SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Planting Deep Increases Early Survival and Growth of Pinus echinata **Seedlings**

David B. South¹, D. Paul Jackson², Tom E. Starkey¹ and Scott A. Enebak¹

Effect of Planting Depth on Survival (%) of Loblolly Pine and Slash Pine Seedlings Supplemental Table 1.

| | Species | A Survival with Root-Collar Near Surface | B Survival with Root-Collar Below Surface (Various Depths) | C Survival when Planted Deep (to the Terminal Bud) | References |
|----|----------|--|--|--|------------|
| | | | | | |
| 1 | Loblolly | 96.7 | 96.7 | 96.7 | [1] |
| 2 | Slash | 83 | 83 | - | [2] |
| 3 | Slash | 92 | 95 | - | [2] |
| 4 | Loblolly | 92 | 95 | 96 | [3] |
| 5 | Loblolly | 85 | 95 | 90 | [3] |
| 6 | Slash | 40 | 61 | 68 | [4] |
| 7 | Loblolly | 59* | 66 | 77 | [5] |
| 8 | Loblolly | 80 | 88 | 88 | [6] |
| 9 | Slash | 72 | 72 | 80 | [6] |
| 10 | Loblolly | 97 | 97 | 91 | [6] |
| 11 | Slash | 95 | 95 | 89 | [6] |
| 12 | Slash | 77.3 | 84.4 | 89.8 | [7] |
| 13 | Slash | 40 | 60 | 89.1 | [7] |
| 14 | Slash | 80 | 90.2 | 94.7 | [7] |
| 15 | Slash | 56.9 | 74.2 | 87.8 | [7] |
| 16 | Slash | 68.4 | 76.9 | 84 | [7] |
| 17 | Slash | 86 | 89 | 89 | [8] |
| 18 | Slash | 71 | 70 | 70 | [8] |
| 19 | Loblolly | 72 | 82 | 75.8 | [9] |
| 20 | Loblolly | 79 | 86 | - | [10] |
| 21 | Loblolly | 84 | 86 | - | [10] |
| 22 | Loblolly | 84 | 90 | - | [10] |
| 23 | Loblolly | 92.6 | 84 | 87.7 | [11] |
| 24 | Loblolly | 75.3 | 72.8 | 90.1 | [11] |
| 25 | Loblolly | 90.1 | 95.1 | 90.1 | [11] |
| 26 | Loblolly | 93.8 | 95.1 | 85.2 | [11] |
| 27 | Loblolly | 92.6 | 86.4 | 82.7 | [11] |
| 28 | Loblolly | 97.5 | 90.1 | 85.2 | [11] |
| 29 | Loblolly | 95.1 | 95.1 | 90.1 | [11] |

¹School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences, and Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University, Alabama, 36849-5418, USA

²Department of Agricultural Sciences, Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana, 71272, USA

(Table S1) contd.....

| ļ | | A Survival with Root-Collar Near Surface | B Survival with Root-Collar Below Surface (Various Depths) | C Survival when Planted Deep (to the Terminal Bud) | References |
|-----|-------------|--|--|--|------------|
| | Species | | | | |
| 30 | Loblolly | 95.1 | 92.6 | 92.6 | [11] |
| 31 | Loblolly | 88.9 | 91.4 | 90.1 | [11] |
| 32 | Loblolly | 91.4 | 79 | 81.5 | [11] |
| 33 | Loblolly | 92.6 | 88.9 | 86.4 | [11] |
| 34 | Loblolly | 79 | 77.8 | 69.1 | [11] |
| 35 | Loblolly | 82.7 | 80.2 | 64.2 | [11] |
| 36 | Loblolly | 77.5 | 82.5 | - | [12] |
| 37 | Loblolly | 55 | 61.8 | - | [12] |
| 38 | Loblolly | 60.2 | 57.5 | - | [12] |
| 39 | Loblolly | 36.1 | 56.8 | - | [12] |
| 40 | Loblolly | 70.4 | 71.9 | - | [13] |
| 41 | Loblolly | 70 | 82 | - | [14] |
| 42 | Loblolly | 60 | 69 | - | [14] |
| 43 | Loblolly | 64 | 74 | - | [14] |
| 44 | Loblolly | 82 | 85 | - | [14] |
| 45 | Loblolly | 72 | 74 | - | [14] |
| 46 | Loblolly | 88 | 85 | - | [14] |
| 47 | Loblolly | 74.4 | 71.1 | 84.7 | [15] |
| 48 | Loblolly | 84 | 92.1 | 96.4 | [15] |
| Poo | rly drained | soils or highly eroded topsoil | 1 | | |
| 49 | Loblolly | 87 | 76 | 69 | [16] |
| 50 | Loblolly | 90 | 73.4 | 21.2 | [17] |
| 51 | Loblolly | 89.6 | 31.6 | 0.4 | [17] |

