Sequence Variation in Hepatitis E Virus Genotypes 3 and 4 from Swine Fecal Samples in Japan
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Abstract: Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is a causative agent for hepatitis. HEV is transmitted via the fecal-oral route through contaminated drinking water and induces zoonotic infections through eating uncooked and undercooked meat of deer, wild boar, and swine. In Japan, genotypes 3 (G3) and 4 (G4) are prevalent in domestic swine. Here, we examined the genetic variation among HEVs derived from swine fecal samples in Japan. A total of 320 samples were collected at 32 commercial farm facilities (1 fecal sample from each of 10 pig houses in individual farms). Viral RNA amplification at open reading frame (ORF) 3 was possible in 159 (49.7%) of the fecal samples. For genotyping, the same samples were subjected to amplification at ORF2 and the resulting amplicons were sequenced. The results revealed that all the HEVs in each farm belonged to the same cluster of G3 and G4: G3 JP in 8 farms, G3 SP in 4 farms, G3 US in 6 farms, and G4 JP in 2 farms, unclassified G3 in 2 farms, unable to decide due to a low rate of amplification in 5 farms, and no detection in 5 farms. Interestingly, the HEVs from one farm were more homogeneous than those of the same cluster that was derived from other farms. Thus, the efficiency of farm-to-farm transmission of HEVs is likely to be low and HEV seems to have evolved independently at each farm in Japan.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is a causative agent for hepatitis [1-3]. Transmission of this virus occurs primarily by the fecal-oral route through contaminated drinking water in areas with poor sanitation [3]. In addition, zoonotic food-borne transmission of HEV from domestic swine, wild boar and wild deer after the ingestion of uncooked or undercooked meat, such as raw liver and colon/intestines, has been reported [4-7]. Although the mortality rate during epidemics is <1%, it is significantly higher in pregnant women, i.e., ~20% [8, 9].

HEV belongs to the genus Hepeviridae in the family Hepeviridae [10]. The virion does not possess an envelope and is approximately 27-34 nm in diameter. Its genome consists of a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA of approximately 7.3 kilobases (kb) and contains a short 5’ untranslated region (5’UTR), three open reading frames (ORF1, ORF2, and ORF3) and a short 3’UTR terminated by a poly A tract [3, 11]. HEVs have been classified into genotypes 1-4 (G1-G4) [10, 12]. The majority of HEV genotypes are classified according to geographical prevalence: G1, in Asia and Africa; G2, in Mexico and Africa; G3, in broad areas isolated from sporadic cases of acute hepatitis E and/or domestic swine in USA, European countries, Thailand and Japan; and G4, broadly isolated from humans and/or domestic swine in several Asian countries including China, Taiwan and Japan [12, 13].

There have been reports supporting that swine serve as reservoirs for HEV infection in humans [6, 14-18]. Further, significantly higher prevalence of anti-HEV antibody among pig handlers than control subjects was reported [14-16]. In addition, a high genetic relatedness was observed between HEV isolates from humans and from swine in the same geographical regions [17].

In Japan, a high prevalence of swine anti-HEV antibodies (71%) among swine of 3-6 months of age and a high viremia rate (11%) among swine of 2-4 months of age have been reported [18, 19]. At least 25 (78%) of 32 patients with sporadic cases of acute hepatitis E in Hokkaido, the northernmost island of Japan, had consumed grilled or undercooked swine liver and/or intestine approximately 2-8
weeks prior to the onset of hepatitis E [20]. Swine liver specimens from 7 (1.9%) of 363 packages sold in local grocery stores in Hokkaido had detectable HEV RNA, with a viral load of $10^2$-$10^7$ copies/g [6]. Importantly, seven swine HEV isolates recovered from packaged swine livers were found to be very closely related to viruses recovered from patients with hepatitis E on this island. In addition, there is evidence of people being infected by HEV after ingesting raw meat of infected deer and wild boars [4-6]. Thus, a high prevalence of antibodies to HEV in domestic swine and transmission among swine through a fecal-oral route similar to that in human cases are suspected in Japan [21]. Further, evidence that swine could be a source of transmission to humans leading to acute hepatitis has accumulated through extensive characterization of genetic relatedness, especially in G3, mostly forming three clusters, G3JP, G3SP and G3US, and G4 forming one cluster, G4JP [6, 18]. Indigenous acute hepatitis E infections caused by G3 and G4 in Japan were compared for differences in clinical features. It was revealed that patients with genotype 4 had significantly higher peak of aminotransferase levels and also a longer medium duration of hospital stay than those with genotype 3 [22, 23]. Genetic variation among HEVs in swine fecal samples is less well characterized, except for G3JP [6, 18, 24], since most reports have focused on HEVs during viremia in natural infections. However, to understand swine-to-swine and farm-to-farm transmissions of HEV in the field, a more direct approach using a large number of swine fecal samples is needed. In this study, we examined a total of 320 swine fecal samples from 32 farms in Japan to reveal the prevalence of HEV RNA and analyzed their genetic variation.

