The Use of Eccentric Strength Training to Enhance Maximal Muscle Strength, Explosive Force (RFD) and Muscular Power - Consequences for Athletic Performance
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Abstract: Heavy-resistance strength training results in marked increases in maximal muscle strength and power in both athletes, untrained subjects and elderly individuals. The addition of eccentric overload training (loads > 100% 1RM) appears to evoke enhanced gains in these parameters. Further, the use of plyometric training evokes marked increases in maximal muscle power. The adaptive physiological mechanisms involve changes in neuromuscular activity and alterations in muscle morphology (fiber size, fiber lengths) and muscle architecture, respectively, while changes in tendon stiffness may potentially contribute as well.
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INTRODUCTION

Maximal muscle strength and power can be maximized by means of resistance training, however different types of training may lead to differential gains in one or the other parameter. Heavy-resistance strength training (HRST) leads to increased levels of contractile muscle strength during isometric, concentric and eccentric muscle actions of maximal voluntary effort. Corresponding effects of HRST on maximal muscle power production have been reported in the literature, while more specialized types of resistance training (i.e. plyometric exercises) appear to also induce gains in maximal muscle power production.

This brief review article presents and discus the effect of various resistance training modalities, including supramaximal eccentric training and plyometric training, on the maximal force and power production of human skeletal muscle in untrained subjects, athletes and aging individuals.

HEAVY-RESISTANCE STRENGTH TRAINING

Maximal muscle strength typically is increased by 20-40% in response to HRST of medium to moderate time length (8-16 weeks) [1-3]. Slightly greater gains may be seen for maximal muscle power, which typically demonstrates increases of 20-50% after months of HRST training both in young untrained persons [4-6], elite soccer players [7] (Fig. 1) and old individuals [8]. Notably, HRST appears to evoke similar [4, 8] or greater [7] gains in maximum muscle power than low-resistance types of resistance training (cf. Fig. 1).

As a result of adaptive alterations in neural function [9-11], muscle morphology [3, 12, 13] and architecture [12] HRST is found to induce greater gains in maximal muscle strength and power compared to low-resistance types of training [1, 4, 5, 7] (cf. Fig. 1). Notably, the increase in maximum muscle strength contributes per se to the gain in maximal muscle power observed following HRST. Furthermore, tendon stiffness may increase with HRST [14, 15], which theoretically allows to perform faster stretch-shortening actions (SSC) that for a given muscle work output would translate into an elevated power production.

Excised muscle fibers obtained from subjects exposed to years of resistance training demonstrate greater contractile force and power production compared to that measured in single muscle fibers from untrained age-matched individuals [16] (Fig. 3). Maximum fiber shortening speed as well as muscle fiber power normalized relative to fiber size appear to remain unaffected by HRST [16, 17], altogether suggesting that the superior in vitro power production of single muscle fibers with HRST is mainly the overall result of individual muscle fiber hypertrophy.

ECCENTRIC TRAINING

Neuromuscular activity is suppressed during maximal eccentric (ECC) muscle contraction in untrained subjects [10, 18], due to reduced levels of central activation [19] and reduced efferent motoneuron outflow indicated by diminished evoked V wave responses [20]. Maximum ECC muscle force during MVC can be increased by superimposed electrical muscle stimulation only in untrained age-matched individuals [16] (Fig. 3). Maximum fiber shortening speed as well as muscle fiber power normalized relative to fiber size appear to remain unaffected by HRST [16, 17], altogether suggesting that the superior in vitro power production of single muscle fibers with HRST is mainly the overall result of individual muscle fiber hypertrophy.
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creased presynaptic inhibition and elevated descending motor drive [11, 23]. Notably, the use of supramaximal ECC strength training leads to selectively elevated V wave responses during maximal eccentric contraction [20], demonstrating that adaptive changes in spinal motorneuron function and/or descending motor drive were evoked during ECC contraction. As a result, maximal ECC muscle strength is markedly increased with this particular type of training (discussed below).

Eccentric resistance training using submaximal, maximal (100% 1RM) or supramaximal (> 100% 1RM) training loads may lead to greater increases in maximal muscle strength compared to more conventional types of HRST [2, 24-28], in part due to greater or a more pronounced degree of muscle hypertrophy following eccentric training [22, 24-26] (Fig. 2).

