Incidence of Dirofilaria Immitis (Leidy) in Client Owned Dogs from the Greater Metropolitan Manila Area of Luzon Island in The Philippines
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Abstract: Serum samples were collected from each of 290 healthy dogs 6 months of age or older living in the Greater Metropolitan Manila (GMM) area over a 12 month period. These samples were examined by the Synbiotics DiroChek® antigen capture test (San Diego, CA) for evidence of Dirofilaria immitis circulating antigen. At the time of the first sample 220 out of 290 examined were negative by the DiroChek test. Twelve months later 175 of the original 220 uninfected dogs were still uninfected. This is an incidence rate of 20%. This is the first incidence study on client owned dogs reported for D. immitis and illustrates that owners will participate in such studies which are essential if canine populations are to be monitored to evaluate the effect of control efforts.

INTRODUCTION

Dirofilaria immitis is a mosquito vectored filarial worm that resides, as an adult, in the pulmonary arterial tree of the dog and other canids [1].

There are significant pathological effects in the lung, incident to thrombosis of branches of the pulmonary artery. Lung damage reduces the exercise tolerance and, indirectly, the cardiac function of infected animals [2-4].

The filarial worm is world wide in distribution and is capable of developing in numerous species of mosquitoes [5]. In addition in high enzootic areas the infection may spill over from canids to cats and humans [6].

There are no organized control programs for D. immitis anywhere in the World that have surveillance programs in place to measure canine incidence rates on a regular basis. The veterinary medical profession has content to sell prophylactic drugs for the prevention of D. immitis infection but has not established a means of determining what level of prophylaxis, within a canine population, is actually necessary to reduce transmission success below replacement levels. There are no data to show whether, in any enzootic region of the world, the incidence of D. immitis is going up, staying static or declining. Such information is essential if Pet owners are to be accurately advised regarding the risk of infection to their pets and practitioners are to be in a position to recommend cost effective use of the prophylactic drugs available to prevent infection.
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Incidence studies using client owned dogs are difficult to conduct. In order to compensate for attrition of the subjects, for any number of reasons, a large number of dogs must be enrolled to begin with. Owners of pets are often reluctant to subject them to repeated blood sampling and in many places the owners are unable to devote the time to transport their pets to drawing stations at given times. It is not surprising therefore to find that there are no published papers that evaluate the incidence of D. immitis infection in client owned dogs.

The veterinary medical profession does conduct regular rabies vaccination and re-vaccination campaigns that are community based and hence draw from the local, client owned, dog population. Samples for incidence studies for D. immitis could be obtained from sentinel populations by coupling vaccination programs with serum collection for incidence studies. In a study on the immunological response of client owned dogs in the Philippines to four different rabies vaccines [7] serum samples were taken four times from 290 dogs 6 months of age or older over a 12 month period. These samples were subsequently used to test for the antigen of D. immitis.

While a single incidence study is not, in and of itself, much use there is the need to establish a base line for subsequent studies in the same group of communities. Even through the results of this study are being published 15 years after the samples were drawn there has been little change in the management of the dogs in the communities surveyed in the GMM area. It also illustrates that combining vaccination programs with epizootiological surveys is acceptable to clients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The four serum samples were collected from each of 290 client owned dogs from ten communities in the Greater
Metro Manila (GMM) area on the Island of Luzon, Philippines from January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991. Luzon lies between 125 degrees and 120 degrees East Longitude at 15-18 degrees North latitude between the South China Sea and the Philippine Sea. The GMM area has a tropical climate with a 30 year mean annual rainfall of over 100 cm. Thirty year mean annual temperature for the study area was obtained from the World Meteorological Organization [8]. From these figures the number of days required for development of third stage larvae in vector mosquitoes was calculated to be between 7.3 and 14.3 days throughout the year [9-12].

All dogs were examined for evidence of disease before blood was drawn the first time. Only dogs with no evidence of illness were enrolled in the study. Cluster sampling from ten communities in the GMM area constituted the method by which dogs were sampled. Because the diagnostic test for D. immitis is not reliable in dogs under 6 months of age only dogs 6 months of age or older at the time of first presentation were examined for D. immitis infection. At the time of the first blood sample each dog was fitted with an identifying collar and assigned a number. When the owner brought the dog for subsequent blood samples, the collar was checked for verification of the identity of the dog.

Serum was collected from the clotted blood by centrifugation, placed in a labeled vial with the dog’s number and frozen at -20°C. The serum was sent by air express over night on dry ice and stored frozen at -30°C until examined by the DiroChek® test. The dry ice kept the serum samples frozen while in transit and the samples were not thawed until used in the test.

