have examined maternal-fetal outcomes in pregnant women with symptoms of SDB, however none of the studies focused solely on fetal outcome. Using subjective assessment of sleep (questionnaire) Loube and colleagues have studied 350 women and found no significant differences between snorers and non-snorers in regard to neither birth weights nor Apgar scores [27]. In a prospective survey of sleep symptoms in 325 pregnant women, Hedman and colleagues found no differences in birth weight between infants born to snorers and non-snorers [13]. Applying a different methodological approach, Yin and colleagues examined the hypothesis that OSA is more common in pregnancies complicated by hypertensive disease and fetal growth restriction by using nocturnal oxygen saturation monitoring [28]. They found no relation between OSA and hypertensive disease of pregnancy or fetal growth restriction. Similarly, Ayrim and colleagues studied 200 pregnant women and 200 age-matched controls, using a questionnaire that includes questions regarding snoring, witnessed apnea, sleepiness and neck circumference. They found that although the incidence of snoring appears to increase during pregnancy, especially in women who gain excessive weight, there is no effect of fetal outcome [29]. These findings were confirmed by a study on 276 women from Korea [30]. In a recent study from our group on 246 healthy pregnant women with uncomplicated pregnancies, we have shown that maternal snoring is not associated with fetal growth restriction nor with lower Apgar scores [31].

In contrast to the above publications, several studies have demonstrated adverse fetal outcomes in maternal SDB. In a retrospective, cross sectional, consecutive case series from Sweden on 502 women, using a questionnaire about snoring, witnessed apnea and daytime fatigue, Franklin and colleagues have found that self reported habitual snorers developed significantly higher rates of gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and delivery of small for gestational age (SGA) infants than did non-snorers. Differences remained significant after adjustment for age, weight and smoking status [32]. However, the relative contribution of maternal hypertension and preeclampsia to fetal growth and fetal outcomes was not investigated so that it is unknown whether increased risk for these outcomes could be attributed to uncomplicated snoring alone. More recently, using a large mother-child cohort from Greece, Micheli and colleagues have found that women with severe snoring (snored frequently or always during the third trimester) were at high risk for low birth weight; i.e. weight below 2500 grams (relative risk=2.6; [1.2-5.4]) and fetal growth restricted neonates, i.e. birth weight below the 10th percentile of the predicted weight distribution (RR=2.0; [1.0-3.9]) after adjusting for maternal age, education, smoking during pregnancy, and pre-pregnancy body mass index [16].
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In a cross sectional survey on 1000 subjects that were recruited immediately (24-48 hours) postpartum Bourjeily and colleagues evaluated the relationship between SDB symptoms and pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. Using the Multivariable Apnea Prediction Index (MAPI), subjects completed a questionnaire regarding SDB symptoms in the 3 months preceding delivery. It has been shown that symptoms of SDB were associated with higher likelihood of pregnancy-induced hypertension and pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes and unplanned cesarean deliveries. Maternal gasping was associated with higher likelihood of preterm deliveries, after adjusting for age and multi-fetal pregnancies but this association appeared to be mediated by pre-eclampsia [33]. In a retrospective cohort study of 57 women with a confirmed diagnosis of OSA, Loui and colleagues studied 114 obese women and 114 non-obese controls matched for year of delivery. They found that OSA was associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, pregnancy complications and maternal morbidity [34]. Among the OSA group, the majority of preterm deliveries were the result of maternal or fetal indication rather than from spontaneous labor or membrane rupture. However, most of the women in the obese control group did not have sleep studies and thus OSA could not be excluded in these patients. In addition, there is no information regarding CPAP compliance in the OSA group, which might have had an impact on the rate of complications if patients were either highly compliant or noncompliant with their therapy.

In a prospective cohort study comparing Berlin Questionnaire scores between pregnant (4074) women at labor and non-pregnant (490) women, Higgins and colleagues compared fetal outcomes such as infant birth weight and Apgar scores. Not surprisingly, women with positive Berlin questionnaire were heavier. Higher incidence of preeclampsia was found in the positive Berlin questionnaire group (OR of 3.0 vs. 1.1). There was no difference in the cumulative percentage of infants with Apgar score<7 at 1- or 5- minutes born to mothers with positive Berlin compared to those with negative questionnaires, However, in those women with a positive category 2 on the Berlin questionnaire (sleepiness), the percentage of infants with Apgar score <7 at 1- and 5- minutes was significantly different [34]. In contrast to other publications in regard to birth weight, the authors found that infant weight was higher in the positive Berlin group [35]. Similar findings were observed by Olivarez and colleagues on a prospective cohort of pregnant women. The authors investigated the relationship of OSA measured by symptom-based measures for diagnosis (by the Berlin and Epworth questionnaires) to common perinatal outcomes controlling for obesity in multivariate models. They showed that the majority of OSA cases occur among the obese women and that among non-obese gravidae, the frequency of preeclampsia was significantly higher among women with OSA (adjusted odds ratio=6.85, 95% confidence interval=1.04,38.5; p=0.035). Among the obese women, infant birthweight ratio (birthweight by gestational age) was higher with OSA + screening than OSA- (1.099 vs. 1.035, p=0.04) and this association remained significant after adjustment for potential confounders (p=0.05) [36].

