Assessment of the Performance of Road Markings in Urban Areas: The Outcomes of the CIVITAS RENAISSANCE Project
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Abstract: In the framework of the EU funded CIVITAS plus RENAISSANCE project, a research activity was focused on the definition of a new methodology for evaluating the global quality of the road markings at urban level, both from a technical and an economic point of view, in order to assure road safety.

Two innovative indicators were developed: one for the assessment of the technical performance (CIS-Q) and one for the optimization of the budget (CIS-C).

In order to rate the global quality of municipal road markings, the performance indicator CIS-Q takes into account all the parameters characterizing the performance of road markings, such as luminance coefficient in day conditions $Q_d$, retroreflectivity in night conditions $R_L$ (dry, wet), skid resistance $SRT$, colour, kind of material and ageing of the pavement markings, traffic volumes, characteristics of road surface and average local weather conditions.

The economic indicator CIS-C considers the budget available for the road manager and compares it with the one needed to ensure an adequate level of quality for road markings.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Road safety is a focus of the European Commission policies: in 2009, more than 35,000 people died on the roads of the European Union and no fewer than 1,500,000 persons were injured. The corresponding social cost was approximately 130 billion Euros [1].

The third European Road Safety Action Programme (2003-2010) proposed a series of measures such as stricter checks on road traffic, new road safety technologies, improved road infrastructures and actions to improve users' behaviour [2]. The programme included an ambitious target to halve the number of road deaths by 2010, which was not reached. Despite that, the fourth European Road Safety Action Programme (2011-2020) maintains the target of halving the overall number of road deaths in the EU between 2010 and 2020 [2].

The importance of pavement markings and their influence on driving safety, especially at night and in difficult weather conditions (fog, rain, etc.) is well known [3].

Efficiency and durability of road horizontal markings are strongly required by both users and administrators. From the users’ point of view, pavement markings provide an optical path by means of an adequate contrast of colour and luminance with the road surface [4, 5], while costs and performance influence the administrators’ choices, especially as far as the time planning of maintenance.

Public administrations invest considerable amounts of money to keep the markings at adequate performance level. However the researches done so far have still not produced definitive results: it is still not clear which road markings produce benefits on safety [6-8]. At urban level things get more complicated because of several factors which are not present on highways, such as, pedestrian crossings, turnabouts, stop signals and also a different way of driving.

As stated by Elvik in [9], the use of cost–benefit analysis to set priorities for road safety policy, including road markings, is usually controversial, and it is almost impossible to foresee the trend of the performance of the materials used for the markings [10]. Furthermore, the number of typologies of used materials is very high and their performance is deeply influenced by local factors such as average weather conditions, AADT (annual average daily traffic), snow removal frequency, etc.

In the United States there are several studies on road markings realized by the Departments of Transportation of various federal states (the most interesting are those of the DoT of Kansas, Texas, California, and Iowa), while at European level the research is performed mainly by the
chemical companies manufacturing the materials used for road markings. Furthermore the research is mainly focused on extra urban roads (always considering retroreflection as the only yardstick) and little data are available for urban scenarios [11-14].

The European standard EN 1436 [15] defines the quality requirements for road markings, in terms of night and day visibility, colour and skid resistance, but it does not specify how some factors (such as road surface, installation conditions, age, traffic conditions) influence the efficiency and durability of adherence and retro-reflectivity properties [16-19]. Therefore a scientific approach is needed in order to define a modus operandi for in-situ measurements, to take into account the characteristics of the site of installation of road markings.

In this context, a research was done in Perugia (Italy) within the European Project CIVITAS RENAISSANCE - Testing Innovative Strategies for Clean Urban Transport for historic European cities” [20], funded under the 7th Framework Programme.

The general objective of the Project was to demonstrate that new and innovative transport solutions can facilitate mobility, economic growth and environmental sustainability while protecting the valuable fabric of historic cities. The cities involved in the project were: Perugia (Italy) – Project Leader, Bath (United Kingdom), Szczecinek (Poland), Gorna Oryahovitsa (Bulgaria) and Skopje (Republic of Macedonia).

In particular, measure 5.2 of the project, “Assessing the options for more efficient road pavement markings”, focused on the study of the performance of road surface markings. These activities aimed at defining a modus operandi for in-situ measurements able to take into account the characteristics of the installation site of road markings, in order to:

- optimize the maintenance;
- maximize the security;
- give the municipalities a tool to verify the quality of the application in order to assess if the requirements given in tenders are fulfilled.

