Hyercapnic Ventilatory Response: A Comparison Between Elite and Novice Skin Divers
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Abstract: This study tested whether hypercapnic ventilatory response (HCVR) is affected by experience in apnoea and explored the possible underlying mechanisms of this phenomenon, with reference to maximum breath hold time (BHT).

Elite apnoea divers (EBH=11) and novice subjects (NBH=10) performed a HCVR test (BM), which was repeated by the latter group on another day (PRE) after subjects executed five repeated maximum apnoeas. Subsequently, after a two week period of daily apnoea training, NBH subjects repeated HCVR test (POST). Diaphragmatic activity was recorded to determine apnoea easy going phase.

Baseline HCVR of EBH was not lower than that of NBH. After execution of five apnoeas, HCVR in EBH decreased (P<0.05) whereas it was not different among BM, PRE, and POST conditions in the NBH. Higher BHT and easy going phase values ensued from apnoea maneuvers in EBH than in NBH (PRE and POST), and in NBH POST compared to PRE condition (P<0.05). HCVR was highly correlated (P<0.05) with the cumulative easy going phase in EBH, PRE condition but nothing-similar observed in NBH, neither in PRE nor in POST conditions.

These results indicate that at rest HCVR is not lower in experienced, than novice, skin divers; this response becomes dull after five repeated maximum apnoeas only in EBH. In the NBH group, two weeks of apnoea training are not adequate to affect HCVR despite an increase of BHT. It appears that after a repeated maximum apnoea maneuver experienced skin divers improve BHT by extending easy going phase whereas NBH by other means.
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INTRODUCTION

Breath responsiveness to a high concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in arterial blood is referred as hypercapnic ventilatory response (HCVR). It is estimated by the slope (α) of the relation between ventilation (Ve) and partial pressure of end tidal CO₂ (α = Ve/Pet(CO₂)) and manifests the adaptability, health and welfare of pulmonary respiration [1].

Experienced skin divers because of familiarization and training seem to develop long term low chemosensitivity to CO₂ [2-5], leading probably to a reduced need for ventilation and consequently in increased duration of breath hold time (BHT) [6]. Repeating breath hold efforts with a short time interval of 4 min between them, increases, temporarily though, CO₂ retention [7]. Similarly, after five repeating breath hold efforts with face immersion in cold water at 12°C with an interval of 2 min between each effort, induce significant retention of CO₂ which lasts at least 60 min in both experienced and novice divers [8]. It was speculated that repeated breath hold efforts through retention of CO₂ resets in both novice and experienced divers, the sensitivity threshold of central chemoreceptors [8] like apnoea training probably does and consequently both short apnoea repetition and training may reduce the need for breathing [9]. This phenomenon has been speculated to gradually lead in increased BHT in protocols of five repeated breath holds with an interval of 2-8 min [10-15]. However, all these assumptions were recently questioned since five repeated breath holds did not change HCVR in novice subjects and therefore recorded gradual BHT enhancement could not be attributed to HCVR alterations [16].

Collectively, dullness of hypercapnic ventilatory response is not observed indiscriminately in all skin divers and the influence of short and mid term training on it, remains entirely controversial. The scope of the present study was to explore the effect of short (five consecutive breath hold efforts) and mid term (two weeks of daily sessions) training on the development of low chemosensitivity in experienced and novice divers. It was assumed that hypercapnic ventilatory response is a trait, which befits to only experienced skin divers and short term training would not be effective in improving it.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects were grouped according to their experience in breath holding activities; the group of elite breath hold divers were members of apnoea national team (EBH, n = 11) with
BHT greater than 3.5 min and the novice subjects were university students (NBH, n = 10) without any apnoea experience and BHT between 0.5 min and 3.5 min. Their age, weight and height were 28.1 ± 1.9 years, 84.4 ± 3.2 kg, 182.5 ± 1.8 cm and 20.9 ± 0.5 years, 82.2 ± 3.6 kg, 180.2 ± 2.1 cm for EBH and NBH, respectively. Subjects in both groups had similar maximal oxygen uptake (\(VO_2\max\)) ranging between 35 and 45 ml/kg/min.