The first 48 pairs in columns A and B were used to generate the equation Y = 30.1 + 0.66X ($R^2 = 0.80$); where Y = survival of seedlings planted with about half of the shoot aboveground, and X = survival of seedlings planted near the root-collar. The regression equation does not include data where there was little or no topsoil [16] or the sites were poorly drained [17]

^{*}Data reported by Koshi [5] indicates he made a transposition error and incorrectly reported data as 41% survival instead of 41% mortality.



Supplemental Fig. (1). Overview of the "outside" planting depth study.



Supplemental Fig. (2). Overview of the shade-house planting depth study.



Supplemental Fig. (3). Examples of seedlings planted outside (with root-collar near the surface) and sampled in May.



Supplemental Fig. (4). Examples of seedlings planted outside (with root-collar 11 cm deep) and sampled in May.



Supplemental Fig. (5). Seedlings that were alive on 30 May 2012 were clipped at 1 cm above the soil surface. On 3 August 2012, more than 95% of the seedlings in both treatments had sprouted (P>F=0.34).

REFERENCES

- Slocum GK. Survival of loblolly pine as influenced by depth of planting. J For 1951; 49: 500.
- Wakeley PC. Planting the southern pines. USDA Agriculture Monograph No 18; Washington DC: US Govt. Printing office 1954. [2]
- [3] Slocum GK, Maki TE. Some effects of depth of planting upon loblolly pine in the North Carolina Piedmont J For 1956; 54: 21-5.
- Malac BF, Johnson JW. Deep planting increases survival of slash pine on sandy site. Woodlands Research Note No 5. Savannah: Union Bag and Paper [4] Corporation; 1957.
- Koshi PT. Deep planting has little effect in a wet year. Tree Plant Notes 1960; 40: 7.
- Shoulders E. Deep-planted seedlings survive and grow well. New Orleans, LA: USDA Forest Service Southern Forest Notes. Southern Forest [6] Experiment Station No. 140. 1962: p.2.
- [7] McGee CE, Hatcher JB. Deep-planting small slash pine on old field sites in the Carolina sandhills. J For 1963; 61: 382-3.
- Swearingen JW. Effects of seedling size and depth of planting on early survival and growth of slash pine. Tree Plant Notes 1963; 58: 16-7.
- Donald DGM. The effect of planting depth on the survival of Pinus radiata, Pinus pinaster and Pinus taeda. S Afr For J 1970; 74: 17-19.
- [10] Dierauf TA. A comparison of "normal depth" with "deep planting" of loblolly pine seedlings. Virginia Department of Forestry. Occasional Report 63
- [11] Bilan MV. Effect of time and depth of planting on survival and growth of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) seedlings in Texas. In: D.R. PHILLIPS (Ed.) Proceedings of the Fourth Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report SE-42. pp. 67-72.
- Brissette JC, Barnett JP. Depth of planting and J-rooting affect loblolly pine seedlings under stress conditions. In: J.H. Miller Ed. Proceedings of the [12] Fifth Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference. Forest Service General Technical Report SO-74; USA: USDA 1989: pp. 169-75.
- [13] Shiver BD, Borders BE, Page HH, Raper SM. Effect of some seedling morphology and planting variables on seedling survival in the Georgia Piedmont. S J Appl For 1990; 14: 109-14.
- [14] South DB, Blake JI. Top-pruning increases survival of pine seedlings. Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station. Highlights Agric Res 1994; 41(2):9.
- [15] Schuler JL. Planting techniques for establishing loblolly pine seedlings on two subsoiled sites in Arkansas. J Ark Acad Sci 2007; 61: 90-93.
- [16] Ursic SJ. Modifications of planting technique not recommended for loblolly on eroded soils. Tree Plant Notes 1963; 57: 13-7.
- Switzer GL. Exposure and planting depth effects on loblolly pine planting stock on poorly drained sites. J For 1960; 58: 390-1. [17]