This last aspect is particularly important for public administrations: as a matter of fact the evaluation of the performance of road surface markings in small and medium towns is usually made by means of the visual judgment of the amount of paint used, without any experimental support.

The paper reports the outcomes of the research. In particular a methodology was developed for measuring the performance of road markings, taking into account the characteristics of the site; two extensive experimental campaigns on selected road segments in Perugia were performed to validate the methodology. Finally, two new indicators were defined in order to rate the global quality of the road markings system of a municipality.

2. ROAD SURFACE MARKINGS: STATE OF THE ART

2.1 Materials Used for Road Surface Markings

There are four main typologies of road marking materials. Their characteristics differ in terms of costs, performance, durability, speed of application, as reported below [21-24]. The retro-reflecting properties of each material could be improved using glass beads; they could be mixed with the road marking material before the application on the road pavement or post-sprayed on the signage.

2.1.1. Paint

It is the most widely used road marking system in the world (see Fig. 1a). It does not require heating and can be applied very quickly at speeds up to 15 km/h. It has little build up and an affinity to glass beads, that can be either premixed or dropped on the paint while it is wet. This road marking system can be applied generally in warm seasons because of the weather conditions. Traffic paints are available with a variety of solvents (alkyd resin, rubber, modified alkyd) and water-borne. Solvent-borne paints are very durable with respect to water-borne, but have a higher content of VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds, around 440 g/l vs 150 g/l). Water-borne are much easier to handle than solvent paints, but wear off rapidly and lose reflectivity quickly. Therefore paints are not suitable for high-volume roadways, but for nondurable markings. Expected service life is around half to one year, while retro reflectivity – which is the main parameter to evaluate the performance of a road marking material, see § 2.2 - is within the range of 140-250 mcd/(lux m²). In Italy, the cost of solvent-based paint is between 0.3 and 0.5 €/m for stripes and between 3.4 and 4 €/m² for other signals (give way, pedestrian crossing, etc.). The cost of water-based paint is between 0.5 and 0.7 €/m for stripes and between 4.5 and 5.2 €/m² for other signals.

2.1.2. Thermoplastic

It is a durable pavement marking material composed of glass beads, pigments, binders (plastics and resins) and fillers (see Fig. 1b). There are two types of thermoplastics: hydrocarbon and alkyd. Thermoplastic road markings are solid at ambient temperatures, so they must be melted for the application (at least at 200°C). They do not contain solvents, have an application speed of around 5 km/h and a thickness from 1.5 to 3 mm. A correct installation requires a proper surface cleaning and the removal of the moisture; it is recommended not to apply thermoplastic materials on cold pavements surfaces (poor adhesion may occur). Moreover these materials can be re-applied over older thermoplastic marking and they perform very well on all types of asphalt surfaces. A loss of material may occur from snow-removal operation. Expected service life is from around 2 up to 7 years (strongly depending on formulation and installation), while retro reflectivity is within the range of 80-120 mcd/(lux m²). In Italy, the cost of thermoplastic paint is between 1.0 and 2.3 €/m² for stripes and between 8.2 and 15.2 €/m² for other signals.

2.1.3. Two-Components Resins

These materials are produced on site through the reaction of two chemical reactants (see Fig. 1c). The first component contains epoxy resin, pigment, extenders and fillers, while the second component acts as a catalyst to accelerate the setting time. Epoxy resin may be substituted with polyester, polyurea or methacrylate. All these materials have a low VOC content. Epoxy-based marking materials have a service life up to 4 years, but they discolour with age; they have a drying time in most cases higher than 40 minutes. Methyl
Methacrylate-based markings have to be applied slowly (5 km/h) and need a cure time of about 30 minutes; nevertheless this system has a very high retro-reflectivity and a service life up to 10 years. Polyurea-based marking materials have a faster drying time and need a short cure time (3 to 8 minutes); they may be also applied at low pavement surface temperatures (as low as 0°C) and are not affected by humidity. In Italy, the cost of two component resins is between 1.7 and 2.3 €/m for stripes and about 22.0 €/m² for other signals.

2.1.4. Preformed Road Markings (Tapes)

These materials are available with several performance levels and types, both permanent and temporary (see Fig. 1d). The markings can be applied to existing pavement rolled into hot surfaces, or placed in prepared grooves. They need a proper pavement surface preparation, a slow application and have a cost which is 5 to 10 times higher than thermoplastic. Glass beads are incorporated into the material during factory manufacturing. Nevertheless tapes have a service life longer than others marking systems and an excellent retro-reflectivity (300-1000 mcd/(lux m²)). In Italy, these materials are very expensive; for instance their cost reaches the 60 €/m² for pedestrian crossing and other signals like give way, stop, etc.

