Obstetrical Considerations and Management of Antiphospholipid Syndrome
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Abstract: Antiphospholipid syndrome is a pro-thrombotic, pro-inflammatory condition defined by at least one clinical criterion and one laboratory finding. Clinical criteria are met by history of thrombosis or obstetric morbidity, including recurrent early pregnancy loss, fetal death, or delivery prior to 34 weeks gestation due to pre-eclampsia or placental insufficiency. Laboratory criteria are evidence of lupus anticoagulant or high titers of anticardiolipin or anti-β2-glycoprotein-I IgG or IgM. Treatment during pregnancy is primarily based on anticoagulant therapy, either at prophylactic or therapeutic doses depending on thrombosis history. This treatment certainly reduces thrombosis risk and may also improve obstetric outcome.
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Successful pregnancy resulting in a term delivery, a healthy neonate, and a healthy mother requires a delicate negotiation between maternal and fetal physiologies. This interplay hinges upon the development of a complex maternal-fetal interface at the placenta, permitting fetal nutrition and perfusion while limiting maternal hemorrhage or compromise. Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) compromises this balance and is associated with a broad spectrum of obstetric morbidity.

The diagnosis of APS requires both laboratory and clinical findings [1-3] as outlined in Table 1. Detection of specified levels of antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) fulfills the laboratory criterion. Clinical criteria are more varied, including both vascular thrombosis and obstetric morbidity. Briefly, vascular thrombosis can be venous or arterial, most commonly venous, leading to pulmonary embolism. Any thrombosis without clear etiology warrants evaluation for APS because aPL will be detected in approximately 2% of these patients [4]. Obstetric morbidity will be discussed extensively below. Despite the clearly outlined criteria, controversy remains regarding both the specifics of the laboratory and clinical features of APS. The presence of aPL without clinical criteria for APS is of unclear diagnostic and prognostic utility, both with regards to vascular as well as obstetric complications.

LABORATORY TESTING

Antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) are a form of autoimmunity and consist of varied antibodies to antigens including negatively charged phospholipids, proteins, and combinations of the two. Many aPL have been studied in an attempt to find the most reproducible assays and the aPL that best correlate with clinical problems. To date, lupus anticoagulant, anticardiolipin antibodies and anti-β2-glycoprotein-I antibodies are the best characterized and are part of the current diagnostic criteria for APS. Historically, there has been wide variance in laboratory quality control that has complicated comparing the findings amongst different laboratories [5]. This has led to a focus on centralized, validated laboratories running the assays using consistent and standardized protocols.

The lupus anticoagulant (LA) is neither diagnostic of lupus nor a result of anticoagulation. It gained its inopportune name from initial identification in lupus patients and making clotting assays appear as though the patient was anticoagulated. These antibodies interfere with phospholipid dependent clotting assays, thus prolonging the clotting time. Many tests are affected by this antibody, including the dilute Russell viper venom time, the activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), the plasma clotting time, and the Kaolin clotting time, but typically the prothrombin time is spared. Unlike the other two aPL assays of focus, the LA is reported as either present or absent and not as a specific titer value.

Both the anticardiolipin antibodies and anti-β2-glycoprotein-I antibodies are assessed by ELISA and reported in standard titer format. Values are levels of IgG or IgM, with anticardiolipin antibodies reported as GPL or MPL and anti-β2-glycoprotein-I antibodies reported as SGU and SMU. The current accepted threshold for the diagnosis of APS is ≥40 for all values, although historic studies often used lower and thus less specific cutoff levels. All tests may be transiently elevated (e.g. in infectious/inflammatory settings) and thus must be confirmed with repeat testing in greater than 12 weeks to confirm the diagnosis of APS.
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MEDICAL COMPLICATIONS

Pregnant women with APS are subject to the same medical complications as non-pregnant individuals with APS. The most common is thromboembolism, and the risk is further increased by pregnancy. The rate of venous thromboembolism in pregnant women with APS ranges from 5-12% and extends into the postpartum period [6, 7]. Venous or arterial thromboembolism in pregnancy is treated with unfractionated heparin or low molecular weight heparin anticoagulation. Postpartum women can be transitioned to oral anticoagulation if desired. Patients are also at risk for APS associated autoimmune thrombocytopenia, which is clinically quite similar to idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura [8].