**Detection of HEV RNA in Fecal Swine Samples**

Total RNA was extracted from fecal suspensions using a QIAamp Viral RNA Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The copy number of HEV RNA was assessed by quantitative real-time RT-PCR with a primer set (HE86 and HE87) and probe (FHE88) at the ORF3 region, according to Jothikumar et al. [25] with a slight modification (Fig. 1). The primers and probe were synthesized by Applied Biosystems. The 50-μl reaction mixture contained 25 μl of 2 x QuantiTect Probe RT-PCR kit Master Mix (QIAGEN), 0.5 μl of enzyme mixture, 10 μl of RNA sample, 13 μl of RNase-free distilled water, 400 nM of the primers HE86 and HE87, and 100 nM of the TaqMan® probe FHE88. The samples were run using Applied Biosystems 7500 Realtime PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Reverse transcription was carried out at 50°C for 30 min, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 15 min. DNA was amplified immediately with 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 35 s. FHE88 for detecting PCR amplicons was designed based on the sequence of the ORF3 region. An ORF3-containing plasmid was constructed from swine G4JP strain using pCRII-TOPO (Invitrogen) containing T7 promoter. To construct a standard curve for quantification, RNA fragment was transcribed in vitro from the cloned plasmid using T7 polymerase. The copy number of standard RNA was calculated with spectrophotometer.

**Genotyping of HEV-Positive Samples**

Total RNA was extracted from fecal suspensions using a QIAamp Viral RNA Kit (QIAGEN). The RNA was reverse-transcribed with Prime Script™ One Step RT-PCR (TAKARA Biomedicals, Shiga, Japan) and subjected to semi-nested PCR in the presence of TaKaRa Ex Taq (TAKARA Biomedicals). Part of the ORF2 sequence was amplified using the primers HE044 and HE040 for the first round of amplification, followed by HE044 and an another primer, HE041, for the second round (Fig. 1). The PCR amplification was carried out for 35 cycles in the same conditions for the first and second rounds [94°C, 30 s (additional 1 min in the first cycle); 60°C, 30 s; 72°C, 30 s (additional 5 min in the last cycle)]. The size of amplified products was 506 base pairs (bp) for the first-round PCR and 467 bp for the second round PCR. The products were electrophoresed in 1.5% (wt/vol) Agarose-RE (nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan) and stained with ethidium bromide, then photographed under ultraviolet light.