2.1.5. Glass Beads

As previously mentioned, the performance of all road marking materials in terms of retroreflectivity is determined by the quality and quantity of included glass beads.

Retroreflection is a particular reflection wherein the reflected rays of radiation return along paths parallel to those of their corresponding incident rays (see Fig. 2).

The glass beads cause retroreflection in a three step process involving:
- refraction (bending) as light enters a bead;
- reflection in the material in which the bead is embedded;
- refraction as the light leaves the bead.

The retro-reflection is due only to the interface between the road marking material and the glass. Moreover the quality and quantity of the pigments in the markings (Titanium dioxide for white markings) affect the retro-reflection.

Glass beads have usually diameters between 60 and 850 μm; they can be pre-mixed in the marking material or post-sprayed on the surface of the material after its installation.

Glass beads have a refraction index between 1.5 and 1.55 and have a high retro-reflection if their degree of sinking is between 55 and 60% of their diameter. A sinking degree less than the 50% weakens their resistance to tyres, while a
sinking degree higher than 60% limits the retro-reflection properties.

2.2. Parameters for the Evaluation of Road Markings Performance: Requirements and Equipment

The evaluation of road markings performance was introduced in the European Union through the standard EN 1436 in August 1997, following the withdrawal of the British Standard BS 3262 and of other national norms.

In particular, EN 1436 specifies the performance for the road user of white and yellow road markings, based on luminance (colour), day-time visibility, night-time visibility and skid resistance. The standard introduces also the importance of wet-night visibility road markings and describes the methods of measuring the various performance characteristics [15].

The standard derives from the studies conducted in the COST Action 331:1999 “Requirements for Horizontal Road Marking” [25], aimed at defining a scientific method to determine, on the basis of drivers’ visual needs, the optimum pavement marking design, in order to ensure markings visibility, by day and by night, in all weather conditions.

The application field of the road markings covered by the COST Action was restricted to interurban roads (motorways, dual carriageways and single carriageways). Therefore, pavement markings applied in urban areas were not considered and the requirements given by EN 1436 cannot be directly applied in such conditions.

The standard EN 1436 defines different classes of performance for road markings: the managing authorities of road networks can introduce a certain class of performance in their public tenders for the installation of road markings, depending on the compromise between road users’ needs and the available budget.

A short description of the parameters addressed by EN 1436 is reported below.

Reflection in daylight or under road lighting $Q_d$ is the property of the marking which describes the brightness of its colour.

$Q_d$ measures, true to scale, the luminance (day visibility) of a road marking. The observation angle of 2.29° corresponds to the viewing distance of a motor car driver of 30 m under normal conditions (see Fig. 3). The illumination is diffused light. Reflection in daylight or under road lighting $Q_d$ is measured using a retroreflectometer.

Reflection under vehicle headlamp illumination $R_L$ (commonly named retroreflection) is the ability of a road marking to reflect light from a vehicle headlights back to the driving position of a vehicle. Retroreflected light is reflected back toward the source (headlight) but it must spread out slightly in a very narrow cone or the driver would not be included within it and the sign or marking would not be seen by the driver.

$R_L$ measures, true to scale, the retroreflection (night visibility) of a road marking. Also in this case, the observation angle of 2.29° corresponds to the viewing distance of a motor car driver of 30 m under normal conditions. The illumination angle is 1.24° (see Fig. 4). Reflection under vehicle headlamp illumination $R_L$ should be measured in dry, wet and rain conditions with a retroreflectometer.

The road markings colour is defined through the luminance factor $\beta$ and chromaticity $(x, y)$, using the chromaticity diagram. Fig. (5) reports the plain figure having the chromaticity vertexes defined by EN 1436 for white markings. Chromaticity is measured using a spectrophotometer able to evaluate the optical performance even of small and irregular surfaces.

Skid resistance measurement on road markings is carried out using the standard British pendulum apparatus (see Fig. 6). The units of measurement quoted in EN 1436 are followed by the abbreviation SRT. The skid resistance correlates with the performance of a vehicle with patterned tyres braking with locked wheels on a wet road at 50 km/h. For skid resistance, emphasis is sometimes placed on those road markings which occupy a large percentage of the trafficked areas such as zebra crossings, arrows, transverse markings, text and symbols.