Throughout pregnancy and particularly postpartum, clinicians must also remain vigilant for other medical complications of APS, including catastrophic APS (CAPS), a highly morbid disease involving diffuse thrombosis of small and large vessels resulting in multisystem organ failure [9-11]. These thromboses are most often found on histopathology of the kidneys, lungs, and gastrointestinal tract with sparing of the heart, liver, and adrenal glands. A review of 50 affected patients found renal involvement on presentation in 78%, often with concomitant malignant hypertension [12]. Pulmonary involvement was present in 66% of patients, with severe dyspnea as a common symptom. Of these patients, half progressed to ARDS and one-fourth were diagnosed with pulmonary embolism. Many also had central nervous system involvement with confusion, stupor, seizures, hemorrhage, and infarction. Severe abdominal pain, mesenteric ischemia, skin necrosis, purpura, and myocardial infarctions are often present. In a review focusing on 15 cases of CAPS in pregnant women, renal involvement and pulmonary involvement remained most common (>70% of cases), with CNS involvement (60%) and HELLP syndrome (53%) also frequent [13]. 46% of mothers died in this case series. CAPS is often triggered by an identifiable factor, such as infection, trauma, and surgery. 6% of identified cases occur during pregnancy or puerperium [14]. Treatment is as with any aggressive, systemic microangiopathy: primarily supportive care. This can involve antihypertensive treatment, ventilator support, dialysis, vasopressors, plasmapheresis, intravenous immuno-globins, anticoagulation, and steroids. CAPS is highly mortal with a 50% to 65% rate of death.

OBSTETRIC COMPLICATIONS

Pregnancy specific complications secondary to APS are varied and include recurrent early pregnancy loss, fetal death, stillbirth, pre-eclampsia, fetal growth restriction, placental abruption, and placental insufficiency expressed as oligohydramnios (low levels of amniotic fluid) and/or abnormal fetal heart tracing or umbilical artery Doppler assessment. All of these complications convey a high risk of perinatal death or fetal loss and thus, significant fetal and neonatal morbidity. The data linking aPL and these adverse pregnancy outcomes are primarily from case-control studies, are varied in both the presence and strength of association, and often lack repeat confirmatory laboratory testing.

The association between aPL and early pregnancy loss is controversial. A recent systematic review [15] queried studies that included first trimester loss and aPL testing. The researchers found high variability between presence or absence of an association, the titer cutoffs used to signify a positive test, and the rates of confirmatory testing in a standardized lab. It appears that APS is more strongly associated with fetal death than with early pregnancy loss (embryonic, pre-embryonic, and implantation failure), with more than 50% of losses after gestation week 10 and higher rates of detectable embryonic cardiac activity prior to loss [16-18]. Sporadic early pregnancy loss (prior to 10 weeks’ gestation) is not associated with aPL, likely given the high majority of these losses due to genetic reasons [19]. Although there is an association between aPL and recurrent early pregnancy loss in women without another explanation, this association remains poorly defined. Despite recurrent early pregnancy loss being listed as a clinical criterion for APS, it remains controversial.

Although most late complications of placental insufficiency are not secondary to APS, women with APS have higher rates of these disorders, such as preeclampsia, fetal growth restriction, and abnormal fetal testing prompting either induction of labor (both at term and preterm) or Cesarean. Women with well-characterized, true APS have rates of preeclampsia as high as 25-50% and rates of fetal
growth restriction (defined as fetal growth less than the 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile) as high as 15-30\% [20, 21]. Pre-eclampsia in women with true APS is typically early onset and severe. High levels of aCL antibodies have a strong association with fetal growth restriction.

The diagnosis of APS using only the clinical criterion of preeclampsia or placental insufficiency, however, is less clear. Two published meta-analyses analyzing aPL and preeclampsia exist. One group of 12 studies and found an increased incidence of aCL among women with severe preeclampsia (OR 11.15, 95\% CI 2.66-46.75) [22]. However, aPL were not associated with mild preeclampsia [23]. The second meta-analysis evaluated all three aPL and the adverse pregnancy outcomes of pre-eclampsia, fetal growth restriction, placentation, and stillbirth [24]. They found LAC and aCL to be more common in preeclampsia in 10 case-control studies but not in three cohort studies. Anti-ß-glycoprotein-I antibodies were more common in preeclamptic patients in cohort studies but not in case-control studies. Many studies included in these meta-analyses used antibody titer cutoffs well below current guidelines and did not confirm elevated values with repeat testing. Although there appears to be association between aPL and placental insufficiency related adverse pregnancy outcomes, particularly more severe ones, available data remain inconclusive and further studies using more rigorous laboratory cutoffs and assays are needed.