**Experimental Procedures**

Initially, in separate days, at the same time, and in a random counter balanced order, subjects visited the laboratory twice. In the first visit, after familiarization and preliminary measurements, all subjects executed a HCVR test (BM condition). In particular, all participants familiarized themselves with the laboratory environment and the methods adopted in the study. They were instructed and trained in such a way so that each time an apnoea was performed, either in a laboratory or training setting, it was done in a relaxed way, in the same personal manner, without hyperventilating, swallowing or exhaling and performing any kind of maneuvers, like Valsava or Mueller [17]. Body measurements (Bilance SALUS S12, Italy), and a force vital capacity test in a sitting position (MedGraphics CPX/Ultima, USA) were also conducted. Subsequently, a HCVR test was performed, which was followed, within the next 30 min, by a progressive effort till to exhaustion protocol, on a cycle ergometer (Lode® Instrumenten Holland), to measure \(VO_2\max\) (MedGraphics CPX/Ultima, USA).

In the second visit (PRE condition) three days later, the subjects performed from a prone position, having the arms always beside their head at the same horizontal level, five repeated maximal-effort apnoeas (BHs) with face immersion in cold water (12°C) without being given any feedback of running time. An intermediate recovery period of 2 min was allowed among BHs. Briefly, under subjects’ head was a water container (6.5 l) covered by a removable wooden pillow layered by a friendly soft hypoallergenic material where the subjects rested their head and relaxed for 7 min until a stable resting heart rate was achieved. Subjects always wore a nose clip (PARADISIA, France) and breathed via a mouthpiece with two manual three way valves (Hans Rudolph. INC., K.C.MO., USA). The first valve was connected directly to automated open-circuit spirometer (MedGraphics CPX/Ultima, USA) in order to record respiratory variables. The second valve was connected to an elastic vinyl bag of 5 liters maximum capacity (Vacuummed, USA) filled with atmospheric air any time subjects inhaled for last time prior to each BHs. Subjects were verbally informed at 60 sec, 30 sec, 15 sec prior to each BHs and at 10 sec a final sound signal reminded subjects to raise their head and the pillow was removed. At that point in time subjects voluntarily fully exhaled to their residual volume, inhaled 85% of their force vital capacity, [while the mouthpiece with the bag was removed away by a researcher] and immediately immersed their face, including chin and forehead, in the water. Upon termination of each BHs effort, subjects raised their head above the water surface, turned their head right and a standby researcher inserted the mouthpiece in a proper way into their mouth for exhalation while the cover pillow was repositioned for head resting. After that subjects’ face was dried gently with a towel of micro fibers (Packtowl Personal, MSR, USA).

Two min after the fifth BHs effort subjects performed a HCVR test. Taking into account the starting time of the HCVR in the 1st visit, the starting time of the 2nd laboratory visit was determined about ~30 min earlier so both HCVR tests were performed, at approximately the same time [18]. HCVR test in any condition was always conducted in a sitting position. The subjects initially breathed atmospheric air through a mouthpiece with two manual three way valves connected directly to automated open-circuit spirometer in order to record breath-by-breath \(VE\) and \(PETCO_2\) as previously described. After that, subjects fully exhaled to their residual volume and stopcock was switched [manually by the researcher] to let the subject rebreathe via an elastic vinyl bag also connected directly to automated open-circuit spirometer. The bag was filled with hyperoxic gas [~94% \(O_2\)] enriched with \(CO_2\) [~6%] where partial pressure of \(CO_2\) (\(PCO_2\)) was approximately two times fold the estimated arteriovenous difference above rest \(PETCO_2\), (~50mmHg for technical reasons). Subjects rebreathed from the bag until \(PETCO_2\) reached 65 mmHg for 4 min minimum to 6 min maximum. Analysis of the breath-by-breath respiratory data revealed the \(CO_2\) sensitivity as expressed by the slope of \(\alpha = \text{Ve/PEtCO}_2\), (for details and validity of HCVR test see original papers [1, 19]). From the next day and for the next two weeks the NBH group only, was subjected to daily, under supervision, apnoea training in a series of five maximal repeated apnoea efforts separated by 2 min intervals. Subjects were sitting at rest or in supine position in a dry environment. In a third laboratory visit (POST condition) two weeks afterwards the NBH group repeated the experimental protocol of the second visit at the same time.