The SRT value should be evaluated through a portable skid resistance tester that gives a measure of the friction between a skidding tyre (a rubber slider mounted at the end of the pendulum arm) and a wet road surface. A correction of SRT is needed to take into account the influence of the asphalt temperature.

**Fig. (3).** Reflection in daylight or under road lighting [26].
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The requirements for white road markings laid on asphalt pavements given by EN 1436 are reported in Table 1. Different classes of performance are defined. Other requirements are given for yellow markings and for concrete pavements, but are not considered in the present paper, as well as the requirements for night visibility in rain conditions, that were not considered in the present research.

3. DEFINITION OF A NEW METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSING THE PERFORMANCE OF ROAD MARKINGS

The primary objective of Measure 5.2 of CIVITAS REINASSANCE was the definition of a methodology to evaluate the global quality of a road marking system [27]. In particular, the activity carried out during the project focused on the road network managed by the Municipality of Perugia (Italy), beneficiary of Renaissance.

This methodology links technical measurements of road markings performance with specific characteristics of the site (such as traffic flows, pavement and weather conditions, etc.) and is designed to be a tool for public administrations to monitor the quality of road markings and reduce the costs through an optimized management of maintenance.

The methodology was developed considering the parameters and the reference values given by EN 1436. On the basis of the literature review and of the knowledge acquired during the experimental campaigns, an original system of evaluation was defined in order to summarize all the parameters and the factors influencing the quality of road markings of a municipality in a single number indicator. This required the definition of a scale of scores for each parameter and also the quantification of the influence of each parameter on the global quality of road markings.

In the following sections the methodology is discussed and the results of two experimental campaigns are shown.

3.1. Selection of Test Sites

Various sites (28) for the experimental campaign were selected in collaboration with the road markings staff of the Municipality of Perugia (see Fig. 7). The first site was only used for an initial testing of the equipment and the related results are not reported. The selected sites are numbered from 002 to 028.

The choice of measurement locations was guided by the necessity to guarantee upscaling throughout the road network managed by the Municipality; therefore the chosen sites are representative of the several conditions which can be found throughout the roads of the whole territory of Perugia.

Three main parameters were considered for the selection:

(i) road surface: smooth, rough and other (for example cobblestones);
(ii) marking material: all the road marking materials used in the Municipality were considered (paint, thermoplastic, two-components, preformed) in order to compare their performance;

(iii) traffic flow: the road network of the Municipality of Perugia was divided into three classes, depending on the traffic flow (source of traffic data: Urban Traffic Plan):

- low, < 600 vehicles/hour;
- medium, 600 – 1500 vehicles/hour;
- high, > 1500 vehicles/hour.

Of course if measurements are carried out in municipalities other than Perugia the number of sites, the types of material, the ranges of traffic flows, etc. will differ. The selection of the test sites should be undertaken considering the characteristics of the roads managed by the municipality.

The selection of test sites was performed in the first stages of the project without considering the presence or absence of street lighting, which only in the following stages was considered as an important factor to evaluate the performance and the requirements that road markings should fulfill.

Table 1. Classes of Performance Defined by EN 1436 for White Markings on Asphalt Pavements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>RW0</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Q0</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>≥ 100</td>
<td>RW1</td>
<td>≥ 25</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>≥ 100</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>≥ 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>≥ 150</td>
<td>RW2</td>
<td>≥ 35</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>≥ 130</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>≥ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>≥ 200</td>
<td>RW3</td>
<td>≥ 50</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>≥ 160</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>≥ 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>≥ 300</td>
<td>RW4</td>
<td>≥ 75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>≥ 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW5</td>
<td>≥ 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>≥ 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW6</td>
<td>≥ 150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ref. [15].

Fig. (7). Test sites chosen in the Municipality of Perugia.
3.2. Measurements
In each test site the following measurements were carried out:

- Retroreflection in dry (R_{LD}) and wet (R_{LW}) conditions and Luminance (Q_d);
- Colour (chromaticity x,y);
- Skid resistance (SRT) and pavement temperature (needed for SRT value correction);

For each site, several measurement points were chosen, depending on the type (centre lines, sidelines, pedestrian crossings, stop lines, letters, etc, see Fig. 8) and the size of the markings.

Two experimental campaigns were carried out in each site: the first one in 2010 and the second one, to evaluate road markings durability, two years later (2012).

3.3. Data Processing
The goal of the data processing procedure is to assign a score to each site, combining all the measured parameters. Only white markings were considered in this research.