aPL is associated with higher rates of stillbirth. In a population based case-control study of women with stillbirths, when stillbirths not associated with obstetric complications or fetal anomalies were compared with live births, there were elevated levels of IgG aCL (5\% versus 1\%; OR 5.30, 95\% CI 2.39-11.76), IgM aCL (6\% versus 3\%, OR 2.03, 95\% CI 1.09-3.76), and IgG anti-ß-glycoprotein-I (1.9\% versus 0.6\%; OR 3.0, 95\% CI 1.01-8.90) [25]. This is consistent with the current guidelines for diagnosing APS. Although overall rates of APS are rare, testing for aPL is warranted in cases of otherwise unexplained stillbirth.

There is also an ill-defined association between aPL and subfertility. When women with infertility or subfertility are tested, they have higher rates of aPL [22, 23]. However, there was no difference in either clinical pregnancy (OR 0.99; 95\% CI 0.64-1.53) or live birth rate (OR 1.07; 95\% CI 0.66-1.75) when women undergoing in vitro fertilization were compared by presence or absence of aPL [26]. Moreover, treating aPL positive women with anticoagulant therapy does not improve outcomes of their in vitro fertilization cycle [27]. Therefore, we believe that patients with infertility do not warrant screening for aPL.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY**

The pathophysiology of APS with regard to adverse pregnancy outcomes is incompletely understood, but likely involves both thrombotic and inflammatory pathways (which appear symbiotic). By binding to antiphospholipid-binding proteins including β\textsubscript{2}-glycoprotein-I and annexin V, aPL limit intrinsic anticoagulation. They also increase tissue factor, PAI-1, and vWF expression in endothelial cells; inhibit thrombomodulin, aPC, and antithrombin activity, and enforce platelet activation, all leading to a procoagulant environment [28, 29]. Theoretically, thrombosis of the placental villous bed would lead to infarction on a micro or macro level and limit oxygen supply to the developing pregnancy, with the outcome depending on both the timing and extent of thrombosis. This, however, is likely an over-simplification of the problem.

Given that pregnancy prior to 10 weeks gestation is a low-oxygen environment with trophoblast plugging the spiral arteries and low intervillous oxygen pressures [30, 31], it is unlikely that thrombosis alone would explain the association between aPL and early pregnancy loss (although it could contribute to later adverse pregnancy outcomes). Inflammation in the placenta in the absence of infection can be triggered by aPL as well. The complement pathway seems to be critical in aPL induced fetal loss and fetal growth restriction in a murine model [32]. Furthermore, blocking the complement pathway by either genetic knockouts or complement factor specific antibodies salvages these effects [33-36]. There is evidence for elevated complement activation in patients with APS as well [37, 38].

**TREATMENT**

The primary focus of obstetric management of APS is to decrease thrombosis risk. Women with APS and previous thrombotic event are typically on lifelong anticoagulation, frequently with warfarin compounds. They should be transitioned to full anticoagulation with either unfractioned heparin (UH) or low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) as soon as pregnancy is recognized. Oral anticoagulation can be reinitiated postpartum. If women with APS have not had a prior thrombosis, the treatment strategy is less standard. The majority of experts recommend thromboprophylaxis with UH or LMWH from knowledge of pregnancy until 6 weeks postpartum (with brief peri-delivery cessation). This is primarily to prevent thrombosis during this time of increased risk.

Although many clinicians believe that UH and LMWH have the potential to ameliorate late adverse pregnancy outcomes potentially by reducing placental thrombosis, its efficacy is unproven [39]. Women who are diagnosed with APS on the basis of recurrent early pregnancy loss are typically treated with UH or LMWH along with low dose aspirin, with the belief that this improves live birth rates. However, existing data are conflicting, and no randomized, placebo-controlled trial has ever been performed. In three of five studies comparing UH and low dose aspirin to low dose aspirin alone, there was an improvement in live birth rates [40-42]. In contrast, there was no improvement in two other studies [43, 44]. Overall, these five studies included 353 women, and the stringency of inclusion criteria was variable. If heparin does, in fact, improve clinical outcomes in this cohort of APS patients, it may be secondary to heparin’s anti-inflammatory, anti-complement qualities and not due to its anti-thrombotic properties [45].

Prednisone at doses of 40mg daily and higher has been evaluated in the treatment of APS. Although this yielded successful pregnancy rates of 60-70\%, there was not a significant difference when compared to women who received heparin alone. Additionally, women who received prednisone had higher rates of preterm labor, preterm
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