During second and third condition diaphragmatic activity was recorded continuously by skin-surface electromyography. Disposable, self-sticking silver/silver chloride electrodes [+,-] Kendall Meditrace® (Covidien, USA), were attached on the skin at the diaphragm level and were connected on line with a differential amplifier (EMG100 Biopack Systems Inc., USA), an analog to digital transducer (UIM100C Biopack Systems Inc., USA), the MP100A-CE system (Biopack Systems Inc., USA) and finally with a laptop (HP-Pavilion DV4000, Hewlett-Packard Company, USA). The MP100A was accompanied by AcqKnowledge 3.7.3 software (Biopack Systems Inc., USA), which was used during experimental procedure and for later electromyogram analysis. The electrical analog signal produced by the diaphragm was recorded at 1000 kHz. Later on a 20-250 kHz band pass digital filter was applied followed by signal rectification [20], mean value smoothing (smoothing factor: 20) [21] and finally integration (for more details see AcqKnowledge® III [22]). During BHs efforts a recorded steep increase of diaphragmatic activity was considered as the landmark of involuntary ventilatory activity, and hence as the end of easy going phase (EPh). Steep rise was determined as an increase of two standard deviations above the mean value of the rest condition in diaphragmatic electromyogram. For breath hold time recording two hand
stopwatches (Casio HS30W, Japan) were used. Arterialized capillary blood gases (IL 1640, Instrumentation Laboratory, Spain) measurements from the left middle finger were also conducted during rest and immediately after the end of each fifth BH effort. Hemodynamics and arterial hemoglobin oxygen saturation were also continuously recorded with a cuff 

attached on the right middle finger (Finometer, Finapres Medical Systems BV, The Netherlands) and a pulse oximeter (NELLCOR Symphony N-3000, USA) on the left index finger respectively, mainly for safety reasons. Prior to each experimental condition, all instruments were calibrated according to manufacturers’ guidelines. All subjects visited the laboratory having performed no strenuous activity in the preceding three days and kept similar food habits throughout the experimental design [23, 24]. All experiments were conducted at similar ambient air temperature (24-25°C) and relative humidity (50±5%).

The protocol of the study was compiled with the Declaration of Helsinki [25] and was approved by local ethical committee. All participants were informed with details in a written way about any potential risk involved, as well as the experimental procedure and methods.

**Statistical Analysis**

All variables are presented as means ± SE. Shapiro-Wilk tests and Q - Q graphs of dependent variables indicated that data were normally distributed (P > 0.05). ANOVA with two factors was used for analyzing average BHT, HCVR and respiratory data. One factor entailed two independent levels (experienced or novice group; different subjects in each group, EBH vs NBH). The other factor entailed three dependent levels (repeated measures of the same subjects) during visit 1, 2, and 3. Data collected during repeated breath hold efforts were analyzed with a 2 (EBH, NBH) x 2 (Pre, Post training) x 5 (BH efforts) ANOVA design with repeated measures on second and third level; Bonferroni post hoc tests were used whenever significant main effect or interaction was found. Indepedent t test was used for comparisons in one variable between groups and correlation coefficient (r) between two variables. A P ≤ 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**RESULTS**

Physical traits of subjects are shown on Table 1. Subjects’ BHT range was 274.0-400.0, 57.1-166.5 and 90.1-260.9 sec in the EBH, PRE, and NBH, PRE, and POST conditions, respectively. The highest value of BHT was observed within the space among the third and the fifth BH effort (P ≤ 0.05, Fig. 1). The cumulative BHT of five BHf1 effort in the PRE condition (149.8 ± 55.2 sec) was significantly higher compared to PRE (539.0 ± 50.0 sec), and POST (720.9 ± 74.9 sec) condition in NBH subjects, (P ≤ 0.05). The cumulative BHT of NBH group in POST was also significantly improved by 33.8% compared to PRE condition, (P ≤ 0.05).

The EBH had also significantly higher average values of EPh for each repeated BHf1 effort than the NBH, in PRE and POST conditions and the higher values were exhibited among the second and the fifth BHf1, (P ≤ 0.05, Fig. 2). The cumulative EPh of the five BHf1 efforts was significantly higher in EBH, PRE condition (669.3 ± 59.4 sec) compared to NBH for both PRE (314.0 ± 37.4 sec) and POST (361.7 ± 41.9 sec) conditions, (P ≤ 0.05), while POST, did not differ significantly from PRE values, in NBH group.

On the average, recovery values in the 2 min relaxing periods of \( P_{\text{ET}} CO_2 \) after repeated BHf1, were significantly higher in EBH, than in NBH group in both conditions, (P ≤ 0.05, Fig. 3). Average \( V_E \) values in the 2 min relaxing periods between repeated BHf1 efforts were similar in all conditions and groups where subjects were instructed to avoid hyperventilation.