The greater gains in maximal muscle strength is expected to result in greater increases in maximal muscle power, since power is constituted by the product between instantaneous muscle force and muscle shortening speed, respectively. Animal experiments have shown that eccentric resistance training, especially when performed at long fiber lengths (i.e. at highly flexed joint positions, such as in deep squats) may lead to sarcomere addition and elongated muscle fiber lengths [29-32]. Notably, elongated muscle fiber lengths will contribute substantially to the gain in maximal muscle power induced by training. Thus, simulation analysis using empirical F-V and P-V relationships obtained for single human muscle fibers reveals that a 10% increase in maximal isometric muscle fiber force leads to a 10% gain in maximum (peak) power production, while a 10% increase in fiber length with a corresponding increase in maximal fiber shortening speed (Vo) cause maximum (peak) power to also increase by 10%. However, the relative gain in muscle power generated at high contraction speed (corresponding to 75% of Vo) is 4-fold higher (42% vs 10%) when comparing the effect of increasing muscle fiber length by 10% vs increasing maximum isometric force by 10%, respectively. Most potently, however, if a 10% gain is achieved both for maximum force and muscle fiber length, respectively, this yields a 56% gain in high-speed power (at 75% Vo). In comparison, maximum (peak) power production is increased by 21%. Thus, any training induced increase in muscle fiber length is likely to have a strong positive influence on the magnitude of maximal muscle power production, especially during very fast movements.

PLYOMETRIC TRAINING

Plyometric training involves forceful stretch-shortening (SSC) actions in the muscle-tendon complex [32] that typically involves production of high eccentric muscle forces, and where the magnitude of peak muscle loading (net joint moments) is remarkably similar to those observed during conventional HRST exercises. Consequently, plyometric training may lead to muscle hypertrophy, at least when performed in previously untrained subjects [33, 34], while frequently also leading to more pronounced gains in maximal muscle power than that attained by HRST alone [35]. However, the latter finding may be obscured by the fact that
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**Fig. (1).** Peak quadriceps muscle power production before (full line) and after (dotted) 12 wks of heavy-resistance (8 RM, HRgroup) or low-resistance (24 RM, LRgroup) strength training in elite soccer players. Data from Aagaard et al. [7].

**Fig. (2).** Changes in quadriceps muscle cross-sectional area after conventional (grey bars) and supramaximal eccentric (black bars) strength training. Data from Norrbrand et al. [27].
plyometric training typically introduces a large learning effect when subjects are evaluated (tested) in SSC exercises that are identical to those used in the plyometric training. Regardless, for athletes involved in explosive-type events such as sprinting, maximal jumping and throwing, the combination of HRST and plyometric training may lead to enhanced performance and greater gains in maximal muscle power than HRST alone.

Single muscle fiber data obtained in previously untrained subjects (physical education students) before and after plyometric training suggest this training modality results in an elevated maximum muscle fiber shortening speed in vitro, which explains the substantial gain in maximal power production observed for isolated muscle fibers [33].

The optimal loading intensity for maximizing the gain in muscle power with plyometric training remains a subject of debate [35]. In addition, the optimum power load (i.e. the load eliciting maximal power output) varies between muscle groups, and is also affected by the training status of the subject, as well as the choice of exercise [35]. For example, in strength trained individuals maximum leg muscle power was found to occur during unloaded (0% 1RM) squat jumping whereas the optimum load for maximizing the power output during conventional squat exercise was 40-70% of 1RM [14]. Nevertheless, the optimal training load for inducing maximal longitudinal gains in muscle power may well include very high loading intensities of 80-100% of 1RM (cf. Fig. 1) to ensure that optimal adaptation take place both in neural function [11, 36] and muscle size [37], and while performed in combination with eccentric training and/or plyometric training exercises. The functional significance of the latter training modality may primarily be to evoke increases in muscle fiber length, and to train (‘learn’) the CNS to perform very rapid SSC movements.

CONCLUSIONS

Maximal muscle strength and power are elevated in response to heavy-resistance strength training (HRST), and the addition of eccentric and/or plyometric training appear to evoke enhanced gains in these parameters. The adaptive physiological mechanisms involve changes in neuromuscular activity and alterations in muscle morphology (fiber size, fiber lengths) and muscle architecture, respectively, while changes in tendon stiffness may potentially contribute as well.
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