**Sequence Analysis of PCR Products at ORF2**

The products were extracted from the agarose gel using a QIAEX™II Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN). Both strands of the products were sequenced using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequence analysis was performed using Genetyx-Mac version 10.1.6 (Software Development, Tokyo, Japan). Sequence alignments were generated by CLUSTAL W (version 1.83) [26]. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method [27], based on the partial nucleotide sequence of the ORF2 region (412 nt).
Bootstrap values were determined based on 1000 re-samplings of the data sets [28]. The final tree was obtained using the Tree View program (version 1.6.6) [29]. As reference sequences, the following HEVs derived from samples in Japan that were available from GenBank were used: as G3JP, HE-JA6 (AB082562), wbJYG1 (AB222184), HE-JA1 (AB115542), swJ50 (AB073912), swJ24-1 (AB094306), wbJT51 (AB222183), JMNG-Oki02C (AB236320), HE-JBD1 (AB112743), JDEER-Hyo3L (AB189071), JRA1 (AP003430), HEVN1 (AB246667), HE-JA23 (AB115544), HE-JA5 (AB082561), swJ681 (AB073910), swJC1990 (AB096756), wbJSO1 (AB222182), HE-JA11 (AB082567), JJT-Kan (AB091394), HE-JA9 (AB082565), swJL97 (AB105899), HE-JBD2 (AB154829), HE-JO-1982 (AB088418), HE-JF2 (AB079763), JBOAR1-Hyo04 (AB189070), JMO-Hyo03L (AB189072), JSO-Hyo03L (AB189073), JTH-Hyo03L (AB189074), JYO-Hyo03L (AB189075), HE-JBD3 (AB154830), swJ23-1 (AB094305), swJ19-1 (AB094279), swJL82 (AB105898), swJ22-4 (AB094296), swJ25-1 (AB094317), and swJ18-1 (AB094275); as G3sp, HE-JA6 (AB082562), wbJYG1 (AB222184), HE-JA1 (AB115542), swJ50 (AB073912), swJ24-1 (AB094306), wbJT51 (AB222183), JMNG-Oki02C (AB236320), HE-JBD1 (AB112743), JDEER-Hyo3L (AB189071), JRA1 (AP003430), HEVN1 (AB246667), HE-JA23 (AB115544), HE-JA5 (AB082561), swJ681 (AB073910), swJC1990 (AB096756), wbJSO1 (AB222182), HE-JA11 (AB082567), JJT-Kan (AB091394), HE-JA9 (AB082565), swJL97 (AB105899), HE-JBD2 (AB154829), HE-JO-1982 (AB088418), HE-JF2 (AB079763), JBOAR1-Hyo04 (AB189070), JMO-Hyo03L (AB189072), JSO-Hyo03L (AB189073), JTH-Hyo03L (AB189074), JYO-Hyo03L (AB189075), HE-JBD3 (AB154830), swJ23-1 (AB094305), swJ19-1 (AB094279), swJL82 (AB105898), swJ22-4 (AB094296), swJ25-1 (AB094317), and swJ18-1 (AB094275); as G3us: HE-JA4 (AB082560), swJ1-1 (AB094203), JKN-Sap (AB074918), JMY-Haw (AB074920), swJ3-1 (AB094212), swJ4-1 (AB094215), swJ11-1 (AB094240), swJ6-1 (AB094217), HE-JA7 (AB082563), HE-JI3 (AB080579), HE-JA8 (AB082564), HE-JA10 (AB089824), swJL325 (AB105904), swJL234 (AB105903), HE-JA16 (AB105892), swJ2-1 (AB094207), swJ16-1 (AB094267), swJ17-1 (AB094272), swJ10-1 (AB094238), HE-JA5 (AB107366), HE-JA22 (AB115543), HE-JA20 (AB115541), HE-JA53 (AB107368), and HE-JA15 (AB105891); and as G4JP, HE-JA1 (AB097812), swJ13-1 (AB097811), JSN-Sap-FH02C (AB200239), swJ7-5 (AB094223), HE-J14 (AB080575), JAK-Sai (AB074915), HE-JA2 (AB220974), HE-JA17 (AB105893), HE-JAS2 (AB107367), JKK-Sap (AB074917), swJL145 (AB105902), HE-JA28 (AB220976), HE-JA13 (AB105889), HE-JA14 (AB105890), HE-JA12 (AB105888), HE-JF5 (AB220973), HE-JF4 (AB220972), JTS-Sap02 (AB161718), JYY-Sap02 (AB161719), HE-JA19 (AB220975), JSM-Sap95 (AB161717), HE-JA18 (AB105894), HE-JA3 (AB082559), HE-JF3 (AB220971), HE-JK4 (AB099347), JSN-Sap-FH (AB091395), and HE-JA18 (AB082545).

**RESULTS**

Detection of HEV RNA in Swine Fecal Samples in Japan by RT-PCR at ORF3 Region

A total of 320 fecal samples from 32 (#1 to #32) farms were tested for HEV RNA by RT-PCR using ORF3 primers (Fig. 1): ten fecal samples were randomly prepared from pig houses (1 sample/house) on individual farms. The sensitivity to detect HEV RNA was 10^{-3.6} copies/g feces by real-time RT-PCR using synthetic HEV RNA (data not shown). HEV
RNA was detected in 159 (49.7%) of the fecal samples, with extensive variation in the rate of detection among the farms, ranging from 0% to 100%, as summarized in Table 1.