**DISCUSSION**

Alteration of regular hypercapnic sensitivity, usually encountered in diving, mining and pulmonary diseases, is associated with fitness and wellness of respiration. Whereas

---

**Table 1. Physical characteristics of elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>FM</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>( VO_2\text{max} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>182.5</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>180.2</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FM: fatty mass, FVC: force vital capacity, \( VO_2\text{max} \): maximum oxygen uptake.

* Significant difference between groups, (P ≤ 0.05).
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Fig. (1). Mean values (+ SE) of maximum breath hold time (BHT) in five repeated apnoeas (BH) in pre training condition (PRE) and after apnoea training intervention (POST), in elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH). [The * indicates significant difference between conditions, \( P \leq 0.05 \)].

Fig. (2). Mean values (+ SE) of easy going phase (EPh) in five repeated apnoeas (BH) in pre training condition (PRE) and after apnoea training intervention (POST), in elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH). [The * indicates significant difference between conditions, \( P \leq 0.05 \)].

Fig. (3). Mean values (+ SE) of partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide \( (P_{ET}\text{CO}_2) \) at rest (Rest) and after five repetitive apnoeas (BH) in pre training condition (PRE) or after the apnoea training intervention (POST) in the elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH). [The †, § indicate significant differences within or between BH efforts in PRE conditions, and between groups in PRE-POST contrast, respectively, \( P \leq 0.05 \)].
Table 2. Correlation ($r$) of the cumulative easy going phase of five repeated apnoeas in the pre training condition (PRE) and after apnoea training intervention (POST), in elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH) with the slope $\alpha$ ($\dot{V}\text{E}/P\text{ETCO}_2$), as expressed by measurement of the CO$_2$ sensitivity, two minutes after the end of the five repeated apnoeas efforts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$r$ ($r^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBH (PRE)</td>
<td>0.61* (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBH (PRE)</td>
<td>-0.06 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBH (POST)</td>
<td>-0.27 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant correlation among variables, ($P \leq 0.05$).

Fig. (4). Mean values ($\pm$ SE) of measurement of CO$_2$ sensitivity as expressed by the slope of $\alpha = \dot{V}\text{E}/P\text{ETCO}_2$, in base line condition (BM), in the pre training (PRE) and after apnoea training intervention (POST), in elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH). [The * indicates significant difference between conditions, ($P \leq 0.05$)].

Fig. (5). Mean values ($\pm$ SE) of measurement of partial pressure of arterialized CO$_2$ (PCO$_2$) at rest (Rest), after the five repetitive apnoeas (RecBH) in the pre training condition (PRE) and after the apnoea training intervention (POST) in the elite breath hold divers (EBH) and novice in apnoea subjects (NBH). [The †, ‡ indicate significant differences between the BH efforts in PRE and POST conditions, respectively, ($P \leq 0.05$)].

**this fact is undoubtedly accepted, the process of developing hypercapnic dullness is vague and controversial. The present study tested whether hypercapnic ventilatory de-sensitization occurs after five intermittent apnoea efforts and in a mid term after two weeks of daily apnoea training sessions in healthy, experienced and novice divers. It was initially hypothesized that low hypercapnic ventilatory sensitivity is a long - drawn process, which befits only experienced skin divers and that short term training would not be effective in improving it. It was found that indeed experienced skin divers tend to have low carbon dioxide sensitivity, which is further enhanced after repeating five apnoea efforts. On the other hand, carbon dioxide sensitivity in novice apnoeists did not decrease after performing five intermittent breath hold efforts either in an acute way or after two weeks of apnoea training.**
The experimental protocol of five repeated static BH$_{FI}$ with a two minute interval in between efforts has been widely used [8, 10, 26]. Subjects in both EBH, PRE and NBH, POST conditions, succeeded significant elongation of BHT which gradually peaked in the last two efforts, like a number of other studies with similar protocols have solidly confirmed [10-15, 27-29]. As it was expected [30] EBH individuals accomplished, significantly higher values of BHT per each breath hold effort and in total compared to NBH subjects.

The great variance of BHT among EBH and NBH individuals mostly depends on the interaction of chemosensitivity, and psychological factors [31-33]. The onset of diaphragmatic involuntary ventilatory activity separates breath hold in two phases, the easy going and struggle phase (SPh) [6, 34, 35]. In EPh, diaphragmatic activity is too mild whereas in SPh, diaphragmatic activity is intense as the effort for preserving apnoea increases dramatically [6, 34, 35]. The duration of EPh is determined by chemical stimuli such as the increased arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide and the decreased arterial partial pressure of oxygen [6, 31, 33, 34], while SPh duration is determined by non chemical stimuli possibly such as the subjects’ psychological strength to overcome the central command for ventilation and the unpleasant feeling of asphyxiation [36-38].