A scale of scores was established for each parameter, according to the tables given by EN 1436 (see Table 1). Scores range from 0 (worst) to 10 (best) where 6 represents the minimum requirement defined by EN 1436, i.e. the lowest class of performance. The score 10 corresponds to the highest class given by the standard while the score 0 represents extremely low performance. The ranges were defined consequently. As far as the colour, the score 10 (0) was assigned to the markings whose colour coordinates falls into (out of) the target area of the chromaticity diagram (Fig. 7). The proposed scale is reported in Table 2.

For each site the results obtained for the different parameters were averaged, obtaining a mean value for R_{LD}, R_{LW}, Q_d, SRT and colour (x,y).

For the j-th site, four different scores were therefore calculated, according to Table 2:

- Score at daytime in dry condition SD_d j;
- Score at daytime in wet condition SD_w j;
- Score at night-time in dry condition SN_d j;
- Score at night-time in wet condition SN_w j.

These indicators were obtained weighting all the parameters through the following equations:

\[
SD_{d_j} = (SQ_d \times Q_d)_{Day,Dry} + (SSRT \times SRT)_{Day,Dry} + (SColour \times Colour)_{Day,Dry} 
\]  

\[
SD_{w_j} = (SQ_d \times Q_d)_{Day,Wet} + (SSRT \times SRT)_{Day,Wet} + (SColour \times Colour)_{Day,Wet} 
\]  

\[
SN_{d_j} = (SR_{LD} \times R_{LD})_{Night,Dry} + (SQ_d \times Q_d)_{Night,Dry} + (SSRT \times SRT)_{Night,Dry} + (SColour \times Colour)_{Night,Dry} 
\]  

\[
SN_{w_j} = (SR_{LW} \times R_{LW})_{Night,Wet} + (SQ_d \times Q_d)_{Night,Wet} + (SSRT \times SRT)_{Night,Wet} + (SColour \times Colour)_{Night,Wet} 
\]  

Table 2. Scale of Scores Used for Calculating the Single Number Index of Road Markings Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>R_{LD}</th>
<th>R_{LW}</th>
<th>Q_d</th>
<th>SRT</th>
<th>Colour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0-19</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>0-39</td>
<td>0-29</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>30-32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>33-35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>36-38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50-69</td>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>80-89</td>
<td>39-41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70-99</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>90-99</td>
<td>42-44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100-124</td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>100-114</td>
<td>45-49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>125-149</td>
<td>35-49</td>
<td>115-129</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>150-199</td>
<td>50-74</td>
<td>130-144</td>
<td>55-59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>200-299</td>
<td>75-149</td>
<td>145-159</td>
<td>60-64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>\geq 300</td>
<td>\geq 150</td>
<td>\geq 160</td>
<td>\geq 65</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where SX represents the score of the Xth parameter. For instance, SQd is the score given to Qd according to Table 2.

The weighting is needed to assign to each parameter the appropriate influence on the road markings overall performance in terms of safety and visibility. Table 3 reports all the weights that have been defined for each condition. Also the definition of weights was subjectively decided and it can be improved by further investigations and experimental campaigns.

There are different values of the weights for daytime and night time. Moreover in night time the weights change according to the presence or absence of street lighting. For each category two sets of weights are given: one for dry and one for wet conditions.

The defined approach is focused on the parameters that may influence road safety at urban level. When dealing with highways or other major extra-urban roads, only retroreflection is usually considered for the performance evaluation. On urban roads, instead, other parameters become more important at certain conditions.

For example at daytime and night time with street lighting, the luminance (Qd) is more important than retroreflection (RL), while at night time without street lighting the headlamps of the vehicles are the only light sources and retroreflection becomes crucial.

The total score for the j-th site Sj is given by:

\[
S_j = 0.5 \left( \frac{D_W}{365} \left( SD_{Wj} + (D_D / 365) SD_{Dj} \right) \right) + 0.5 \left( \frac{D_W}{365} \left( SN_{Wj} + (D_D / 365) SN_{Dj} \right) \right)
\]

that becomes:

\[
S_j = 0.5 \left( \frac{D_W}{365} \left( SD_{Wj} + SN_{Wj} \right) \right) + D_D \left( SD_{Dj} + SN_{Dj} \right)
\]

The 0.5 in the previous equations derives from the assumption that on the average there are 12 hours of light and 12 hours of darkness in a day.