Using population-based databases, Chen and colleagues have investigated 791 women who were diagnosed with OSA within one year prior to their delivery and 3955 age-matched controls. The authors performed conditional logistic regression analyses to examine the risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes between the two groups. They found that compared with women without OSA, adjusted odds ratios for low birth weight (LBW), preterm birth, small for gestational age (SGA) infants, cesarean sections, and preeclampsia in women with OSA were 1.76, 2.31, 1.34, 1.74 and 1.6, respectively after adjustment for maternal education, marital status, gestational diabetes, gestational hypertension, anemia, coronary heart disease, hyperlipidemia, obesity, geographic region, parental age, infant's sex and parity [37] They concluded that pregnant women with OSA are at increased risk for having LBW, preterm, and SGA infants, cesarean birth, and preeclampsia compared with pregnant women without OSA. However, this study suffers from several limitations. The major limitation is the absence of information regarding maternal BMI. Obesity is a known risk factor for the development of OSA and for adverse pregnancy outcomes and it is likely that obesity is the underlying mechanism responsible to all the adverse pregnancy outcomes found in this study. Similar to other studies showing adverse maternal and fetal outcomes, it is also possible that some of the adverse pregnancy outcomes (such as preeclampsia) are the mediating mechanism between OSA and adverse fetal outcome and not the OSA per se. In addition the lack of information regarding OSA severity and the percentage of patients treated with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) might have also affect the results of the study.

Animal studies and small human case reports have demonstrated that maternal apneic episodes are accompanied by fetal heart rate alterations. These heart rate alterations may be a contributing factor to adverse fetal outcomes. Exposure of rats to chronic or prolonged intermittent maternal hypoxia has shown to cause fetal bradycardia and decreased fetal breathing movements [26]. In one of the first publications on OSA during pregnancy, Joel-Cohen and Schoenfeld reported 3 cases of clinical OSA, not confirmed by polysomnography (PSG), with apnea-associated changes in fetal heart rate [38]. However, a case report of severe OSA during pregnancy revealed normal fetal heart rate reactivity, on cardiotocographic recording (CTG) even during maternal apneic episodes associated with severe desaturations [39].

In a prospective observational study, Sahin and colleagues investigated simultaneously the PSG and nonstress test (NST) records of pregnant women with OSA in order to observe NST changes during maternal desaturation. Four out of 35 women (11.4%) had OSA. Of those, 3 had fetal heart decelerations accompanying maternal desaturation. The neonates of women diagnosed with OSA had lower Apgar scores and birth weights compared with neonates of women without OSA [40].

In a larger sample size of 100 women in a prospectively acquired cohort, Olivarez and colleagues investigated the association between sleep-related maternal apnea events and changes in fetal oxygation status, as measured by fetal heart rate monitoring (FHM). The women underwent at least 3 hours of nocturnal PSG with FHM. Most of the participants were Hispanic and were admitted for unrelated conditions, preterm labor, GDM, pregnancy induced...
hypertension, premature rupture of membranes, chronic hypertension, trauma, and urinary tract infection. Twenty percent were diagnosed with OSA. Among the 20 subjects with OSA the only FHM abnormality that occurred in the study participants was variable decelerations that were of appropriate gestational age. Moreover, none of the apnea episodes were associated with any fetal tracing abnormality. Thus, apnea episodes were not accompanied by any significant changes in the fetal heart rate [36].

While results regarding fetal growth, Apgar score and prematurity are inconclusive, evidence does suggest that maternal SDB bears some risk to the developing fetus. In a recent publication from our group on 222 pregnant women with uncomplicated pregnancy, we found that maternal snoring is associated with enhanced fetal erythropoiesis manifested by increased cord blood levels of nucleated red blood cells, erythropoietin and interleukin-6. These findings provide preliminary evidence that maternal snoring is associated with subtle alterations in markers of fetal wellbeing [41].

In summary, conflicting data exists about the effect of maternal SDB on the fetus. Although some studies report an association with fetal growth, Apgar score and even prematurity, other studies suggest that there is no effect on the fetus. Conflicting data also exists about the effect of maternal apneic episodes on fetal heart rate alterations. Most of the published literature to date is based on subjective assessment of sleep and lacks objective measures that could provide information regarding disease severity. The differences found between studies can be explained by the fact that most of the studies investigated both maternal and fetal outcomes and, therefore, included complicated pregnancies that could by themselves affect fetal growth. For example, pregnancy induced hypertension increases the risk of adverse outcomes such as premature delivery and fetal growth retardation. Moreover, some of the studies did not control for important confounders such as obesity, diabetes, hypertension and other complications of pregnancy. Further studies using objective measures of sleep and controlling for important confounders are required in order to understand whether maternal SDB affects the developing fetus.

MATERNAL SLEEP PRACTICES AND THE RISK OF LATE STILLBIRTH

In high income countries, more than one in 200 births result in a stillbirth [42, 43] which therefore remains an important public health issue, with little change in its rate over the past two decades [43]. In a recent case control study, Stacey and colleagues have investigated the potential impact of SDB and sleep practices on the developing fetus and the risk of stillbirth [44]. They investigated 155 women with singleton late stillbirth (>28 week's gestation) and compared them to 310 matched controls. No relation was found between snoring or daytime sleepiness and risk of late stillbirth. However, women who slept on their back or on the right side on the previous night (before stillbirth or interview) were more likely to experience a late stillbirth compared with women who slept on their left side. Moreover, women who got up to the toilet once or less on the last night were more likely to experience a late stillbirth compared with women who got up more frequently. Women who regularly slept during the day in the previous month were also more likely to experience a late stillbirth than those who did not [44]. Although the findings of this study should be seen as only generating a hypothesis and needing validation, they highlight the importance of the research on maternal sleep and fetal outcome and the potential implications that may be derived from this research.
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