With regard to chemical stimuli, EBH and NBH subjects exhibited similar partial pressure of arterialized, and end-tidal carbon dioxide values, at rest. Likewise, no difference recorded in HCVR tested before experimental evaluation, although there was a tendency (P = 0.11) in the slope $\alpha$ to be lower in EBH than NBH. Hypercapnic de-sensitization became apparent in EBH subjects when HCVR was tested after a manoeuvre of performing five intermittent efforts of apnoea, a finding in accordance with the literature [7, 19, 39-42]. On the contrary, the same manoeuvre rather worsens hypercapnic response in NBH. Comparing EBH and NBH, the lower chemosensitivity in EBH was accompanied by a lower end tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure measured in the mid point of the 2 min break between repeated apnoeas. This association between CO$_2$ sensitivity and P$_{ET}$CO$_2$ is enhanced after two weeks of apnoea training (see Figs. 3, 4). It is noteworthy, that arterialized carbon dioxide, measured right away the fifth apnoea effort, was elevated in both EBH and NBH groups, a finding previously shown [8]. These findings suggest that there is a sequence of physiological events underlying the development of carbon dioxide desensitization. It appears that high values of arterialized carbon dioxide constitute the stimuli in all cases. Low values of alveolar CO$_2$, as seen in EBH, constitutes a counter-acting stimulus to high arterial CO$_2$ and probably contributes to the exhibited low chemosensitivity. The exact mechanism of improving arterial to alveolar gradient in order to eliminate high amounts of CO$_2$ effectively at the background of low chemosensitivity, as it was found in EBH, is unknown, but it could be speculated that is caused by long drawn changes, which might improve lung diffusion capacity or ventilation - perfusion ratio. In NBH such scheme is sketchy; it may gradually develop, however, as seen from the progressive drop of P$_{ET}$CO$_2$ after two weeks of apnoea training.

The time period needed someone to develop low CO$_2$ sensitivity is not known. It was only shown that after three months’ absence from diving training chemosensitivity of instructors working at a submarine escape training tank increased [4]. Information entailing long term apnoea training on chemosensitivity is entirely lacking.

Chronic retention of CO$_2$ in SCUBA divers [2, 40, 43-45] as well as in free divers [3-5] has been correctly associated with diminution of hypercapnic ventilatory response. However, the present results of novice apneists indicated that this is not always the case, as someone would assume based on Bakovic et al. (2006) data. In other words, in inexperienced subjects any temporary retention of CO$_2$ succeeded five repeated BH$_{FI}$ does not have as a result a short-term decreased HCVR in order to delay the chemical stimulus to cease breath and in extension to increase BHT [7].

Another original finding of the present study was that HCVR was highly correlated with the cumulative easy going phase of five repeated apnoeas in EBH but not in NBH. Therefore, we could allege that BHT improvement in EBH group is attributed to changes in chemosensitivity whereas the respective improvement of BHT in NBH group is probably caused by improvement of tolerance of unpleasant stimuli. However, except the contribution of chemosensitivity and mettle in BHT elongation, after repeated BH$_{FI}$, unintentional hyperventilation between BH efforts, apnoeogenic chemodynamic adaptations and hematological changes due to active contraction of spleen, should also contribute in increase of BHT [7, 10, 11, 14, 29, 46, 47]. None of the above factors were measured, in the present study, except that no hyperventilation in between the BH$_{FI}$ was allowed.

For the first time the present study proved that dullness of hypercapnic ventilatory response after 5 repeated breath hold efforts is an exclusive trait, highly correlated with the cumulative easy going phase only in elite and not in novice skin divers. Furthermore, the increase of maximum breath hold time in novice subjects throughout five repeated apnoea efforts is rather attributed to elongation of struggle phase and to enhanced stamina for unpleasant stimuli. Hypercapnic desensitization is considered to serve energy parsimony by unloading respiratory hyperactivity. The principles of its development and the level of its tolerance have paramount importance in the treatment of respiratory diseases and the enhancement of apnoea performance. The minimum time period needed for someone to develop low hypercapnic ventilatory response remains unknown and further research is needed.
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