Furthermore, to take into account of average weather conditions of the investigated area, DW is the number of days of rain/snow/fog per year (wet conditions) and DD is the number of days of without rain/snow/fog per year (dry conditions) in the municipality where the measurements are carried out.

Of course DD + DW = 365.

D_D and D_W should be obtained from at least 10 years of weather data. For the Municipality of Perugia D_D = 239 and D_W = 126.

Once all the selected sites are investigated, it is possible to define a single score for the entire Municipality, called CIS-Q (Civitas Indicator for Stripes – Quality).

CIS-Q can be calculated in two steps.

First of all the score of the i-th marking material SMi (paint, two components resin, thermoplastics, tapes, other) has to be evaluated by means of the following equation:

\[
S_{mi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_{ij}
\]

(eq. 7)

where N is the number of sites where the markings are made with the i-th typology of material.

Then CIS-Q can be calculated with:

\[
CIS = Q = \sum_{i=1}^{M} S_{mi} \cdot P_i
\]

(eq. 8)

where M is the number of materials used in the municipality for road markings and Pi is the percentage of usage of the i-th material.

The methodology has been developed in order to allow its use all over Europe (it is referred to European standards), since it can consider several types of material and their percentage of usage in the considered municipality, road surface conditions, traffic flows and local weather conditions, without any kind of restriction.

3.4. Results and Discussion

The results of the first (2010) and of the second (2012) measurement campaign are reported in Table 4. The values that not fulfill the requirements (lower than those corresponding to the score 6 in Table 2) are reported in red.

For each kind of material the score SM is evaluated. The results are in Table 5.
The percentages of usage of the road markings materials in the Municipality of Perugia were collected from the road marking staff of the public administration: paint is 90 %, two-components 8 %, tapes and thermoplastics 1% each.

Using equation 8 it was possible to evaluate the CIS-Q indicator. So for the first measurement campaign (year 2010) the CIS-Q value is 6.6, that drops to 4.9 for the second campaign (year 2012).

This reduction was expected since in all the test sites the markings were not re-laid in this lapse of time (this was not requested by the project).

The performance of the paint dropped from 6.6 to 4.9 from the first to the second campaign demonstrating its low service time. On the contrary, thermoplastic maintained quite adequate performance (from 5.9 to 5.2); its low Qs (due to the fact that under the action of the tyres the colour of this material changed faster).

Table 4. Summary of the Two Measurements Campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Site CODE</th>
<th>Rd0 (mcd/lux m²)</th>
<th>Rd+ (mcd/lux m²)</th>
<th>Qd (mcd/lux m²)</th>
<th>SRT (-)</th>
<th>Colour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First Round</td>
<td>Second Round</td>
<td>First Round</td>
<td>Second Round</td>
<td>First Round</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-T-S-L-002</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-S-L-003</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-S-L-004</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PR-L-005</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TH-R-M-006</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TP-S-H-007</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PS-H-008</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PR-M-009</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TH-O-L-011</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PL-M-012</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-R-H-013</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PR-R-014</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-R-M-015</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PS-H-016</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PR-H-017</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PS-M-018</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PS-L-019</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PR-L-020</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-R-L-021</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TH-S-M-022</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PS-M-023</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TP-S-M-024</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TH-S-L-025</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-PR-L-026</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-S-M-027</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TC-R-H-028</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* N.A. measure Not Available due to the high consumption of the markings.

The performance of the paint dropped from 6.6 to 4.9 from the first to the second campaign demonstrating its low service time. On the contrary, thermoplastic maintained quite adequate performance (from 5.9 to 5.2); its low Qs (due to the fact that under the action of the tyres the colour of this material changed faster).

Table 5. Material Average Score for the Two Campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material avg score Sm</th>
<th>Paint</th>
<th>Two-Component</th>
<th>Tapes</th>
<th>Thermoplastic</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIRST CAMPAIGN</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECOND CAMPAIGN</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
material turns quickly to greyish because of its roughness) kept the $S_M$ under 6.0.

Tapes are the only type of material characterized by a $S_M$ higher than 6.0 both in the first and in the second measurement campaign.

The results of the two measurement campaigns showed that the current system of road marking management in the Municipality of Perugia does not allow both fulfilling the requirements of its own tenders and achieving adequate performance (in terms of CIS-Q) because of:

- lack of technical/instrumental control: before RENAISSANCE Project the road markings staff of the Municipality of Perugia did not perform any instrumental control on the horizontal markings;
- intensive use of pre-mixed paint: the measurement carried out during the project demonstrated that this kind of material, even if freshly applied, cannot reach sufficient levels of performance in terms of night visibility. Night visibility is the most important parameter, especially for roads without illumination. Moreover the performance of paint drops quickly.