The antigen capture test marketed by Synbiotics® (San Diego, CA) and used in this study has been evaluated for sensitivity and specificity in several studies where the results of this antigen capture tests were measured against necropsy findings in the same dogs [13-15] The specificity of the test in dogs with worm burdens of more than 2 female D. immitis has been consistently high, ranging from 98 to 100% in dogs that have had proven infections by necropsy [13-15]. The sensitivity of the DiroChek® test has improved over the years from 90% in early reports [13] to 98-100% in later evaluations of improved reagents [14, 15]. In dogs with worm burdens of 2 female D. immitis or less Courtney and Zeng 2001 [16] reported a sensitivity of 71% in 89 dogs tested with the DiroChek Elisa method. In 31 dogs with more than 2 female worms present they reported a sensitivity of 94% using the DiroChek test. The specificity in uninfected dogs was 94% in 97 dogs tested. Although the people doing the testing were not aware of the necropsy results or the sera they were testing there were two different technicians used to conduct the microwell format tests (DiroChek: Pet Chek), and each ran only half of the total samples tested. It is possible that differences in the interpretation of border line positive samples could have occurred.

In a study by Theis et al. [17] 6,078 dogs from Washington State were examined by the DiroChek test for evidence of D. immitis infection. Six of seven hundred and eighty eight dogs in Eastern Washington that were not on prophylaxis and had no history of travel out of Washington State were found positive. All the positive dogs were in a single longitudinal band between 119 and 120° west longitude that extended from Pasco to Omak. Fifty eight percent (459) of the 788 Eastern Washington dogs with no travel out of Washington State came from areas outside the 119-120° west longitude band, yet none of those dogs were antigen positive by the DiroChek test. There is no reason to believe that if the DiroChek test had a low specificity only dogs between 119 and 120° west longitude would have given false positive results. In North Eastern Colorado Macy et al. [18] examined 1,012 dogs using the DiroChek test and found all but 2 negative. Those two dogs were also examined by the Knott test and found to have circulating microfilaria indentified as those of D. immitis. This Colorado population had a prevalence of 0.19%, and the DiroChek test gave no false positive results.

For the purposes of calculating true prevalence the specificity of the test has been considered to be 100% and the sensitivity of the test to be 98%. Calculation of true prevalence from observed prevalence was done using the method reported in Greiner and Gardner [19].

Statistical calculations were conducted using Minitab student version, Release 12 for Windows, Duxbury Press 1998, and Stat Xact-8, Cytel Software Corp. Cambridge, MA. Significance was set at the 0.05 level.

For purposes of the analysis the ten communities were divided into three segments of the GMM area running north to south and approximately parallel to each other.

The Eastern segment of the GMM is comprised of samples from dogs living in the communities of Marikina, Makati and Taguig. The central segment is comprised of samples from dogs living in the communities of Quezon City, Mandaluyong and Rizal/Angono. The western segment is composed of samples from dogs in the communities of Caloocan City, Manila, Pasay City and Las Piñas City.

RESULTS

There were 290 dogs examined, 143 males, 144 females and 3 with no gender recorded. In the Western segment of the GMM there were 76 males and 85 females, 3 no gender. Central segment 48 males, 40 females and in the Eastern segment 19 males and 19 females. There was no gender bias in the dogs examined.

There were 70 out of the 290 dogs first examined found to be infected with D. immitis: 32 males, 37 females and 1 no gender recorded. Western segment 13 males, 15 females, and 1 no gender recorded. Central segment 15 males, 13 females. Eastern segment 4 males, 9 females. A two sample T-test and confidence interval for infected males per segment versus infected females was not significantly different (P = 0.69, DF3, 95% CI-13.8, 10.5).

For all other segmental analyses males and females were combined. Table I presents the data, by geographical segment, on the prevalence and incidence of D. immitis in the GMM. A chi square test for independence of all three segments showed that there was a significant difference in prevalence between them (P = 0.012, DF2) omitting the Western segment from a Pearson chi square test showed that there was no significant difference in prevalence between the Central and Eastern segment. (P = 0.8373, DF1).
The median age of the infected and uninfected dogs examined in each of the 3 segments of the GMM was 2 years of age (Table 2). The mean age of infected and uninfected dogs showed greater variation but there was no significant difference within segments of the GMM between the age of infected and uninfected dogs (Table 2). Likewise between major segments of the GMM there was no significant difference between the age of infected and uninfected dogs (95%, CI – 2.21, 1.47, P = 0.48, DF2).

**DISCUSSION**

The sampling technique used in this study was cluster sampling in which dogs presented from ten different locations were grouped into three defined geographical areas referred to as segments of the Greater Metropolitan Manila (GMM) area. These dogs were examined for evidence of infection with D. immitis. All of the dogs examined were asymptomatic for respiratory or cardiac disease as determined by physical examination prior to the drawing of the first blood sample. All dogs were maintained out of doors, none had a history of travel out of the segment of the GMM area they lived in according to their owners. None were receiving prophylaxis for D. immitis. The dogs in this sample represented the lower socio-economic households in the GMM area and because of their owners limited financial resources received little or no veterinary medical care. The median age of all dogs examined was 2 years (Table 2).