Genotyping of HEVs from the Swine Fecal Samples in Japan

Next, we examined the sequence variation in these samples by RT-PCR using ORF2 primers (Fig. 1). As shown by the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2A), the HEVs derived from one farm were quite similar and were further well distinguished from those from the other farms. Therefore, one representative sequence from individual farms was randomly selected and used for the next phylogenetic tree, together with already reported HEV sequences from GenBank as references (Fig. 2B). As a consequence, the HEV sequences from 8 (19 samples), 4 (16 samples), 6 (50 samples) and 17 (41 samples) farms were used for genotyping.

Table 1. Summary of HEV RNA in Fecal Samples from Swine in Japan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>HEV-RNA(^{+}) in 10 Houses</th>
<th>Too Low Amount for Genotyping(^{+})</th>
<th>Not Done(^{+})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 (swJB-A)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 (swJB-B)</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 (swJB-C)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 (swJB-D)</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 (swJB-E)</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 (swJB-F)</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7 (swJB-G)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8 (swJB-H)</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9 (swJB-I)</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10 (swJB-J)</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#11 (swJB-K)</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#12 (swJB-L)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#13 (swJB-M)</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#14 (swJR-A)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#15 (swJR-B)</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#16 (swJR-C)</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#17 (swJR-D)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#18 (swJR-E)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#19 (swJR-F)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#20 (swJR-G)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#21 (swJR-H)</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#22 (swJR-I)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#23 (swJR-J)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#24 (swJR-K)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#25 (swJR-L)</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#26 (swJR-M)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#27 (swJR-N)</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#28 (swJR-O)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#29 (swJR-P)</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#30 (swJR-Q)</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#31 (swJR-R)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#32 (swJR-S)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>G(_{3,JP})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159/320</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{+}\)The amounts amplified by RT-PCR at ORF2 were too low for genotyping.

\(^{+}\)Since randomly selected HEV samples showed similar sequences, the remaining samples from the same farm were not subjected to sequencing.
samples), and 2 (12 samples) farms were classified into G3jp, G3sp, G3us, and G4jp, respectively, as summarized in Table 1. The HEVs from farms #16 (2 samples) and #19 (2 samples) showed similar sequences to G3, but were located in a different cluster of G3jp, G3sp and G3us (named G3unclassified in Fig. 2B). A total of 17 samples from farms #7, #14, #21, #22 and #23 showed too weak bands to permit direct sequencing (Table 1). In addition, the amplified RNA amounts of several samples from farms #4, #5, #6, #10, #15 and #29 were also too small for genotyping, but the other samples from these farms could be efficiently amplified for sequencing (Table 1). Thus, the HEVs detected in the samples derived from the same farms were highly homogeneous and therefore, a total of 41 samples from farms derived from the same farms were highly heterogeneous. In the case of G3 US, most isolates of the HEVs in this study were close to those of reported swine isolates in this study were close to those of reported swine isolates but not human isolates as well as G3sp. swJB-B1 and swJB-M8 were very close, although the HEV sequences were essentially heterogeneous among pig farms in this study. The analysis revealed that the HEVs that were classified into G3US in this study shared 95.9-86.3% nucleotide sequence identity to previously reported HEVs of swine, human, or deer origin.

In the case of G3sp, swJB-E10 and swJB-F1 were far from the reported isolates derived from humans. Most isolates of the HEVs that were classified into G3sp in this study were close to those of reported swine isolates. Further analysis revealed that the swine G3sp HEVs in this study shared 99.5-89.1% nucleotide sequence identity to HEVs of swine or human origin.

In the case of G3us, most isolates of the HEVs in this study were close to those of reported swine isolates but not human isolates as well as G3sp. swJB-B1 and swJB-M8 were very close, although the HEV sequences were essentially heterogeneous among pig farms in this study. The analysis revealed that the HEVs that were classified into G3us in this study shared 99.8-89.1% nucleotide sequence identity to HEVs of swine and human origin.

In the case of G4sp, G4jp, and G4us, most isolates of the HEVs from humans have been reported and seem to be genetically divided into several

**Phylogenetic Analysis of Swine-Derived HEVs with Reported Swine- and Human-Derived HEVs**

Next, we characterized the sequence variation in individual clusters of G3 and G4 HEVs in this study by constructing a phylogenetic tree that included reported isolates of HEVs derived from samples in Japan (Fig. 3). One representative sequence per pig farm was used for the tree construction. The data showed that among the sequences belonging to the same clusters of G3, there were considerable variations.