4. DEVELOPMENT OF A TOOL FOR THE ECONOMIC MANAGEMENT OF ROAD SURFACE MARKINGS

4.1. Methodology

Together with the assessment of technical performance of horizontal road markings, a part of the research dealt with the economic aspects related to their management, a significant issue for public administrations. At present a proper correlation between the technical performance (CIS-Q) and the proposed economic method (CIS-C) cannot be applied. This is mainly due to lack of data related to the time-dependence of road markings performance on urban road networks.

Thanks to the experience gathered within the Project, a new indicator, named CIS-C (CIVITAS indicator for stripes – Cost), was defined with the aim of giving a ratio between the budget needed to keep road markings efficient (that is retroreflection in dry conditions $R_{LD}$ higher than 100 mcd/lux m$^2$) and the annual budget allocated by the Municipality for road markings maintenance in one year. It can be taken from public tenders without considering discount biddings.

$$C_1$$ is the cost needed to keep efficient the $i^{th}$ type of horizontal markings ($1$:centre lines, $2$: side lines, $3$: pedestrian crossings, stop signs, give way signs and others) [€].

The budget required to the Municipality of Perugia to keep efficient the horizontal marking (ABEM) was estimated considering:

- the whole length of the road network managed by the Municipality of Perugia;
- an estimation of the surface covered by stop, give way and other signage in the road network;
- the type and cost of the material used for the horizontal markings.

Information about the road network managed by the municipality was taken from the “Special Public Tender for Road Markings” of the Municipality for the year 2012. The length of the road network can be split in:

- 254 km of urban roads;
- 219 km of extra-urban roads.

Considering the average size of each horizontal marking type (stripes, pedestrian crossing, give way, etc.) given by the Italian Rules of the Road [29], the surface covered by horizontal signage in the Municipality of Perugia was estimated equal to 211,557 m$^2$.

Data about costs of the common material used in Italy for the horizontal markings were taken from the price list of ANAS$^1$ [24] without considering discount biddings. With the exception of paint (that does not require elimination), the cost of each material comprises the cost needed for its mechanical elimination.

Negative externalities in terms of citizens’ time loss created by the application of the horizontal marking were not considered. Their effects grow as the service life of the signage decreases.

The service life of the horizontal markings (number of months in which the retroreflection in dry conditions $R_{LD}$ higher than 100 mcd/lux m$^2$) was evaluated analysing the scientific Literature [30, 31], especially of the USA, and the results of the activities carried out within RENAISSANCE Project.

The estimation of the budget that should be allocated by the Municipality of Perugia to keep efficient the horizontal markings for 1 year (ABEM, Annual Theoretical Cost) was finally calculated:

$$\text{ABEM [€]} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i$$

1Italian government-owned company deputed to the construction and maintenance of Italian motorways and state highways under the control of Italian Ministry of Infrastructure and Transport.
The evaluation of the $C_i$ was made through the following equation:

$$C_i(\text{€}) = S_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij} \frac{12}{N SL_j}$$

(eq. 11)

where:

- $S_i$ is the length [m] of the road network for centre and side lines (1 = 1, 2) or the surface [m²] covered by the other signs (stop, give way and pedestrian crossings);
- $N$ is the number of materials used for the horizontal markings (data collected from the Municipality of Perugia);
- $P_{ij}$ is the usage percentage of the $j^{th}$ material for the $i^{th}$ kind of signs (data collected from the Municipality of Perugia);
- $MC_{ij}$ (Material Cost) is the cost of the $j^{th}$ material for the $i^{th}$ kind of signs [€/m for centre and side lines, €/m² for other signs];
- $SL_j$ (Service Life) is the life time of the $j^{th}$ material [months]. $12/SL_j$ represents how frequently the signage should be replaced every year.

### 4.2. Results and Discussion

ABEM (ANNUAL BUDGET FOR EFFICIENT MARKINGS) for the Municipality of Perugia was defined using equations 10 and 11. The current value of ABEM is equal to 1,130,148 €. It is very high, due to the wide extension of the road network.