There was no gender bias in the sample of dogs examined. The climate conditions of the GMM area are similar between segments as indicated by the consistent 30 year mean annual rainfall and temperature for the area [8]. All of the characteristics that could affect exposure risk were consistent throughout the study area and sampled dogs. Only dogs 6 months of age or older were examined for D. immitis anti-

### Table 1. Prevalence and Incidence Dirofilaria immitis in Dogs of the Greater Metro Manila (GMM) area Luzon, Philippines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Male + Female Dogs</th>
<th>Male + Female Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>Number Infected</td>
<td>Number Examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western segment</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central segment</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern segment</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals GMM area</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD 8.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI 1.07, 45.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incidence rates between the 3 segments were not statistically different by a Cochran-Armitage trend test. Two sided test produced a P value of 0.6302, DF1.

The median age of the infected and uninfected dogs examined in each of the 3 segments of the GMM was 2 years of age (Table 2). The mean age of infected and uninfected dogs showed greater variation but there was no significant difference within segments of the GMM between the age of infected and uninfected dogs (Table 2). Likewise between major segments of the GMM there was no significant difference between the age of infected and uninfected dogs (95%, CI – 2.21, 1.47, P = 0.48, DF2).

**DISCUSSION**

The sampling technique used in this study was cluster sampling in which dogs presented from ten different locations were grouped into three defined geographical areas referred to as segments of the Greater Metropolitan Manila (GMM) area. These dogs were examined for evidence of infection with D. immitis. All of the dogs examined were asymptomatic for respiratory or cardiac disease as determined by physical examination prior to the drawing of the first blood sample. All dogs were maintained out of doors, none had a history of travel out of the segment of the GMM area they lived in according to their owners. None were receiving prophylaxis for D. immitis. The dogs in this sample represented the lower socio-economic households in the GMM area and because of their owners limited financial resources received little or no veterinary medical care. The median age of all dogs examined was 2 years (Table 2). There was no gender bias in the sample of dogs examined. The climate conditions of the GMM area are similar between segments as indicated by the consistent 30 year mean annual rainfall and temperature for the area [8]. All of the characteristics that could affect exposure risk were consistent throughout the study area and sampled dogs. Only dogs 6 months of age or older were examined for D. immitis anti-

### Table 2. Median and Mean Age of Infected Dogs vs Median and Mean Age of Uninfected Dogs in the Greater Metro Manila Area 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GMM</th>
<th>Median Age of Infected Dogs (Years)</th>
<th>Mean Age of Infected Dogs (Years)</th>
<th>Median Age of Uninfected Dogs (Years)</th>
<th>Mean Age of Uninfected Dogs (Years)</th>
<th>Two Sample T-Test and 95% Confidence Interval Mean Age Positive Dogs vs Negative Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western segment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.91 STD 1.88</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.20 STD 2.02</td>
<td>CI -1.51, 0.10 P = 0.084, DF 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central segment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.45 STD 2.69</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.74 STD 2.65</td>
<td>CI -1.97, 0.57 P = 0.27, DF 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern segment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.08 STD 1.13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.39 STD 1.72</td>
<td>CI -0.74, 1.36 P = 0.55, DF 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The density of the human population in the Western segment of the GMM is greater than in the Central and Eastern segments represented in the study. This produced considerably different sample sizes. Gregory and Blackburn [20] have pointed out that regardless of the host-parasite combination, there is a bias in the way that prevalence is calculated which results in lower prevalence as the sample size increases. Given this, we used an exact chi-square test for independence to evaluate the significance of the difference in prevalence between the 3 segments of the GMM. Including the Western segment in the 3-way analysis resulted in a significant difference (P = 0.012). This difference was due to the Western segment because an exact chi-square test with 3 segments represented in the study. This produced considerably different sample sizes.

Incidence rates for the 3 segments of the GMM were not statistically different. By 2-tailed Cochran-Armitage trend test, the P value was 0.630. These data indicate that the transmission rate in the 3 segments of the GMM is uniform across the entire area and averages 20% per year (Table 1). As noted in the introduction there are no published papers on the incidence of D. immitis in client owned dogs anywhere in the world to compare our study with. The only published study we could find on incidence rates of D. immitis infection was published by McTier et al. [25] on a group of 15 research dogs kenneled outdoors in open air in a part of Georgia and Florida, with “moderate” potential for infection with D. immitis, and a part of Louisiana with “high” potential for infection. These dogs were tested by blood examination for microfilariae and adult D. immitis antigen and found negative. They were then placed outdoors from mid April 1988 through mid April 1999, then moved indoors and held for 5 months to allow any late infections to mature to adult worms before all 15 were necropsied. The 12-month incidence rate in Louisiana was 80% (4 out of 5 infected) while those dogs in Georgia and Florida had a 100% incidence rate (10 out of 10 infected). These incidence rates are considerably higher than what we found in the GMM area and illustrate the fact that different transmission intensities are to be expected, thus necessitating that multiple sentinel populations be sampled if such variations are to be detected.

ABBREVIATIONS

GMM = Greater Metropolitan Manila
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