The HEVs belonging to G3jp were heterogeneous, except for the HEVs that were isolated from the same origin, such as those from deer and humans infected by eating deer meat [5]. All the HEVs that were classified into G3jp in this study were remarkably different from the reported isolates of G3jp derived from humans and swines. Analysis revealed that the swine G3jp HEVs in this study shared 95.9-86.3% nucleotide sequence identity to previously reported HEVs of swine, human, or deer origin.

In the case of G3sp, swJB-E10 and swJB-F1 were far from the reported isolates derived from humans. Most isolates of the HEVs that were classified into G3sp in this study were close to those of reported swine isolates. Further analysis revealed that the swine G3sp HEVs in this study shared 99.5-89.1% nucleotide sequence identity to HEVs of swine or human origin.

In the case of G3us, most isolates of the HEVs in this study were close to those of reported swine isolates but not human isolates as well as G3sp. swJB-B1 and swJB-M8 were very close, although the HEV sequences were essentially heterogeneous among pig farms in this study. The analysis revealed that the HEVs that were classified into G3us in this study shared 99.8-89.1% nucleotide sequence identity to HEVs of swine and human origin.

In the case of G4jp, lot of isolates from humans have been reported and seem to be genetically divided into several
Fig. (3). Phylogenetic analyses of HEV sequences in G3 and G4 clusters. The 101 HEV samples available for sequencing in the ORF2 region were analyzed independently in G3 JP, G3 SP, G3 US, and G4 JP (highlighted with yellow), together with sequences belonging to individual clusters from the GenBank (red for the HEVs from humans, blue for the HEVs from swine, and green for the HEVs from boar or deer in Japan). Bootstrap values of >70% are indicated for the major nodes as a percentage of the data obtained by neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstrap value.
populations. Thus, although sequence information has been accumulated on human-derived HEVs, there was only limited information on swine-derived G4p HEVs in Japan. Both swJB-H7 and swJB-J8 in this study relatively close to several HEVs from humans (Fig. 3). Analysis revealed that the G4p HEVs in this study shared 97.6-87.9% nucleotide sequence identity to HEVs of swine and human origin. Similar isolates as G3Unclassified from one (swJR-C9) of the two farms were also previously reported in Japan [19, 30].

DISCUSSION

The detection rates for HEV RNA in 32 pig farms in Japan were found to be highly variable, ranging from 0 to 100%. In this study, sequence data for the ORF2 region revealed that the HEVs were clearly classified into four known clusters: G3p, G3p, G3US, and G4p. The HEVs’ sequences from one farm showed slight variation even among populations belonging to the same cluster. However, it is worthy to note that the HEVs derived from individual houses within individual pig farms were highly homogeneous. Although some reports showed variation among swine strains circulating in individual farms in Japan [18, 31] and Spain [32], others showed similarity of HEVs derived from the same farm [33]. The discrepancy could be due to differences in the size of the farms, distance between farms, degree of contamination with circulating HEVs, and the viral regions sequenced. The results of the present study suggest that farm-to-farm transmission of HEV is very rare; at least between the pig farms examined in this study even though we could not show the detailed geographical location of the sampled farms for permission constraints. Further, the genetic variation found in our swine fecal samples suggests an independent evolution of HEVs in individual pig farm, as previously suggested [34].

The variation in the detection rates of HEV RNA among the pig farms could be caused by several non-viral factors such as sanitary conditions, hosts, facilities, and type of farming, as well as human activities. Although HEV transmission in swine by the fecal-oral route is suspected, it is still unclear how the transmission occurs within or between farms. Further, individually sampled farms reared piglets derived from the same farms, and not from others, suggesting that the identification of closely related sequences belonging to the same cluster in individual farms may be due to long-term sustained transmission of HEVs among swine with only minor evolution. In this study, we could not specify the factors responsible for the inter-farm transmission of HEV strains, such as a common animal source, personal relationships, shared water supply, food, or workers.

Further, the HEVs in this study showed phylogenetic similarity to previously reported HEV isolates derived from swine, but only part of them showed similarity to HEVs from humans. Although the relationship between swine- and human-derived HEVs are largely unknown, our data may suggest that swine-derived HEVs could be a possible source for acute hepatitis in humans. Moreover, most of the known human-derived HEVs could have independently evolved after transmission from swine to humans.

In conclusion, swine HEV was highly prevalent in fecal samples from pig farms in Japan. The HEVs in a single farm were genetically highly homogeneous, but showed slightly greater variation to those derived from other farms.
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