In 2010 the budget allocated by the Municipality of Perugia (AAB) was 66,967 €, so for the same year the value of CIS-C was of:

$$\text{CIS-C [\%]} = \frac{\text{AAB (€)}}{\text{ABEM (€)}} \times 100 = \frac{(66,967 \text{ €})}{(1,130,148 \text{ €})} \times 100 = 5.9\%$$

It means that the allocated budget allows maintaining in good conditions only 6% of road markings on the road network managed by the Municipality of Perugia.

This result shows very clearly the difficulty in managing the road markings in a huge territory like the one of Perugia.

Besides the needed annual budget, it is important to evaluate how it can vary considering different selections of materials to be used for the markings. Therefore several scenarios were considered and compared for long time periods (12 years), in order to take into account the different service lives of the materials.

The procedure for calculating the costs has considered the effective amount of money that the administration should spend each year. If a material has a service life of three years, the expense is referred only to the first year and is zero for the two following years.

For instance, different scenarios could be evaluated varying the percentage of materials used (Fig. 9). The “max saving” option is referred to the use of the most economic materials for each road signage type (solved based paint for stripes and sprayed thermoplastic for other signals).

The results of the study suggest some useful considerations:

- the most economic material for stripes is solved based paint, that is now being replaced by water based paints, more expensive but not toxic at all;
- the most economic material for other signal in the long period (after 12 years) is the sprayed thermoplastic.

Nevertheless some important remarks must be done:

- the negative externalities (e.g. the time lost in queue by the drivers) were not considered in the calculation: lower service lives correspond to higher externalities (paint has the lowest service life);
- the only performance parameter considered was retroreflection $R_L$, while at urban level the reflection in daylight condition $Q_d$ is equally (or more) important;
- the service life and the performance of the materials were mainly taken from studies conducted in the USA by the Departments of Transportation of several Federal States. The data refers to materials and conditions slightly different from those used in Europe; in particular these data can be hardly applied at urban level.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The research aimed at developing a new methodology for the evaluation of road markings quality both in terms of operational performance (visibility and skid resistance) and maintenance (durability and costs). The activities were carried out within the framework of the EU FP7 funded project CIVITAS Plus “RENAISSANCE” by CIRIAF-University of Perugia, in cooperation with the Municipality of Perugia.

Two experimental campaigns were carried out in 28 selected sites within the municipality of Perugia, in order to develop an innovative indicator, called CIS-Q (CIVITAS Indicator for Stripes – Quality). It rates the quality of the road markings of a municipality through a single number (from 0 to 10).

For the first measurement campaign (year 2010) the CIS-Q value is 6.6, that falls to 4.9 for the second campaign (year 2012). This reduction was expected since in all the test sites the markings were not re-laid in this lapse of time.

The CIS-Q procedure considers the requirements given by the international standards (in Europe EN 1436) for road markings performance, which derive from studies conducted on visibility of markings on highways. It should be observed that these requirements should be revised in order to be applied on urban roads. New requirements in terms of visibility should be lower than the current ones for several reasons (lower speed limits, lower AADT, more diffuse presence of road lighting, etc.). So new studies should be conducted in order to define how the performance of markings varies with time on urban roads. In this way it could be possible to select the appropriate material for each scenario (based on pavement, AADT, presence of lighting, etc.). Moreover the lack of data related to technical performance at urban level do not allow to perform proper cost-benefit analysis.
Even defining lower requirements, it will be hard to keep the quality of markings high on the entire territory of a public administration, because of the budget that should be allocated, especially in times of global crisis. Indeed the second indicator that was defined within the Project RENAISSANCE, called CIS-C (CIVITAS Indicator for Stripes – Costs), demonstrates that the budget allocated by the Municipality of Perugia allows maintaining in good conditions only 6% of road markings on the its road network.

Better results could be achieved through the combined use of the two following approaches:

- stricter controls by the public administrations on the quality of works performed by contractors with adequate instrumentation using the methodology reported in this paper, which proved to be reliable, accurate and transferable at European level;
- a smarter management system aiming at optimizing the budget allocated for road markings.

In particular, a scale of priorities should be defined in order to understand where road markings should be applied first. For instance some factors that could be used to define the priorities are: number of accidents per year on the considered stretch of road, presence/absence of road lighting, presence/absence of schools, hospitals of other crowded places, speed limit, presence/absence of curb, AADT, etc.). Furthermore the periodic monitoring of the performance of road markings and the integration of the collected data in a GIS-based system could help the public administration to monitor the global state of the road markings in its territory. As a consequence, the developed methodology could be a tool for public administrations for monitoring the quality of road markings and for reducing the costs through an optimized management of their maintenance.
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